

ANDRZEJ WALENDZIAK

## On ideals of pseudo-BCH-algebras

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we introduce the notion of a disjoint union of pseudo-BCH-algebras and describe ideals in such algebras. We also investigate ideals of direct products of pseudo-BCH-algebras. Moreover, we establish conditions for the set of all minimal elements of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  to be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**1. Introduction.** In 1966, Y. Imai and K. Iséki ([11], [12]) introduced BCK- and BCI-algebras. In 1983, Q. P. Hu and X. Li ([10]) introduced BCH-algebras. It is known that BCK- and BCI-algebras are contained in the class of BCH-algebras.

In 2001, G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu ([9]) introduced pseudo-BCK-algebras as an extension of BCK-algebras. In 2008, W. A. Dudek and Y. B. Jun ([3]) introduced pseudo-BCI-algebras as a natural generalization of BCI-algebras and of pseudo-BCK-algebras. These algebras have also connections with other algebras of logic such as pseudo-MV-algebras and pseudo-BL-algebras defined by G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu (see [13]). Those algebras were investigated by several authors in [7], [8], [15] and [16]. Recently, A. Walendziak ([18]) introduced pseudo-BCH-algebras as an extension of BCH-algebras and studied the set  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  of all minimal elements of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$ , the so-called centre of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . He also considered ideals in pseudo-BCH-algebras and established a relationship between the ideals of a pseudo-BCH-algebra and the ideals of its centre.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03G25, 06F35.

*Key words and phrases.* (Pseudo-)BCK/BCI/BCH-algebra, disjoint union, ideal, centre.

In this paper we introduce the notion of a disjoint union of pseudo-BCH-algebras and describe ideals in such algebras. We also investigate ideals of direct products of pseudo-BCH-algebras. Moreover, we establish conditions for the set  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  to be an ideal of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**2. Pseudo-BCH-algebras.** We recall that an algebra  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  is called a *BCH-algebra* if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (BCH-1)  $x * x = 0$ ;
- (BCH-2)  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$ ;
- (BCH-3)  $x * y = y * x = 0 \implies x = y$ .

A BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is said to be a *BCI-algebra* if it satisfies the identity

$$(BCI) ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0.$$

A *BCK-algebra* is a BCI-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfying the law  $0 * x = 0$ .

**Definition 2.1** ([3]). A *pseudo-BCI-algebra* is a structure  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; \leq, *, \diamond, 0)$ , where " $\leq$ " is a binary relation on the set  $X$ , " $*$ " and " $\diamond$ " are binary operations on  $X$  and " $0$ " is an element of  $X$ , satisfying the axioms:

- (pBCI-1)  $(x * y) \diamond (x * z) \leq z * y$ ,  $(x \diamond y) * (x \diamond z) \leq z \diamond y$ ;
- (pBCI-2)  $x * (x \diamond y) \leq y$ ,  $x \diamond (x * y) \leq y$ ;
- (pBCI-3)  $x \leq x$ ;
- (pBCI-4)  $x \leq y$ ,  $y \leq x \implies x = y$ ;
- (pBCI-5)  $x \leq y \iff x * y = 0 \iff x \diamond y = 0$ .

A pseudo-BCI-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is called a *pseudo-BCK-algebra* if it satisfies the identities

$$(pBCK) 0 * x = 0 \diamond x = 0.$$

**Definition 2.2** ([18]). A *pseudo-BCH-algebra* is an algebra  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, \diamond, 0)$  of type  $(2, 2, 0)$  satisfying the axioms:

- (pBCH-1)  $x * x = x \diamond x = 0$ ;
- (pBCH-2)  $(x * y) \diamond z = (x \diamond z) * y$ ;
- (pBCH-3)  $x * y = y \diamond x = 0 \implies x = y$ ;
- (pBCH-4)  $x * y = 0 \iff x \diamond y = 0$ .

We define a binary relation  $\leq$  on  $X$  by

$$x \leq y \iff x * y = 0 \iff x \diamond y = 0.$$

Throughout this paper  $\mathfrak{X}$  will denote a pseudo-BCH-algebra.

**Remark.** Observe that if  $(X; *, 0)$  is a BCH-algebra, then letting  $x \diamond y := x * y$ , produces a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $(X; *, \diamond, 0)$ . Therefore, every BCH-algebra is a pseudo-BCH-algebra in a natural way. It is easy to see that if  $(X; *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra, then  $(X; \diamond, *, 0)$  is also a pseudo-BCH-algebra. From Proposition 3.2 of [3] we conclude that if  $(X; \leq, *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCI-algebra, then  $(X; *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra.

**Example 2.3** ([19]). Let  $(G; \cdot, e)$  be a group. Define binary operations  $*$  and  $\diamond$  on  $G$  by

$$a * b = ab^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad a \diamond b = b^{-1}a$$

for all  $a, b \in G$ . Then  $\mathfrak{G} = (G; *, \diamond, e)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra.

We say that a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is *proper* if  $*$   $\neq$   $\diamond$  and it is not a pseudo-BCI-algebra.

**Remark.** The class of all pseudo-BCH-algebras is a quasi-variety. Therefore, if  $(\mathfrak{X}_t)_{t \in T}$  is an indexed family of pseudo-BCH-algebras, then the direct product  $\mathfrak{X} = \prod_{t \in T} \mathfrak{X}_t$  is also a pseudo-BCH-algebra. In the case when at least one of  $\mathfrak{X}_t$  is proper, then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is proper.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $X_1 = \{0, a, b, c\}$ . We define the binary operations  $*_1$  and  $\diamond_1$  on  $X_1$  as follows:

$*_1$	0	a	b	c		$\diamond_1$	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0	and	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	a	0		a	a	0	a	0
b	b	b	0	0		b	b	b	0	0
c	c	b	c	0		c	c	c	a	0

On the set  $X_2 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  consider the operation  $*_2$  given by the following table:

$*_2$	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
2	2	2	0	0
3	3	3	3	0

Then  $\mathfrak{X}_1 = (X_1; *_1, \diamond_1, 0)$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_2 = (X_2; *_2, *_2, 0)$  are pseudo-BCH-algebras (see [18]). Therefore, the direct product  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2$  is a (proper) pseudo-BCH-algebra.

Let  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, \diamond, 0)$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra satisfying (pBCK), and let  $(G; \cdot, e)$  be a group. Denote  $Y = G - \{e\}$  and suppose that  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ . Define the binary operations  $*$  and  $\diamond$  on  $X \cup Y$  by

$$(1) \quad x * y = \begin{cases} x * y & \text{if } x, y \in X \\ xy^{-1} & \text{if } x, y \in Y \text{ and } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x, y \in Y \text{ and } x = y \\ y^{-1} & \text{if } x \in X, y \in Y \\ x & \text{if } x \in Y, y \in X \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2) \quad x \diamond y = \begin{cases} x \diamond y & \text{if } x, y \in X \\ y^{-1}x & \text{if } x, y \in Y \text{ and } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x, y \in Y \text{ and } x = y \\ y^{-1} & \text{if } x \in X, y \in Y \\ x & \text{if } x \in Y, y \in X. \end{cases}$$

Routine calculations give that  $(X \cup Y; *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra; it is proper if  $\mathfrak{X}$  is proper.

**Example 2.5.** Consider the set  $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$  with the operation  $*$  defined by the following table:

$*$	0	$a$	$b$	$c$
0	0	0	0	0
$a$	$a$	0	$c$	$c$
$b$	$b$	0	0	$b$
$c$	$c$	0	0	0

Then  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, 0)$  is a BCH-algebra (see [10]). Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be the group of all permutations of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ . We have  $G = \{\iota, d, e, f, g, h\}$ , where  $\iota = (1)$ ,  $d = (12)$ ,  $e = (13)$ ,  $f = (23)$ ,  $g = (123)$ , and  $h = (132)$ . Applying (1) and (2) we obtain the following tables:

$*$	0	$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$	$e$	$f$	$g$	$h$
0	0	0	0	0	$d$	$e$	$f$	$h$	$g$
$a$	$a$	0	$c$	$c$	$d$	$e$	$f$	$h$	$g$
$b$	$b$	0	0	$b$	$d$	$e$	$f$	$h$	$g$
$c$	$c$	0	0	0	$d$	$e$	$f$	$h$	$g$
$d$	$d$	$d$	$d$	$d$	0	$h$	$g$	$e$	$f$
$e$	$e$	$e$	$e$	$e$	$g$	0	$h$	$f$	$d$
$f$	$f$	$f$	$f$	$f$	$h$	$g$	0	$d$	$e$
$g$	$g$	$g$	$g$	$g$	$e$	$f$	$d$	0	$h$
$h$	$h$	$h$	$h$	$h$	$f$	$d$	$e$	$g$	0

and

$\diamond$	0	$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$	$e$	$f$	$g$	$h$
0	0	0	0	0	$d$	$e$	$f$	$h$	$g$
$a$	$a$	0	$c$	$c$	$d$	$e$	$f$	$h$	$g$
$b$	$b$	0	0	$b$	$d$	$e$	$f$	$h$	$g$
$c$	$c$	0	0	0	$d$	$e$	$f$	$h$	$g$
$d$	$d$	$d$	$d$	$d$	0	$h$	$g$	$f$	$e$
$e$	$e$	$e$	$e$	$e$	$g$	0	$h$	$d$	$f$
$f$	$f$	$f$	$f$	$f$	$h$	$g$	0	$e$	$d$
$g$	$g$	$g$	$g$	$g$	$e$	$f$	$d$	0	$g$
$h$	$h$	$h$	$h$	$h$	$f$	$d$	$e$	$h$	0

Then  $(\{0, a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h\}; *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Observe that it is proper. Indeed,  $(b * c) \diamond (b * a) = b \diamond 0 = b \not\leq c = a * c$ .

Let  $T$  be any set and, for each  $t \in T$ , let  $\mathfrak{X}_t = (X_t; *_t, \diamond_t, 0)$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra satisfying (pBCK). Suppose that  $X_s \cap X_t = \{0\}$  for  $s \neq t$ ,  $s, t \in T$ . Set  $X = \bigcup_{t \in T} X_t$  and define the binary operations  $*$  and  $\diamond$  on  $X$  via

$$x * y = \begin{cases} x *_t y & \text{if } x, y \in X_t, t \in T, \\ x & \text{if } x \in X_s, y \in X_t, s \neq t, s, t \in T, \end{cases}$$

and

$$x \diamond y = \begin{cases} x \diamond_t y & \text{if } x, y \in X_t, t \in T, \\ x & \text{if } x \in X_s, y \in X_t, s \neq t, s, t \in T. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Following the terminology for BCH-algebras (see [1]), the algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  will be called the *disjoint union* of  $(\mathfrak{X}_t)_{t \in T}$ . We shall denote it by  $\sum_{t \in T} \mathfrak{X}_t$ .

**Example 2.6.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}_1 = (\{0, a, b, c\}; *_1, \diamond_1, 0)$  be the pseudo-BCH-algebra from Example 2.4. Consider the set  $X_2 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  with the operation  $*_2$  defined by the following table:

$*_2$	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	2	1
2	2	0	0	2
3	3	3	0	0

Routine calculations show that  $\mathfrak{X}_2 = (X_2; *_2, *_2, 0)$  is a (pseudo)-BCH-algebra. Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c, 1, 2, 3\}$ . We define the binary operations  $*$  and  $\diamond$  on  $X$  as follows

$*$	0	a	b	c	1	2	3	and	$\diamond$	0	a	b	c	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	a	0	a	a	a	a	a	0	a	0	a	a	a	a
b	b	b	0	0	b	b	b	b	b	b	0	0	b	b	b	b
c	c	b	c	0	c	c	c	c	c	c	a	0	c	c	c	c
1	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	1
2	2	2	2	2	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	0	0	0

It is clear that  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, \diamond, 0)$  is the disjoint union of  $\mathfrak{X}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_2$ . We have  $(3 * 1) \diamond (3 * 2) = 3 \diamond 0 = 3 \not\leq 0 = 2 * 1$ , and therefore  $\mathfrak{X}$  is not a pseudo-BCI-algebra. Thus  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a proper pseudo-BCH-algebra.

From [18] it follows that in any pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  for all  $x, y \in X$  we have:

- (a1)  $x * (x \diamond y) \leq y$  and  $x \diamond (x * y) \leq y$ ;
- (a2)  $x * 0 = x \diamond 0 = x$ ;
- (a3)  $0 * x = 0 \diamond x$ ;
- (a4)  $0 * (0 * (0 * x)) = 0 * x$ ;
- (a5)  $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) \diamond (0 * y)$ ;

$$(a6) \quad 0 * (x \diamond y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y).$$

Following the terminology of [18], the set  $\{a \in X : a = 0 * (0 * a)\}$  will be called the *centre* of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . We shall denote it by  $\text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$ . By Proposition 4.1 of [18],  $\text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$  is the set of all minimal elements of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , that is,

$$\text{Cen } \mathfrak{X} = \{a \in X : \forall_{x \in X} (x \leq a \implies x = a)\}.$$

By (a4),

$$(3) \quad 0 * x \in \text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$$

for all  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ .

Minimal elements (also called atoms) were investigated in BCI/BCH-algebras ([17], [14]), pseudo-BCI-algebras ([7]), and in other algebras of logic (see for example [2], [4], and [5]).

**Proposition 2.7** ([18]). *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra, and let  $a \in X$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $a \in \text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$ .
- (ii)  $a * x = 0 * (x * a)$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (iii)  $a \diamond x = 0 * (x \diamond a)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proposition 2.8** ([18]).  *$\text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .*

### 3. Ideals in pseudo-BCH-algebras.

**Definition 3.1.** A subset  $I$  of  $X$  is called an *ideal* of  $\mathfrak{X}$  if it satisfies for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

- (I1)  $0 \in I$ ;
- (I2) if  $x * y \in I$  and  $y \in I$ , then  $x \in I$ .

We will denote by  $\text{Id}(\mathfrak{X})$  the set of all ideals of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Obviously,  $\{0\}, X \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

**Proposition 3.2** ([18]). *Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . For any  $x, y \in X$ , if  $y \in I$  and  $x \leq y$ , then  $x \in I$ .*

**Proposition 3.3** ([18]). *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and  $I$  be a subset of  $X$  satisfying (I1). Then  $I$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$  if and only if for all  $x, y \in X$ ,*

- (I2') if  $x \diamond y \in I$  and  $y \in I$ , then  $x \in I$ .

**Example 3.4.** Consider the pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{G}$  given in Example 2.3. Let  $a$  be an element of  $G$ . It is clear that  $\{a^n : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

**Example 3.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}_1 = (\{0, a, b, c\}; *_1, \diamond_1, 0)$  be the pseudo-BCH-algebra from Example 2.4. It is easy to check that  $I_1 = \{0\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{0, a\}$ ,  $I_3 = \{0, b\}$ , and  $I_4 = \{0, a, b, c\}$  are ideals of  $\mathfrak{X}_1$ . Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}_1$  and suppose that  $c \in I$ . Since  $a *_1 c = b *_1 c = 0 \in I$ , (I2) shows that  $a, b \in I$ , and therefore  $I = X_1$ . Similarly, if  $a, b \in I$ , then  $I = X_1$ . Thus  $\text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}_1) = \{I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4\}$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and  $I$  be a subset of  $X$  containing  $0$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $I$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .
- (ii)  $x \in I, y \in X - I \implies y * x \in X - I$ .
- (iii)  $x \in I, y \in X - I \implies y \diamond x \in X - I$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\implies$  (ii): Assume that  $I$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , let  $x \in I$  and  $y \in X - I$ . If  $y * x \in I$ , then  $y \in I$  by definition. Therefore  $y * x \in X - I$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (i): To prove that  $I \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{X})$ , let  $y * x \in I$  and  $x \in I$ . If  $y \notin I$ , then (ii) implies  $y * x \in X - I$ , a contradiction. Hence  $y \in I$ , which gives that  $I$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

Thus we have (i)  $\iff$  (ii). The proof of the equivalence of (i) and (iii) is similar.  $\square$

For any pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$ , we set

$$K(\mathfrak{X}) = \{x \in X : 0 \leq x\}.$$

**Proposition 3.7** ([18]). *Let  $\mathfrak{X}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_2$  be pseudo-BCH-algebras. Then*

$$K(\mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2) = K(\mathfrak{X}_1) \times K(\mathfrak{X}_2).$$

Observe that

$$(4) \quad \text{Cen } \mathfrak{X} \cap K(\mathfrak{X}) = \{0\}.$$

Indeed,  $0 \in \text{Cen } \mathfrak{X} \cap K(\mathfrak{X})$  and if  $x \in \text{Cen } \mathfrak{X} \cap K(\mathfrak{X})$ , then  $x = 0 * (0 * x) = 0 * 0 = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.8.**

- (i) *For any  $t \in T$ , let  $I_t$  be an ideal of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $(X_t; *_t, \circ_t, 0_t)$ . Then  $I := \prod_{t \in T} I_t$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X} := \prod_{t \in T} \mathfrak{X}_t$ .*
- (ii) *If  $I$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $I \subseteq K(\mathfrak{X})$ , then  $I_t := \pi_t(I)$ , where  $\pi_t$  is the  $t$ -th projection of  $\mathfrak{X}$  onto  $\mathfrak{X}_t$ , is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}_t$ , and  $I \subseteq \prod_{t \in T} I_t$ .*

**Proof.** (i) The first part of the assertion is obvious.

(ii) The proof of this is similar to that of Theorem 5.1.35 [6].  $\square$

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_2$  be pseudo-BCH-algebras satisfying the condition (pBCK). Then*

$$\text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2) = \text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}_1) \times \text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}_2).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2$  and  $I \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{X})$ . By Proposition 3.7,  $K(\mathfrak{X}) = K(\mathfrak{X}_1) \times K(\mathfrak{X}_2) = X_1 \times X_2 = X$ , and therefore  $I \subseteq K(\mathfrak{X})$ . From Theorem 3.8 (ii) it follows that  $I \subseteq I_1 \times I_2$ , where  $I_1 = \pi_1(I)$ ,  $I_2 = \pi_2(I)$ . Let  $a \in I_1$  and  $b \in I_2$ . There are  $c \in X_2$  and  $d \in X_1$  such that  $(a, c), (d, b) \in I$ . Since  $(a, 0) \leq (a, c)$  and  $(0, b) \leq (d, b)$ , we conclude that  $(a, 0), (0, b) \in I$ . Observe that  $(a, b) \in I$ . Indeed, we have  $(a, b) * (0, b) = (a, 0)$  and  $(a, 0), (0, b) \in I$ . From this  $(a, b) \in I$ . Therefore  $I = I_1 \times I_2 \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}_1) \times \text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}_2)$ .

Conversely, let  $I = I_1 \times I_2$ , where  $I_1 \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}_1)$  and  $I_2 \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}_2)$ . By Theorem 3.8 (i),  $I$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.10.** Let  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2$  be the pseudo-BCH-algebra given in Example 2.4. We know that  $\text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}_1) = \{I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4\}$  where  $I_1 = \{0\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{0, a\}$ ,  $I_3 = \{0, b\}$ , and  $I_4 = X_1$  (see Example 3.5). It is easily seen that the only ideals of  $\mathfrak{X}_2$  are the following subsets of  $X_2$ :  $J_1 = \{0\}$ ,  $J_2 = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $J_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ , and  $J_4 = X_2$ . Then, by Proposition 3.9,  $\text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}) = \{I_m \times J_n : m, n = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{X}_t)_{t \in T}$  be an indexed family of pseudo-BCH-algebras satisfying (pBCK) and  $\mathfrak{X} = \sum_{t \in T} \mathfrak{X}_t$ . Let  $I_t$  be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}_t$  for  $t \in T$ . Then  $\bigcup_{t \in T} I_t$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Conversely, every ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$  is of this form.*

**Proof.** Let  $I = \bigcup_{t \in T} I_t$ . Of course,  $0 \in I$ . Let  $x * y \in I$  and  $y \in I$ . If  $x \in X_t$  and  $y \in X_u$ , where  $t \neq u$ , then  $x = x * y \in I$ . Suppose that  $x, y \in X_t$ . Then  $x * y, y \in I_t$ . Since  $I_t$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}_t$ , we conclude that  $x \in I_t$ . Hence  $x \in I$ , and consequently,  $I \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

Now let  $I$  be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . It is easy to see that  $I_t := I \cap X_t \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}_t)$  for  $t \in T$ . We have  $I = I \cap \bigcup_{t \in T} X_t = \bigcup_{t \in T} I \cap X_t = \bigcup_{t \in T} I_t$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.12.** Consider the pseudo-BCH-algebras  $\mathfrak{X}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}_2$ , and  $\mathfrak{X}$ , which are described in Example 2.6. We know that  $\text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}_1) = \{\{0\}, \{0, a\}, \{0, b\}, X_1\}$  (by Example 3.5). It is easy to check that  $\text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}_2) = \{\{0\}, \{0, 3\}, X_2\}$ . Applying Theorem 3.11, we get  $\text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}) = \{\{0\}, \{0, a\}, \{0, b\}, X_1, \{0, 3\}, \{0, 3, a\}, \{0, 3, b\}, X_1 \cup \{3\}, X_2, X_2 \cup \{a\}, X_2 \cup \{b\}, X\}$ .

$\text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{X}$  (see Proposition 2.8) but it may not be an ideal. For example, let  $Y = \{0, a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h\}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y} = (Y; *, \diamond, 0)$  be the pseudo-BCH-algebra given in Example 2.5. Then  $\text{Cen } \mathfrak{Y} = \{0, d, e, f, g, h\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\text{Cen } \mathfrak{Y}$  is not an ideal of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Now we establish conditions for the set  $\text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$  to be an ideal of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .
- (ii)  $x = (x * a) * (0 * a)$  for  $x \in X$ ,  $a \in \text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$ .
- (iii) For all  $x \in X$ ,  $a \in \text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$ ,  $x * a = 0 * a$  implies  $x = 0$ .
- (iv) For all  $x \in K(\mathfrak{X})$ ,  $a \in \text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$ ,  $x * a = 0 * a$  implies  $x = 0$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\implies$  (ii): Write  $I = \text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$ , and suppose that  $I$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $x \in X$  and  $a \in I$ . By (pBCH-2) and (pBCH-1),

$$((x * a) * (0 * a)) \diamond x = ((x * a) \diamond x) * (0 * a) = ((x \diamond x) * a) * (0 * a) = (0 * a) * (0 * a) = 0,$$

and hence

$$(5) \quad (x * a) * (0 * a) \leq x.$$



Using (pBCH-2) and (a1), we obtain

$$(6) \quad (x \diamond ((x * a) * (0 * a))) * a = (x * a) \diamond ((x * a) * (0 * a)) \leq 0 * a.$$

By (3),  $0 * a \in I$ . From (6) and Proposition 3.2 we conclude that

$$(x \diamond ((x * a) * (0 * a))) * a \in I.$$

Since  $a \in I$ , by the definition of ideal we deduce that

$$(7) \quad x \diamond ((x * a) * (0 * a)) \in I.$$

Applying (a6) and Proposition 2.7, we get

$$0 * ((x * a) * (0 * a)) = (0 * (x * a)) \diamond (0 * (0 * a)) = (a * x) \diamond a = (a \diamond a) * x = 0 * x.$$

Then  $0 * (x \diamond ((x * a) * (0 * a))) = (0 * x) * (0 * x) = 0$ , and hence

$$x \diamond ((x * a) * (0 * a)) \in K(\mathfrak{X}).$$

From this and (7) we have  $x \diamond ((x * a) * (0 * a)) \in I \cap K(\mathfrak{X}) = \{0\}$  (see (4)), that is,  $x \diamond ((x * a) * (0 * a)) = 0$ . Therefore

$$(8) \quad x \leq (x * a) * (0 * a).$$

By (5) and (8) we obtain  $x = (x * a) * (0 * a)$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii): Let  $x \in X$ ,  $a \in \text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$ , and  $x * a = 0 * a$ . Then  $x = (x * a) * (0 * a) = (x * a) * (x * a) = 0$ .

(iii)  $\implies$  (iv) is obvious.

(iv)  $\implies$  (i): To prove that  $\text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$  is an ideal, let  $a, x * a \in \text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$ . Observe that  $x \diamond (0 * (0 * x)) \in K(\mathfrak{X})$ . By (a6) and (a4),  $0 * [x \diamond (0 * (0 * x))] = (0 * x) * (0 * (0 * (0 * x))) = (0 * x) * (0 * x) = 0$ , and hence

$$(9) \quad x \diamond (0 * (0 * x)) \in K(\mathfrak{X}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} x * a &= 0 * (0 * (x * a)) && \text{[since } x * a \in \text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}] \\ &= (0 * (0 * x)) * (0 * (0 * a)) && \text{[by (a5) and (a6)]} \\ &= (0 * (0 * x)) * a. && \text{[since } a \in \text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}] \end{aligned}$$

Then by (pBCH-2) and (pBCH-1),

$$[x \diamond (0 * (0 * x))] * a = (x * a) \diamond (0 * (0 * x)) = [(0 * (0 * x)) * a] \diamond (0 * (0 * x)) = 0 * a,$$

that is,

$$[x \diamond (0 * (0 * x))] * a = 0 * a.$$

Applying (iv) we get  $x \diamond (0 * (0 * x)) = 0$ . Hence  $x \leq 0 * (0 * x)$ . By (a3) and (a1),  $0 * (0 * x) = 0 * (0 \diamond x) \leq x$ , and therefore  $x = 0 * (0 * x)$ . From this  $x \in \text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$ . Thus  $\text{Cen } \mathfrak{X}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .  $\square$

We also have theorem analogous to Theorem 3.13.

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .
- (ii)  $x = (x \diamond a) \diamond (0 \diamond a)$  for  $x \in X$ ,  $a \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ .
- (iii) For all  $x \in X$ ,  $a \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $x \diamond a = 0 \diamond a$  implies  $x = 0$ .
- (iv) For all  $x \in K(\mathfrak{X})$ ,  $a \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $x \diamond a = 0 \diamond a$  implies  $x = 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Dudek, W. A., Thomys, J., *On decompositions of BCH-algebras*, Math. Japon. **35** (1990), 1131–1138.
- [2] Dudek, W. A., Zhang, X., *On atoms in BCC-algebras*, Discuss. Math. Algebra Stochastic Methods **15** (1995), 81–85.
- [3] Dudek, W. A., Jun, Y. B., *Pseudo-BCI-algebras*, East Asian Math. J. **24** (2008), 187–190.
- [4] Dudek, W. A., Zhang, X., Wang, Y., *Ideals and atoms of BZ-algebras*, Math. Slovaca **59** (2009), 387–404.
- [5] Dudek, W. A., Karamdin, B., Bhatti, S. A., *Branches and ideals of weak BCC-algebras*, Algebra Colloq. **18** (Special) (2011), 899–914.
- [6] Dvurečenskij, A., Pulmannová, S., *New Trends in Quantum Structures*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht; Ister Science, Bratislava, 2000.
- [7] Dymek, G., *Atoms and ideals of pseudo-BCI-algebras*, Comment. Math. **52** (2012), 73–90.
- [8] Dymek, G., *On pseudo-BCI-algebras*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **69** (1) (2015), 59–71.
- [9] Georgescu, G., Iorgulescu, A., *Pseudo-BCK algebras: an extension of BCK algebras*, in *Proc. of DMTCS'01: Combinatorics, Computability and Logic*, 97–114, Springer, London, 2001.
- [10] Hu, Q. P., Li, X., *On BCH-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes **11** (1983), 313–320.
- [11] Imai, Y., Iséki, K., *On axiom systems of propositional calculi XIV*, Proc. Japan Acad. **42** (1966), 19–22.
- [12] Iséki, K., *An algebra related with a propositional calculus*, Proc. Japan Acad. **42** (1966), 26–29.
- [13] Iorgulescu, A., *Algebras of Logic as BCK-algebras*, Editura ASE, Bucharest, 2008.
- [14] Kim, K. H., Roh, E. H., *The role of  $A^+$  and  $A(X)$  in BCH-algebras*, Math. Japon. **52** (2000), 317–321.
- [15] Kim, Y. H., So, K. S., *On minimality in pseudo-BCI-algebras*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **27** (2012), 7–13.
- [16] Lee, K. J., Park, C. H., *Some ideals of pseudo-BCI-algebras*, J. Appl. Math. Inform. **27** (2009), 217–231.
- [17] Meng, J., Xin, X. L., *Characterizations of atoms in BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **37** (1992), 359–361.
- [18] Walendziak, A., *Pseudo-BCH-algebras*, Discuss. Math. Gen. Algebra Appl. **35** (2015), 1–15.
- [19] Walendziak, A., Wojciechowska-Rysiawa, M., *Fuzzy ideals of pseudo-BCH-algebras*, Mathematica Aeterna **5** (2015), 867–881.

Andrzej Walendziak  
Institute of Mathematics and Physics  
Faculty of Science  
Siedlce University of Natural Sciences and Humanities  
3 Maja 54, PL-08110 Siedlce  
Poland  
e-mail: [walent@interia.pl](mailto:walent@interia.pl)

Received January 17, 2016