doi: 10.17951/a.2017.71.1.65

## ANNALES UNIVERSITATIS MARIAE CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA LUBLIN – POLONIA

VOL. LXXI, NO. 1, 2017	SECTIO A	65 - 72
------------------------	----------	---------

### A. Y. LASHIN

# Convolution conditions for bounded $\alpha$ -starlike functions of complex order

ABSTRACT. Let A be the class of analytic functions in the unit disc U of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  with the normalization f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0. We introduce a subclass  $S_M^*(\alpha, b)$  of A, which unifies the classes of bounded starlike and convex functions of complex order. Making use of Salagean operator, a more general class  $S_M^*(n, \alpha, b)$   $(n \ge 0)$  related to  $S_M^*(\alpha, b)$  is also considered under the same conditions. Among other things, we find convolution conditions for a function  $f \in A$  to belong to the class  $S_M^*(\alpha, b)$ . Several properties of the class  $S_M^*(n, \alpha, b)$  are investigated.

**1. Introduction.** Let H denote the class of analytic functions in the unit disc  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Let A denote the subclass of H consisting of functions of the form

(1.1) 
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (z \in U).$$

For functions f given by (1.1) and  $g \in A$  defined by  $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ ,  $z \in U$ , the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k \quad (z \in U).$$

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45, 30C50.

Key words and phrases. Univalent functions, bounded starlike functions of complex order, bounded convex functions of complex order,  $\alpha$ -starlike functions.

Let  $\Omega$  be a family of functions  $\omega$  which are analytic in U and satisfy the conditions  $\omega(0) = 0$ ,  $|\omega(z)| < 1$ , for every  $z \in U$ . Given real number M,  $M > \frac{1}{2}$ , let  $S_M^*$  be the class of bounded starlike functions  $f \in A$  satisfying the condition

$$\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - M\right| \le M \quad (z \in U).$$

This class was introduced and studied by Singh and Singh [16].

We say that  $f \in A$  belongs to the class F(b, M)  $(b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, M > \frac{1}{2})$  of bounded starlike functions of complex order, if and only if  $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$  in U and

$$\left|\frac{b-1+\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}}{b}-M\right| < M \quad (z \in U) \,.$$

The class F(b, M) was introduced by Nasr and Aouf [9]. Let C(b, M)  $(b \in \mathbb{C}^*, M > \frac{1}{2})$  be the class of bounded convex functions of complex order, i.e., of functions  $f \in A$  such that

$$zf'(z) \in F(b, M).$$

This class C(b, M) was introduced and studied by Nasr and Aouf [8].

First let us define the class  $S_M^*(\alpha, b)$  which unifies the classes of bounded starlike and convex functions of complex order.

**Definition 1.** We say that  $f \in A$  belongs to the class  $S_M^*(\alpha, b)$   $(b \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha \ge 0, M > \frac{1}{2})$  of bounded  $\alpha$ -starlike functions of complex order, if and only if  $\frac{f(z)f'(z)}{z} \neq 0$  in U and

(1.2) 
$$\left| 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{(1-\alpha)zf'(z) + \alpha z(zf'(z))'}{(1-\alpha)f(z) + \alpha zf'(z)} - 1 \right) - M \right| < M \quad (z \in U).$$

One can easily show that  $f \in S^*_M(\alpha, b)$  if and only if there is a function  $g \in S^*_M$  such that

(1.3) 
$$(1-\alpha)f(z) + \alpha z f'(z) = z \left(\frac{g(z)}{z}\right)^b \quad (z \in U)$$

It was shown in [16] that  $g \in S_M^*$  if and only if for  $z \in U$ 

(1.4) 
$$\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1+\omega(z)}{1-m\omega(z)}, \quad m = 1 - \frac{1}{M},$$

for some  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Thus from (1.3) and (1.4) follows that  $f \in S_M^*(\alpha, b)$  if and only if

(1.5) 
$$\frac{(1-\alpha)zf'(z) + \alpha z(zf'(z))'}{(1-\alpha)f(z) + \alpha zf'(z)} = \frac{1 + [b(1+m) - m]\omega(z)}{1 - m\omega(z)} \quad (z \in U).$$

Taking specific values of  $\alpha$ , b and M, we obtain the following subclasses studied by various authors:

- (1)  $S_M^*(0,b) \equiv F(b,M)$  and  $S_M^*(1,b) \equiv C(b,M)$ .
- (2)  $S_M^*(0, e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda) \equiv F_{\lambda,M}(|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2})$  is the class of bounded  $\lambda$ -spirallike functions and  $S_M^*(1, e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda) \equiv C_{\lambda,M}(|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2})$  is the class of bounded Robertson functions that satisfy the condition  $zf'(z) \in F_{\lambda,M}$ , which were studied by Kulshrestha [4].
- (3)  $S_M^*(0,1) \equiv S_M^*$  is the class of bounded starlike functions.
- (4)  $S^{M}_{\infty}(0, (1-\alpha)e^{-i\lambda}\cos\lambda) \equiv S_{\lambda}(\alpha) \ (|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \le \alpha < 1)$  is the class of  $\lambda$ -spirallike functions of order  $\alpha$  (see Libera [6]) and  $S^{*}_{\infty}(1, (1-\alpha)e^{-i\lambda}\cos\lambda) \equiv C_{\lambda}(\alpha) \ (|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \le \alpha < 1)$  (see Kulshrestha [5] and Sizuk [15]).
- (5)  $S^*_{\infty}(0,b) \equiv S(b)$ , is the class of starlike functions of complex order (see Nasr and Aouf [10]).
- (6)  $S_{\infty}^{*}(1,b) \equiv C(b)$  is the class of convex functions of complex order (see Wiatrowski [17] and Nasr and Aouf [7]).
- (7)  $S^*_{\infty}(0, 1-\alpha) \equiv S^*(\alpha) \ (0 \le \alpha < 1)$  is the class of starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  and  $S^*_{\infty}(1, 1-\alpha) = C(\alpha) \ (0 \le \alpha < 1)$  is the class of convex functions of order  $\alpha$  (see Robertson [12]).
- (8)  $S^*_{\infty}(0,1) \equiv S^*$ ,  $S^*_{\infty}(1,1) \equiv C$  and  $S^*_{\infty}(0, e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda) \equiv S_{\lambda}(|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2})$ are the classes of starlike, convex and spirallike functions (More about these classes one can see in the Goodman's book [3]).

For  $f \in A$ , Salagean [13] introduced the following operator  $D^n f$   $(n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\})$  which is called the Salagean operator:

$$D^{0}f(z) = f(z), \ D^{1}f(z) = Df(z) = zf'(z),$$
  
$$D^{n}f(z) = D(D^{n-1}f(z)) \quad (z \in U).$$

From the definition of  $D^n f$  it follows at once that

(1.6) 
$$D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k \quad (z \in U).$$

With the aid of Salagean operator, we introduce the class  $S_M^*(n, \alpha, b)$  as follows:

**Definition 2.** Let  $M > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $b \in C^*$ ,  $\alpha \ge 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . A function  $f \in A$  is said to be in the class  $S_M^*(n, \alpha, b)$  if and only if,

$$\left| 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{(1-\alpha)D^{n+1}f(z) + \alpha D^{n+2}f(z)}{(1-\alpha)D^n f(z) + \alpha D^{n+1}f(z)} - 1 \right) - M \right| < M \quad (z \in U) \,.$$

We note that  $S_M^*(n, 0, b) \equiv H_n(b, M)$  which was studied by Aouf et al. [1].

The object of the present paper is to investigate some convolution properties of the class  $S_M^*(\alpha, b)$ . Using these properties, we obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for  $f \in A$  to belong to the class  $S_M^*(n, \alpha, b)$ . Also we establish the relationship among the classes  $S_M^*(n+1,\alpha,b)$  and  $S_M^*(n,\alpha,b)$ . These results generalize the related works of some authors.

**2. Convolution conditions.** Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this article that  $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $M > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\alpha \ge 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

**Theorem 1.** A function f of the form (1.1) is in the class  $S_M^*(\alpha, b)$  if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{z} \left[ f(z) * \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{z - Cz^2}{(1-z)^2} + \alpha \frac{z + (1-2C)z^2}{(1-z)^3} \right\} \right] \neq 0 \quad (z \in U)$$
  
where  $C = C_{\theta} = \frac{e^{-i\theta} + [b(1+m)-m]}{b(1+m)}, \ \theta \in [0, 2\pi).$ 

**Proof.** A function f is in the class  $S_M^*(\alpha, b)$  if and only if

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)zf'(z) + \alpha z(zf'(z))'}{(1-\alpha)f(z) + \alpha zf'(z)} = \frac{1 + [b(1+m) - m]\omega(z)}{1 - m\omega(z)} \quad (z \in U),$$

where  $m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}$ , which is equivalent to

(2.2) 
$$\frac{z\left[(1-\alpha)f(z) + \alpha z f'(z)\right]}{(1-\alpha)f(z) + \alpha z f'(z)} \neq \frac{1 + [b(1+m) - m]e^{i\theta}}{1 - me^{i\theta}}$$

 $(z\in U,\,\theta\in[0,2\pi))$  and further to

(2.3) 
$$z \left[ (1-\alpha)f(z) + \alpha z f'(z) \right]' \left( 1 - me^{i\theta} \right) \\ - \left[ (1-\alpha)f(z) + \alpha z f'(z) \right] \left( 1 + [b(1+m) - m]e^{i\theta} \right) \neq 0$$

for some  $z \in U$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ . It is well known that

(2.4) 
$$f(z) = f(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)}, \ zf'(z) = f(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \quad (z \in U).$$

Using (2.4), it is easy to verify that

(2.5) 
$$(1-\alpha)f(z) + \alpha z f'(z) = f(z) * \frac{z - (1-\alpha)z^2}{(1-z)^2} \quad (z \in U).$$

Since z(f \* g)' = f \* zg', we have

(2.6) 
$$z \left[ (1-\alpha)f(z) + \alpha z f'(z) \right]' = f(z) * \frac{z + (2\alpha - 1)z^2}{(1-z)^3} \quad (z \in U).$$

Substituting (2.5) and (2.6) into (2.3), we get

(2.7) 
$$\frac{1}{z} [f(z) * \{-(1-\alpha)(1-z)[b(1+m)e^{i\theta}z - (1+[b(1+m)-m]e^{i\theta})z^2] - \alpha(1-z)b(1+m)e^{i\theta}z + 2\alpha(1-me^{i\theta})z^2\}/(1-z)^3] \neq 0$$

 $(z \in U, \theta \in [0, 2\pi))$  i.e., equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{z}[f(z) * \{-(1-\alpha)(1-z)[b(1+m)e^{i\theta}z - (1+[b(1+m)-m]e^{i\theta})z^2] - \alpha[b(1+m)e^{i\theta}z + \{b(1+m)e^{i\theta} - 2(1+[b(1+m)-m]e^{i\theta})\}z^2]\}/(1-z)^3] \neq 0$$

for some  $z \in U$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Thus (2.7) can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{z} \Bigg[ f(z) * \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{z - \frac{e^{-i\theta} + [b(1+m)-m]}{b(1+m)} z^2}{(1-z)^2} \\ & + \alpha \frac{z + \left(1 - 2\frac{e^{-i\theta} + [b(1+m)-m]}{b(1+m)}\right) z^2}{(1-z)^3} \Bigg\} \Bigg] \neq 0 \end{split}$$

where  $z \in U, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Hence the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

## Remark 1.

- (1) Taking  $\alpha = 0$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by El-Ashwah [2, Theorem 2.1].
- (2) Taking  $\alpha = 1$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by El-Ashwah [2, Theorem 2.4].
- (3) Taking  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $b = 1 \beta$  ( $0 \le \beta < 1$ ),  $M = \infty$  and  $e^{i\theta} = x$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Silverman et al. [14, Theorem 1].
- (4) Taking  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $b = 1 \beta$  ( $0 \le \beta < 1$ ),  $M = \infty$  and  $e^{i\theta} = x$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Silverman et al. [14, Theorem 2].
- (5) Taking  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $b = e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda$  ( $|\lambda| < 1$ ),  $M = \infty$  and  $e^{i\theta} = x$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Padmanabhan and Ganesan [11, Theorem 1] with B = -1 and A = 1.
- (6) Taking  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $b = e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda$  ( $|\lambda| < 1$ ),  $M = \infty$  and  $e^{i\theta} = x$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Padmanabhan and Ganesan [11, Theorem 2] with B = -1 and A = 1.

**Theorem 2.** A function f of the form (1.1) is in the class  $S_M^*(n, \alpha, b)$  if and only if

(2.8) 
$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \frac{(k-1)[e^{-i\theta} - m] - b(1+m)}{b(1+m)} [(1-\alpha) + \alpha k] a_k z^{k-1} \neq 0$$

for all  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  and  $z \in U$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $f \in S_M^*(n, \alpha, b)$  if and only if  $D^n f \in S_M^*(\alpha, b)$ . Thus from Theorem 1, we have  $f \in S_M^*(n, \alpha, b)$  if and only if

(2.9) 
$$\frac{1}{z} \left[ D^n f(z) * \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{z - Cz^2}{(1-z)^2} + \alpha \frac{z + (1-2C)z^2}{(1-z)^3} \right\} \right] \neq 0 \quad (z \in U)$$
  
where  $C = C_{\theta} = \frac{e^{-i\theta} + [b(1+m)-m]}{b(1+m)}$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , i.e., if and only if

(2.10) 
$$\frac{1}{z} \left[ D^n f(z) * \left\{ (1-\alpha) \left[ \frac{Cz}{1-z} + \frac{(1-C)z}{(1-z)^2} \right] + \alpha \left[ \frac{2(1-C)z}{(1-z)^3} - \frac{(1-2C)z}{(1-z)^2} \right] \right\} \right] \neq 0$$

 $(z \in U)$ . Since for  $z \in U$ ,

$$\frac{z}{1-z} = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} z^k, \quad \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k z^k$$

and

$$\frac{z}{(1-z)^3} = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(k+1)}{2} z^k,$$

from (1.6) and (2.10) it follows that

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \frac{(k-1)[e^{-i\theta} - m] - b(1+m)}{b(1+m)} [(1-\alpha) + \alpha k] a_k z^{k-1} \neq 0$$

 $(z \in U)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3.** If  $f \in A$  satisfies the inequality

(2.11) 
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1+|b|)[(1-\alpha)+\alpha k]k^n |a_k| \le |b|,$$

then  $f \in S^*_M(n, \alpha, b)$ .

**Proof.** Since

$$\left|\frac{(k-1)[e^{-i\theta}-m]-b(1+m)}{b(1+m)}\right| \le \frac{(k-1+|b|)}{|b|},$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-1)[e^{-i\theta} - m] - b(1+m)}{b(1+m)} [(1-\alpha) + \alpha k] k^n a_k z^{k-1} \right| \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(k-1)[e^{-i\theta} - m] - b(1+m)}{b(1+m)} \right| [(1-\alpha) + \alpha k] k^n |a_k| |z|^{k-1} \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k-1+|b|}{|b|} [(1-\alpha) + \alpha k] k^n |a_k| > 0 \end{aligned}$$

 $(z\in U).$  Thus (2.8) holds, which ends the proof.

**Theorem 4.**  $S_M^*(n+1, \alpha, b) \subset S_M^*(n, \alpha, b).$ 

**Proof.** Let  $f \in S_M^*(n+1, \alpha, b)$ . By Theorem 2, we have

(2.12) 
$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-1)[e^{-i\theta} - m] - b(1+m)}{b(1+m)} [(1-\alpha) + \alpha k] k^{n+1} a_k z^{k-1} \neq 0$$

 $(z \in U)$ , which is equivalent to

(2.13) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} kz^{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ * \left[ 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \frac{(k-1)[e^{-i\theta} - m] - b(1+m)}{b(1+m)} [(1-\alpha) + \alpha k] a_k z^{k-1} \right] \neq 0$$

 $(z \in U)$ . Since

$$\left[1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k z^{k-1}\right] * \left[1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} z^{k-1}\right] = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} z^{k-1} \quad (z \in U),$$

by using the property, if  $f \neq 0$  and  $g * h \neq 0$ , then  $f * (g * h) \neq 0$ , (2.13) can be written as

(2.14) 
$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \frac{(k-1)[e^{-i\theta} - m] - b(1+m)}{b(1+m)} [(1-\alpha) + \alpha k] a_k z^{k-1} \neq 0$$

 $(z \in U)$ . Thus the assertion follows from Theorem 2.

- (1) Putting  $\alpha = 0$  in Theorems 2, 3 and 4, we get the results obtained by El-Ashwah [2, Theorems 2.7, 3.1 and 3.4].
- (2) Putting  $\alpha = 1$  in Theorems 2, 3 and 4, we get the results obtained by El-Ashwah [2, Theorems 2.8, 3.2 and 3.5].

Acknowledgement. The author warmly thanks the referee for his careful reading and making some valuable comments which have essentially improved the presentation of this paper.

#### References

- Aouf, M. K., Darwish, H. E., Attiya, A. A., On a class of certain analytic functions of complex order, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 32 (10) (2001), 1443–1452.
- [2] El-Ashwah, R. M., Some convolution and inclusion properties for subclasses of bounded univalent functions of complex order, Thai J. Math. 12 (2) (2014), 373– 384.
- [3] Goodman, A. W., Univalent Functions, Vol. I, II, Tampa, Florida, 1983.
- [4] Kulshrestha, P. K., Bounded Robertson functions, Rend. Mat. 6 (1) (1976), 137–150.
  [5] Kulshrestha, P. K., Distortion of spiral-like mapping, Proc. Royal Irish Acad. 73A
- (1973) 1–5. (1973) 1–5.
- [6] Libera, R. J., Univalent  $\lambda$ -spiral functions, Canad. J. Mat. **19** (1967) 449–456.

- [7] Nasr, M. A., Aouf, M. K., On convex functions of complex order, Mansoura Sci. Bull. 9 (1982), 565–582.
- [8] Nasr, M. A., Aouf, M. K., Bounded convex functions of complex order, Mansoura Sci. Bull. 10 (1983), 513–526.
- [9] Nasr, M. A., Aouf, M. K., Bounded starlike functions of complex order, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) 92 (2) (1983) 97–102.
- [10] Nasr, M. A., Aouf, M. K., Starlike functions of complex order, J. Natur. Sci. Math. 25 (1985), 1–12.
- [11] Padmanabhan, K. S., Ganesan, M. S., Convolution conditions for certain class of analytic functions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 15 (1984), 777–780.
- [12] Robertson, M. S., On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. of Math. 37 (1936), 374–408.
- [13] Salagean, G. S., Subclasses of univalent functions, in: Complex Analysis Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar (C. A. Cazacu, N. Boboc, M. Jurchescu, I. Suciu, eds.) Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1983, 362–372.
- [14] Silverman, H., Silvia, E. M., Telage, D., Convolution conditions for convexity and starlikeness and spiral-likeness, Math. Z. 162 (1978), 125–130.
- [15] Sizuk, P. I., Regular functions f(z) for which zf'(z) is  $\lambda$ -spirallike, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1975), 151–160.
- [16] Singh, R., Singh, V., On a class of bounded starlike functions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 5 (8) (1974), 733–754.
- [17] Wiatrowski, P., The coefficient of a certain family of holomorphic functions, Zeszyty Nauk. Uniw. Łódz. Nauki Mat. Przyrod. Ser. II No. 39 Mat. (1971), 75–85.

A. Y. Lashin Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Mansoura University Mansoura, 35516 Egypt e-mail:aylashin@mans.edu.eg

Received June 1, 2016