Some properties for $\alpha$-starlike functions with respect to $k$-symmetric points of complex order

Abstract. In the present work, we introduce the subclass $T^{k,\gamma}_{\phi}$, of starlike functions with respect to $k$-symmetric points of complex order $\gamma$ ($\gamma \neq 0$) in the open unit disc $\Delta$. Some interesting subordination criteria, inclusion relations and the integral representation for functions belonging to this class are provided. The results obtained generalize some known results, and some other new results are obtained.

1. Introduction. Let $A$ be the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\Delta = \{ z : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1 \}$. A function $f \in A$ is subordinate to an univalent function $g \in A$, written $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\Delta) \subseteq g(\Delta)$. Let $\Omega$ be the family of analytic functions $w(z)$ in the unit disc $\Delta$ satisfying the conditions $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, for $z \in \Delta$. Note that $f(z) \prec g(z)$ if there is a function $w(z) \in \Omega$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. Further, let $\phi$ be the class of analytic functions $p$ with $p(0) = 1$, which are convex and univalent in $\Delta$ and satisfy
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the condition
\[ \text{Re}\{p(z)\} > 0, \quad (z \in \triangle). \]

For a given positive integer \( k \), let \( \varepsilon = \exp(2\pi i/k) \) and
\[
(1.2) \quad f_k(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{-v} f(\varepsilon^v z), \quad (z \in \triangle).
\]

Let \( \varphi(z) \in \wp \), we denote by \( S_k^k(\varphi) \), \( C_k^k(\varphi) \) and \( T_k^k(\varphi) \) the familiar subclasses of \( \mathcal{A} \) consisting of starlike, convex and \( \alpha \)-starlike functions with respect to \( k \)-symmetric points in \( \triangle \) respectively. That is
\[
S_k^k(\varphi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \triangle) \right\},
\]
\[
C_k^k(\varphi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f_k(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \triangle) \right\},
\]
and
\[
T_k^k(\varphi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{\alpha z (zf'(z))' + (1-\alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zf_k'(z) + (1-\alpha)f_k(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \triangle) \right\}.
\]

The class \( T_k^k(\varphi) \) was introduced and studied by Parvatham and Radha [11] and this class generalizes the classes defined by Pascu [12], Das and Singh [5] as well as the classes \( S_k^k(\varphi) \) and \( C_k^k(\varphi) \) which were studied recently by Wang et al. [18]. Recently several subclasses of analytic functions with respect to \( k \)-symmetric points were introduced and studied by various authors (see [1], [2], [14], [18], [19], [21]). Following Ma and Minda [7], Ravichandran et al. [15] defined a more general class related to the class of starlike functions of complex order as follows.

A function \( f(z) \in \mathcal{A} \) is said to be in the class \( S_\gamma(\varphi) \), if it satisfies the following subordination condition
\[
1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \triangle, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } \varphi(z) \in \wp).
\]

Furthermore, a function \( f(z) \in \mathcal{A} \) is said to be in the class \( C_\gamma(\varphi) \), if it also satisfies the subordination condition
\[
1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \triangle, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } \varphi(z) \in \wp).
\]

Motivated by the classes \( T_k^k(\varphi) \), \( S_\gamma(\varphi) \) and \( C_\gamma(\varphi) \), we now introduce and investigate the following subclasses of \( \mathcal{A} \), and obtain some interesting results.

Moreover, for some non-zero complex number \( \gamma \), we consider the subclasses \( T_{\gamma,\alpha}^k(\varphi) \), \( F_{\gamma,\alpha}^k(\varphi) \) of \( \mathcal{A} \) as follows.
Definition 1. Let \( T_{\gamma,\alpha}^k(\varphi) \) denote the class of functions \( f \) in \( \mathcal{A} \) satisfying the following condition

\[
1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{\alpha zf'(z)' + (1-\alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zf''(z) + (1-\alpha)f'(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \varphi(z),
\]

where \( \varphi(z) \in \varphi \) and \( \alpha \geq 0 \).

Definition 2. Let \( \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\alpha}^k(\varphi) \) denote the class of functions \( f \in \mathcal{A} \) satisfying the subordination condition

\[
1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{\alpha zf'(z)' + (1-\alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zf''(z) + (1-\alpha)f'(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \varphi(z),
\]

where \( \xi_k(z) = k^2 \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} e^{-v} \xi(e^v z) \), \( \xi(z) \in T_{\gamma,\alpha}^k(\varphi) \), \( \varphi(z) \in \varphi \), and \( \alpha \geq 0 \).

Remark 1. Putting \( k = 1 \), we obtain the following definition.

Definition 3. Let \( T_{\gamma,\alpha}(\varphi) \) denote the class of functions \( f \in \mathcal{A} \) satisfying the following condition

\[
1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{\alpha zf'(z)' + (1-\alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zf''(z) + (1-\alpha)f'(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \varphi(z),
\]

where \( \varphi(z) \in \varphi \) and \( \alpha \geq 0 \).

Remark 2. If we set \( \alpha = 0 \), we have the following definition.

Definition 4. Let \( S_k(\varphi) \) denote the class of functions \( f \in \mathcal{A} \) satisfying the following condition

\[
1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \varphi(z),
\]

where \( \varphi(z) \in \varphi \).

Remark 3.

(i) \( T_{\gamma,\alpha}^k(\varphi) = K_k(\alpha, \varphi) \) (see Parvatham and Radha [11]), where \( K_k(\alpha, \varphi) \) is the class of \( \alpha \)-starlike functions with respect to \( k \) symmetric points.

(ii) \( T_{\gamma,\alpha}^k \left( \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z}{1-z} \right) = \mathcal{S}C(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) \) \((0 \leq \beta < 1)\) (see Altintas et al. [3]).

(iii) \( T_{1,0}^k(\varphi) = S^k(\varphi) \) and \( T_{1,1}^k(\varphi) = C^k(\varphi) \) (see Wang et al. [18]).

(iv) \( T_{1,0}^k(\varphi) = S(\varphi) \) (see Ravichandran et al. [15]).

(v) \( T_{1,1}^k \left( \frac{1-z}{1+z} \right) = K(\alpha) \) (see Pascu and Podaru [13]), where \( K(\alpha) \) is the class of \( \alpha \)-starlike functions.

(vi) \( T_{1,1}^k \left( \frac{1-z}{1+z} \right) = C(\alpha) \) (see Das and Singh [5]), where \( C(\alpha) \) is the class of convex functions with respect to symmetric points.

(vii) \( T_{1,0}^k \left( \frac{1-z}{1+z} \right) = S(\alpha) \) (see Sakaguchi [17]), where \( S(\alpha) \) is the class of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points.
(viii) \( T_{1-\beta,0}^k(\frac{1-z}{1+z}) = S_s^{k,k}(\beta) \) and \( T_{1-\beta,1}^k(\frac{1-z}{1+z}) = C_s^k(\beta) \) (0 \leq \beta < 1) (see Chand and Singh [4]), where \( S_s^{k,k}(\beta) \) is the class of starlike functions with respect to \( k \)-symmetrical points of order \( \beta \) and \( C_s^k(\beta) \) is the class of convex functions with respect to \( k \)-symmetrical points of order \( \beta \).

(x) \( T_{1-\gamma,0}^1(\frac{1-z}{1+z}) = S_\gamma \) (see Nasr and Aouf [9]), where \( S_\gamma \) is the class of starlike functions of complex order.

(xi) \( T_{1-\gamma,1}^1(\frac{1-z}{1+z}) = C_\gamma \) (see Nasr and Aouf [8]) and Wiatrowski [20] where \( C_\gamma \) is the class of convex functions of complex order.

To prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1** ([10]). Let \( k, \vartheta \) be complex numbers. Suppose that \( h(z) \) is convex and univalent in \( \Delta \) with

\[
(1.8) \quad h(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Re \{kh(z) + \vartheta\} > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta),
\]

and let \( q(z) \) be analytic in \( \Delta \) with \( q(0) = 1 \) and \( q(z) \prec h(z) \).

If \( p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \cdots \in \wp \) with \( p(0) = 1 \), then

\[
p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{kq(z) + \vartheta} \prec h(z)
\]

implies that \( p(z) \prec h(z) \).

**Lemma 2** (see [6, 7]). Let \( k, \vartheta \) be complex numbers. Suppose that \( h(z) \) is convex and univalent in \( \Delta \) and satisfies (1.8). If \( p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \cdots \in \wp \) and satisfies the subordination

\[
p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{kp(z) + \vartheta} \prec h(z),
\]

then

\[
p(z) \prec h(z).
\]

2. **Main result.** Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this article that \( f \in A, \alpha > 0, \varphi \in \wp \) and \( \gamma \in C^* \).

**Proposition 1.** Let \( f \in T_{\gamma,\alpha}(\varphi) \) and \( \Re \frac{1}{\alpha} |\alpha \gamma (\varphi(z) - 1) + 1| > 0 \), then \( f \in S_\gamma(\varphi) \).

**Proof.** Set

\[
p(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right),
\]
then \( p \) is an analytic function with \( p(0) = 1 \). By differentiating (2.1) logarithmically, we get
\[
1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{\alpha \left( z f^\prime(z) \right)^\prime + (1 - \alpha) z f^\prime(z)}{\alpha f^\prime(z) + (1 - \alpha) f(z)} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
= p(z) + \frac{\alpha z f^\prime(z)}{1 + \alpha \gamma [p(z) - 1]} \prec \varphi(z), \quad (z \in \Delta).
\]
The conclusion of Proposition 1 yields from Lemma 2, by taking \( k = \gamma \) and \( \vartheta = 1 - \alpha^2 \).

Similarly, we can prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** Let \( \Re \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ \alpha \gamma (\varphi(z) - 1) + 1 \right] \right\} > 0 \). Then
\[
F(z) = I_{\alpha}(f) = \frac{1}{\alpha z^{(1/\alpha) - 1}} \int_0^z t^{(1/\alpha) - 2} f(t) dt \in S_\gamma(\varphi)
\]
whenever \( f(z) \in S_\gamma(\varphi) \).

**Theorem 1.** Let \( f \in T^k_{\gamma,a}(\varphi) \) and \( \Re \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ 1 + \alpha \gamma (\varphi(z) - 1) \right] \right\} > 0 \). Then \( f_k \)
defined by (1.2) is in \( T^k_{\gamma,a}(\varphi) \). Further, we have \( f_k \in S_\gamma(\varphi) \).

**Proof.** Let \( f \in T^k_{\gamma,a}(\varphi) \). Replacing \( z \) by \( \varepsilon^\mu z \) \( (\mu = 0, 1, \ldots, k - 1; \varepsilon^k = 1) \) in (1.4), then (1.4) also holds true, that is,
\[
1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{(1 - \alpha) \varepsilon^\mu z f^\prime(\varepsilon^\mu z) + \alpha \varepsilon^\mu z f^\prime(\varepsilon^\mu z) + \varepsilon^\mu z f''(\varepsilon^\mu z)[1 + \alpha \gamma (\varphi(z) - 1)]}{(1 - \alpha)f_k(\varepsilon^\mu z) + \alpha \varepsilon^\mu z f_k'(\varepsilon^\mu z)} - 1 \right) \prec \varphi(z).
\]
According to the definition of \( f_k \) and \( \varepsilon^k = 1 \), we know that
\[
f_k(\varepsilon^\mu z) = \varepsilon^\mu f_k(z) \text{ and } f_k'(\varepsilon^\mu z) = f_k'(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} f'(\varepsilon^\mu z),
\]
for any \( \mu = 0, 1, \ldots, k - 1 \), and summing up, we can get
\[
1 + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{(1 - \alpha) \varepsilon^\mu z f^\prime(\varepsilon^\mu z) + \alpha \varepsilon^\mu z f^\prime(\varepsilon^\mu z) + \varepsilon^\mu z f''(\varepsilon^\mu z)[1 + \alpha \gamma (\varphi(z) - 1)]}{(1 - \alpha)f_k(\varepsilon^\mu z) + \alpha \varepsilon^\mu z f_k'(\varepsilon^\mu z)} - 1 \right) \right]
\]
\[
= 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{(1 - \alpha) f_k'(z) + \alpha z f_k'(z)'}{(1 - \alpha)f_k(z) + \alpha f_k'(z)} - 1 \right).
\]
Hence there exist \( \zeta_\mu \)'s in \( \Delta \) such that
\[
1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{(1 - \alpha) f_k'(z) + \alpha z f_k'(z)'}{(1 - \alpha)f_k(z) + \alpha f_k'(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \varphi(\zeta_\mu) = \varphi(\zeta_0),
\]
for \( \zeta_0 \in \Delta \), since \( \varphi(\Delta) \) is convex. Thus \( f_k \in T_{\gamma,a}(\varphi) \).
Remark 4. Putting $\gamma = 1 - \lambda$ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$) and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + z}{1 + z^2}$ in the above theorem, we get the result obtained by Wang et al. [19, Lemma 3, p. 110].

Theorem 2. Let $f \in T_{\gamma, \alpha}^k(\varphi)$ and $\text{Re} \frac{1}{\alpha} [1 + \alpha \gamma (\varphi(z) - 1)] > 0$. Then $f \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma^k(\varphi)$.

Proof. Let $f \in T_{\gamma, \alpha}^k(\varphi)$. Then by Definition 1, we have

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{az(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{azf_k'(z) + (1 - \alpha)f_k(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \varphi(z).$$

Putting $p(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)} - 1 \right)$ and $q(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{zf_k'(z)}{f_k(z)} - 1 \right)$, it is easy to obtain that

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{az(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{azf_k'(z) + (1 - \alpha)f_k(z)} - 1 \right) = p(z) + \frac{azp'(z)}{1 + \alpha \gamma (q(z) - 1)} \prec \varphi(z), \quad (z \in \triangle).$$

Since $f \in T_{\gamma, \alpha}^k(\varphi)$, then by using Theorem 1, we can see that $q(z) \prec \varphi(z)$. Now an application of Lemma 1, yields

$$p(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \varphi(z).$$

That is $f \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma^k(\varphi)$. We thus complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma^k(\varphi)$ and $\text{Re} \frac{1}{\alpha} [1 + \alpha \gamma (\varphi(z) - 1)] > 0$ in $\triangle$ and let $F$ be the integral operator defined by (2.2), then $F \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma^k(\varphi)$.

Proof. Let a function $f_k(z)$ of the form (1.2) with $F(z)$ be put in the place of $f(z)$. That is $F_k(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma t} f(t)$. We can see that $F_k(z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(1/\alpha - 2)f_k(t)}{t} \right) dt$ and then differentiating with respect to $z$, we get

$$1 - \alpha)F_k(z) + azF_k'(z) = f_k(z).$$

From (2.2), we have

$$azF'(z) = f(z).$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma^k(\varphi)$, we can apply Theorem 1 with $\alpha = 0$ to deduce $f_k \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma(\varphi)$. Applying Proposition 2, we have $F_k \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma(\varphi)$, that is

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{F_k'}{F_k(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \varphi(z).$$

If we denote

$$p(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{F_k'}{F_k(z)} - 1 \right),$$

we have

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{F'(z)}{F_k(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \varphi(z).$$
and

\[
q(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( zF_k'(z) - 1 \right),
\]

then \( p(z) \) is analytic in \( \Delta \) with \( p(0) = 1 \) and \( q(z) \) is analytic in \( \Delta \) with \( q(0) = 1, q(z) \prec \varphi(z) \). Differentiating in (2.6) and using (2.8), we have

\[
(2.10) \quad \frac{\gamma p(z) - \gamma + 1 + \alpha \gamma zp'(z)}{1 - \alpha + \alpha \frac{zF_k'(z)}{F_k(z)}} = \frac{zf'(z)}{(1 - \alpha)F_k(z) + \alpha zF_k'(z)}.
\]

In view of (2.5) and (2.9), (2.10) gives

\[
p(z) + \alpha zp'(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( zF_k'(z) - 1 \right) \prec \varphi(z).
\]

Now applying Lemma 1, we get \( p(z) \prec \varphi(z) \), which proves the theorem. □

The method of proving Theorem 4 is similar to that of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4.** Let \( \Re \frac{1}{\alpha} [1 + \alpha \gamma (\varphi(z) - 1)] > 0 \). Then \( \mathcal{F}_{k,\gamma,\alpha}(\varphi) \subset \mathcal{F}_{k,\gamma,0}(\varphi) \).

Now, we give the integral representations of functions belonging to the classes \( \mathcal{T}_{k,\gamma,\alpha}(\varphi) \).

**Theorem 5.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{T}_{k,\gamma,\alpha}(\varphi) \), then we have

\[
(2.11) \quad f_k(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha} z^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^z \exp \left\{ \frac{2}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\epsilon^\mu t} \frac{\varphi(\omega(\xi)) - 1}{\xi} d\xi \right\} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}} dt,
\]

where \( f_k(z) \) is given by equality (1.2) and \( \omega(z) \in \Omega \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( f \in \mathcal{T}_{k,\gamma,\alpha}(\varphi) \). We know that the condition (1.5) can be written as follows

\[
(2.12) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{\alpha z (zf_k'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf_k'(z)}{\alpha z f_k'(z) + (1 - \alpha)f_k(z)} - 1 \right) = \varphi(\omega(z)).
\]

By similar application of the arguments given in the proof for Theorem 1 to (2.12), we obtain

\[
(2.13) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{\alpha z (zf_k'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf_k'(z)}{\alpha z f_k'(z) + (1 - \alpha)f_k(z)} - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \varphi(\omega(\epsilon^\mu z)).
\]

From (2.13), we have

\[
(2.14) \quad \frac{\alpha (zf_k'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)f_k'(z)}{\alpha z f_k'(z) + (1 - \alpha)f_k(z)} - \frac{1}{z} = \frac{\gamma}{K} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \frac{\varphi(\omega(\epsilon^\mu z)) - 1}{z}.
\]
Integrating this equality, we get
\[
\log \left[ \frac{\alpha z f'_k(z) + (1 - \alpha) f_k(z)}{z} \right] = \gamma_k \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{\varphi(\omega(z\rho)) - 1}{\rho} \, d\rho,
\]
that is,
\[
(2.15) \quad \alpha z f'_k(z) + (1 - \alpha) f_k(z) = z \exp \gamma_k \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{\varphi(\omega(z\rho)) - 1}{\rho} \, d\rho,
\]
or equivalently,
\[
(2.16) \quad (1 - \alpha) f_k(z) + \alpha z f'_k(z) = z \exp \gamma_k \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{\varphi(\omega(z\zeta)) - 1}{\zeta} \, d\zeta.
\]
From (2.16), we can get equality (2.11) easily. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

\[\square\]

**Remark 5.**

(i) Putting $\gamma = 1$ in the above theorem, we get the result obtained by Wang et al. [18, Theorem 5, p. 102].

(ii) Putting $\gamma = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$ in the above theorem, we get the result obtained by Wang et al. [18, Theorem 3, p. 101].

(iii) For $\gamma = 1$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\lambda)z}{1-z}$ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$) in the above theorem, we get the result obtained by Wang et al. [19, Theorem 1, p. 112].

(iv) Putting $\gamma = 1$ in our results, we get the results obtained by Parvatham and Radha [11].
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