doi: 10.17951/a.2017.71.1.61

## ANNALES UNIVERSITATIS MARIAE CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA LUBLIN – POLONIA

VOL. LXXI, NO. 1, 2017	SECTIO A	61 - 64
------------------------	----------	---------

JAN KUREK and WŁODZIMIERZ M. MIKULSKI

## The natural operators of general affine connections into general affine connections

ABSTRACT. We reduce the problem of describing all  $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural operators transforming general affine connections on *m*-manifolds into general affine ones to the known description of all  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariant maps  $\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m \to \otimes^k \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \otimes^k \mathbf{R}^m$  for k = 1, 3.

**Introduction.** All manifolds considered in this paper are assumed to be finite dimensional, without boundaries, second countable, Hausdorff and smooth (of class  $C^{\infty}$ ). Maps between manifolds are assumed to be smooth (of class  $C^{\infty}$ ). The category of *m*-dimensional manifolds and their embeddings is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}f_m$ .

A classical linear connection on a manifold M is a right invariant connection  $\Gamma$  on the principal fiber bundle LM of linear frames of M. It can be considered equivalently as the corresponding **R**-bilinear map  $\nabla : \mathcal{X}(M) \times$  $\mathcal{X}(M) \to \mathcal{X}(M)$  such that  $\nabla_{fX}Y = f\nabla_X Y$  and  $\nabla_X fY = X(f)Y + f\nabla_X Y$ for any map  $f: M \to \mathbf{R}$  and any vector fields  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$  on M, see [2].

A general affine connection on M is a right invariant connection  $\Gamma$  on the principal fiber bundle AM of affine frames of M. It can be equivalently considered as the corresponding pair  $(\nabla, K)$  consisting of a classical linear connection  $\nabla$  on M and a tensor field K of type (1, 1) on M, see [2].

The general concept of natural operators can be found in [3].

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 58A32.

Key words and phrases. General affine connection, natural operator.

In the present note, we study the problem of finding all  $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural operators  $B: Q_{gen-af} \rightsquigarrow Q_{gen-af}$  transforming general affine connections  $(\nabla, K)$  on *m*-manifolds *M* into general affine connections  $B(\nabla, K)$  on *M*.

Given an  $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural operator  $B: Q_{gen-af} \rightsquigarrow Q_{gen-af}$ , we define an  $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural operator  $\Delta: Q_{gen-af} \rightsquigarrow (\otimes^2 T^* \otimes T) \oplus (T^* \otimes T)$  by

$$B(\nabla, K) = (\nabla, K) + \Delta(\nabla, K)$$

for all general affine connections  $(\nabla, K)$  on *m*-manifolds *M*, and vice versa. So, to find all  $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural operators  $B: Q_{gen-af} \rightsquigarrow Q_{gen-af}$  it is sufficient to find all  $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural operators  $\Delta: Q_{gen-af} \rightsquigarrow (\otimes^2 T^* \otimes T) \oplus (T^* \otimes T)$ transforming general affine connections  $(\nabla, K)$  on *m*-manifolds *M* into pairs  $\Delta(\nabla, K) = (\Delta^1(\nabla, K), \Delta^2(\nabla, K))$  of tensor fields  $\Delta^1(\nabla, K)$  of type (1, 2) and  $\Delta^2(\nabla, K)$  of type (1, 1) on *M*.

In the present note, we prove that the above problem of finding all  $\mathcal{M}f_m$ natural operators  $B: Q_{gen-af} \rightsquigarrow Q_{gen-af}$  (or  $\Delta: Q_{gen-af} \rightsquigarrow (\otimes^2 T^* \otimes T) \oplus$  $(T^* \otimes T)$ ) can be reduced to the one of describing all  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariant maps  $\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m \to \otimes^k \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \otimes^k \mathbf{R}^m$  for k = 1, 3.

This "reduction" is satisfactory, because the  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariant maps  $\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m \to \otimes^k \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \otimes^k \mathbf{R}^m$  for k = 1, 2, 3 are described in [1].

## 1. The crucial lemma. We prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** There is the bijection between the set C of all  $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural operators  $\Delta : Q_{gen-af} \rightsquigarrow (\otimes^2 T^* \otimes T) \oplus (T^* \otimes T)$  and the set D of all  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariant maps  $(\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \to (\otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \to (\otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m)$ .

**Proof.** We define a map  $\Phi : C \to D$  as follows.

Any  $\Delta \in C$  is determined by the values

$$\Delta(\nabla, K)(x) = (\Delta^1(\nabla, K)(x), \Delta^2(\nabla, K)(x))$$
  
 
$$\in (\otimes^2 T^*_x M \otimes T_x M) \oplus (T^*_x M \otimes T_x M)$$

for all *m*-manifolds M, all linear connections  $\nabla$  on M, all tensor fields Kof type (1, 1) on M and all  $x \in M$ . Because of the  $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -invariance of  $\Delta$ , we may assume that  $M = \mathbf{R}^m$ , x = 0. We can even assume that  $id_{\mathbf{R}^m}$  is  $\nabla$ -normal with center 0 (then  $\nabla(0) \in \bigwedge^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m$  because the Christoffel symbols  $\nabla^i_{jk}$  of  $\nabla$  satisfy  $\nabla^i_{jk}(0) + \nabla^i_{kj}(0) = 0$ ). Then using the invariance of  $\Delta$  with respect to the homotheties  $a_t = t i d_{\mathbf{R}^m}$  for t > 0, we obtain the homogeneity condition

$$\Delta((a_t)_*\nabla, (a_t)_*K)(0) = (t\Delta^1(\nabla, K)(0), \Delta^2(\nabla, K)(0))$$

Because of the homogeneous function theorem [3], this type of the homogeneity implies that  $\Delta(\nabla, K)(0)$  depends on  $\nabla(0)$  and  $j_0^1 K$  (only). Let  $(\Lambda, \tau_0, \tau_1) \in (\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) = (\bigwedge^2 T_0^* \mathbf{R}^m \otimes \mathbf{R}^m)$   $T_0\mathbf{R}^m) \oplus J_0^1(T^*\mathbf{R}^m \otimes T\mathbf{R}^m)$ , where  $\tilde{=}$  is the usual  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariant identification. We put

$$\Phi(\Delta)(\Lambda,\tau_0,\tau_1) := \Delta(\nabla,K)(0) \in (\otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m)$$

(modulo the usual  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariant identification), where  $\nabla$  is the linear connection on  $\mathbf{R}^m$  such that the Christoffel symbols of  $\nabla$  with respect to the chart  $id_{\mathbf{R}^m}$  are constant maps and  $\nabla(0) = \nabla^o(0) + \Lambda$  and  $\nabla^o$  is the usual flat torsion free connection on  $\mathbf{R}^m$  and K is the tensor field of type (1,1)on  $\mathbf{R}^m$  such that the coefficients of K in the chart  $id_{\mathbf{R}^m}$  are polynomials of degree not more than 1 and  $j_0^1 K = (\tau_0, \tau_1)$ .

Since  $\Delta$  is determined by  $\Phi(\Delta)$ ,  $\Phi$  is injective.

It remains to show that  $\Phi$  is surjective. Let  $c: (\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \to (\otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m)$  be a  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariant map (an element from D). Using the usual  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariant identification  $\mathbf{R}^m = T_0 \mathbf{R}^m$ , we have the  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariant map

$$c: (\bigwedge^2 T_0^* \mathbf{R}^m \otimes T_0 \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (J_0^1 (T^* \mathbf{R}^m \otimes T \mathbf{R}^m)) \to \\ \to (\otimes^2 T_0^* \mathbf{R}^m \otimes T_0 \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (T_0^* \mathbf{R}^m \otimes T_0 \mathbf{R}^m) \,.$$

Let  $(\nabla, K)$  be a general connection on an *m*-manifold *M*. Using *c*, we define a pair  $\Delta_c(\nabla, K)$  consisting of tensor fields  $\Delta_c^1(\nabla, K)$  of type (1,2) and  $\Delta_c^2(\nabla, K)$  of type (1,1) on *M* as follows. Let  $x \in M$ . Consider a normal coordinate system  $\varphi$  of  $\nabla$  with center *x*. Then  $(\varphi_*\nabla)_0 \in \bigwedge^2 T_0^* \mathbf{R}^m \otimes T_0 \mathbf{R}^m$  modulo the obvious  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariant identification and  $j_0^1(\varphi_*K) \in J_0^1(T^*\mathbf{R}^m \otimes T\mathbf{R}^m)$ . We put

$$(\varphi_*\Delta_c(\nabla, K))_0 := c((\varphi_*\nabla)_0, j_0^1(\varphi_*K)).$$

If  $\psi$  is another normal coordinate system of  $\nabla$  with center x, then  $\psi = \eta \circ \varphi$ for a  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -map  $\eta$ . Then  $(\psi_*\Delta_c(\nabla, K))_0 = (\varphi_*\Delta_c(\Delta, K))_0$  because of the  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariance of c. That is why, the definition of  $\Delta_c(\nabla, K)$  is correct. Thus we have the  $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural operator  $\Delta_c : Q_{gen-af} \rightsquigarrow (\otimes^2 T^* \otimes T) \oplus (T^* \otimes T)$ . Clearly,  $\Phi(\Delta_c) = c$ .

**2.** The main result. The main result of the note is the following "reduction" theorem.

**Theorem 1.** The problem of finding all  $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural operators  $B: Q_{gen-af}$  $\rightsquigarrow Q_{gen-af}$  can be reduced to the one of describing all  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariant maps  $\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m \to \otimes^k \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \otimes^k \mathbf{R}^m$  for k = 1, 3.

**Proof.** Any  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariant map  $c : (\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \to (\otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m)$  is the system of  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariant maps

$$c_1: (\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \to \otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m$$

and

2

$$c_2: (\bigwedge^{\sim} \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \oplus (\otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \to \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m.$$

Using the invariance of  $c_i$  with respect to the homotheties  $a_t = tid_{\mathbf{R}^m}$ for t > 0, we obtain the respective homogeneity conditions. Then (by the homogeneous function theorems)  $c_1(\Lambda, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is linear in  $\Lambda$  and  $\tau_1$  and not necessarily linear in  $\tau_0$ . Then  $c_1$  can be treated as the sum of  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -linear maps

$$c_1': \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m \to (\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m)^* \otimes (\otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \subset \otimes^3 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \otimes^3 \mathbf{R}^m$$

 $c_1'': \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m \to (\otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m)^* \otimes (\otimes^2 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m) \tilde{=} \otimes^3 \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \otimes^3 \mathbf{R}^m$ . By the same arguments,  $c_2(\Lambda, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is independent of  $\Lambda$  and  $\tau_1$ . Then

 $c_2: \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^{m*} \otimes \mathbf{R}^m$  is a  $GL(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -invariant map. Now, Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.

## References

- Dębecki, J., The natural operators transforming affinors to tensor fields of type (3,3), Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. rer. nat., Mathematica **39** (2000), 37–49.
- [2] Kobayashi, S., Nomizu, K., Foundations of Differential Geometry. Vol. I, J. Wiley-Interscience, New York–London, 1963.
- [3] Kolář, I., Michor, P. W., Slovák, J., Natural Operations in Differential Geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.

Włodzimierz M. Mikulski
Institute of Mathematics
Jagiellonian University
ul. S. Łojasiewicza 6
Cracow
Poland
e-mail:Wlodzimierz.Mikulski@im.uj.edu.pl

Received December 31, 2016