

Protection of intellectual property rights in economic activity (iThenticate Similarity Report)

WORD COUNT

5760

TIME SUBMITTED

06-MAR-2024 07:38PM

PAPER ID

107377419



4 Protection of intellectual property rights in economic activity

ABSTRACT 7

The article reveals, with the issues of relations arising in the field of intellectual property in economic activity, the theoretical and legislative framework for intellectual property protection in Ukraine. It is determined that the state system of legal protection of intellectual property has shown low efficiency in solving key problems and is unable to ensure the development of intellectual property as an important element of the national innovation economy of Ukraine. It is emphasized that due to the imperfect and inert system of public administration in the field of intellectual property legal protection, there is no significant progress in adapting the regulatory framework to modern conditions. Furthermore, it is shown that the global development of intellectual activity and intellectual property has revealed trends according to which these factors become a priority in the socio-economic development of any country, including Ukraine. The author emphasizes that intellectual activity and its result, intellectual property, are in constant dynamics, and the adequacy of legal protection often does not keep pace with their development. It is noted that Ukrainian legislation on intellectual property was created after gaining independence, since before that Ukraine did not have its own legislation on intellectual property. It is shown that this section of Ukrainian legislation is in constant dynamics - the legislator is constantly looking for ways and means to bring it in line with international standards, since the level of socio-economic development of Ukraine and, ultimately, the welfare of the people depend on proper legal protection of intellectual property.

Keywords: intellectual property; legislation; property rights

INTRODUCTION

10 Although the legislation of Ukraine on intellectual property is developed taking into account the requirements of international conventions in this area, meets their principles and is adapted to the requirements of a market economy, but performs its protective and preventive function poorly. The reasons for this are the shadow economy, blind copying of borrowed experience, unwillingness to defend their subjective rights and legitimate interests, legal obstacles, etc. The growing number of violations has led to confrontation with intellectual property rights holders, creative organizations, venture and show business entities, states. This is manifested in economic wars, sanctions, in the reduction of cooperation programs, the size of investments, and so on. Counterfeits violate the legal rights and interests of owners, hinder the development of intellectual potential, undermine the authority of the country, stimulate his loss.

This legislation, unlike most European legislation, is based on an integration basis, but without a proper consolidating basis and theoretical core. Problems that have arisen in the practice of its application have forced society to find their solution. It can be at the level of strategy and consistently carried out tactically in the development and adoption of regulations in the field of intellectual property. Analysis of the latter shows that tactical decisions and the priority of the law, rather than the law, dominate for the most part, which causes its instability. This approach contradicts the requirements of the Constitution of Ukraine and requires changes and their theoretical justification. Thus, the global problem that must be solved is to ensure the protection of the rights of subjects of intellectual property

rights in the cycle of realization of creative freedom and ensuring the realization of property rights in a market economy.

Ownership based on the right of possession, which seems to be an ideal legal mechanism for the introduction of tangible objects into civil circulation, given a number of features (focus on the settlement of relations on tangible objects; the predominance of private law regulation of relations on its subject projects), was unable to fully ensure the free use of the result of intellectual activity in civil circulation.

RESEARCH AND RESULTS

1 The theoretical basis of the thesis was the work of scientists in the field of theory of law, civil law and intellectual property law: G. Androschuk, M. Boguslavsky, O. Dzery, V. Drobiazko, R. Drob, V. Zharova, Yu. O Zaika, V Lutsya, O.Pidoprygory, I. Spasibo-Fateeva, E. Kharitonova, R. Shyshka, G. Shershenevich and others.

6 The purpose of the article is to reveal the theoretical and legal principles of intellectual property, to consider the legal status of subjects and their rights to intellectual property, to analyze the problematic issues of legal protection and protection of intellectual property rights. 50

Questions are asked for solution. Civil law forms of protection of intellectual property rights, in particular personal non-property and property rights, also need more in-depth research; realization of personal non-property and property rights of intellectual property subjects. 35

The system of protection of intellectual property rights under the current legislation of Ukraine also requires scientific and theoretical analysis. Analyzing the problems of lawmaking in the system of intellectual property protection based on the results of research on the problems of legal protection of personal non-property and property rights, the legal regime of intellectual property and the legal status of intellectual property, we can identify shortcomings and gaps in the civil protection of personal property and property rights of intellectual property, give them an appropriate assessment, identify their causes and develop appropriate proposals and recommendations aimed at eliminating the identified shortcomings and increase the civil protection of intellectual property¹. 3

66 Presentation of the main material. In the XIX century. At the beginning of the international system of intellectual property protection, in literary and inventive activity the decisive role was played by single authors, such as Jules Verne or Thomas Edison. That is, intellectual property was personified. 44 It is the need to protect the rights of authors that became the political and ideological basis for concluding the first agreements on the protection of intellectual property rights. Later, in the XX century, the 4

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS: 6x

¹ A. Neugodnikov, T. Barsukova, R. Kharytonov, *Protection of Intellectual Property Rights in Ukraine in the Light of European Integration Processes*, "Journal of Politics and Law" 2020, vol. 13, p. 203-211, doi:10.5539/jpl.v13n3p203.

A. Aksyutina, O. Nestertsova-Sobakar, V. Tropin, *Intelektualna vlasnist*, Dnipro 2018.

situation changed a lot. Scientific achievements and technical inventions are mostly the result of purposeful activities of a group of people who carry out their intellectual activity at the request of a particular employer, using strong financial and material support. As a result of such circumstances, the main producers of intellectual property today are large international corporations. Such corporations have a great influence on the economy of individual countries or whole groups of countries, so they have the opportunity to actively lobby for their rights at the legislative level².

At the beginning of the XX century, the coal industry, metallurgy, and automobile industry developed at the fastest pace, and it was in these industries that many technical inventions were made and introduced. Nowadays, the most actively created objects of intellectual property of the company, which operate in the field of software development, pharmacology, in the field of entertainment business, etc. Based on intellectual property rights, industrial companies have often made conflicting demands to protect their rights. On the one hand, they demanded access to the markets of other countries, and on the other - demanded to close the markets of their countries from imports of similar products from other countries. On the contrary, some branches of human activity are developing more actively under conditions of freer movement of goods and services. This is the case, for example, with the production of computers. The result of this interest is the creation of free trade zones. This circumstance was one of the factors that contributed to the creation of the European Union, the conclusion of the North American Free Trade Agreement. In addition, this approach contributed to the transformation of the International General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GUTT) (General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, 1947) into the World Trade Organization - WTO (World Trade Organization, 1995).

The World Trade Organization (WTO) was established in 1995 to improve international economic relations, which were not regulated by the GUTT. In particular, the GUTT did not provide for the regulation of issues related to the sale of services and the purchase of intellectual property. In addition, the GUTT did not provide for coercive mechanisms capable of enforcing the provisions of the agreement. Interstate negotiations between stakeholders have been difficult and have gone down in history as the Uruguay Round of international negotiations. This round began in 1986 and ended in 1994 with the signing of the Final Act establishing the WTO. One of the components of the Final Act was the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS)³. This agreement stipulates that each WTO member country shares the requirements of international agreements on

² R. J. Coombe, A. Herman, *Culture wars on the net: Intellectual property and corporate propriety in digital environments* [19] *Intellectual Property*, Routledge 2017, p. 579-607.

C. M. Cynthia, *Sovereignty under siege: Corporate challenges to domestic intellectual property decisions*, "Berkeley Technology Law Journal" 2015, vol. 30, p. 213-304.

³ K. Y. Peter, *The objectives and principles of the TRIPS agreement* [in:] B. Mercurio, *The Regulation of Services and Intellectual Property*, Routledge, 2017, p. 255-322, <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315085463>

Faculty of Law and Administration

intellectual property, ensures in its territory a level of protection not less than that required by existing international agreements, and ensures the application of effective enforcement mechanisms.

Modern activities in the field of intellectual property are regulated by interstate and national legislation. The basic concepts of intellectual property in the international sense are defined by the provisions of international law on intellectual property issues, which began to take shape on the basis of interstate agreements concluded in the XIX century.

The first international document, the provisions of which outlined the concept of "intellectual property", was signed by eleven countries in 1883, the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property (hereinafter - the Paris Convention)⁴. In 1993, the Paris Convention included 108 countries, including Ukraine.

To continue the process of development of copyright protection in the world in 1886, ten states signed the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works⁵. The preamble to the Berne Convention states its purpose: to protect the rights of authors to their literary and artistic works as effectively and as equally as possible. The Berne Convention sets out clear criteria for granting such legal protection. More than 100 countries are now parties to the convention.

In the time since the signing of the first intergovernmental agreements, the concepts of "intellectual property" and "intellectual property rights" have been constantly clarified, respectively, the provisions of the above conventions have been repeatedly revised. Such repeated revision is due to the development of public relations in the field of copyright - it is an objective and natural process of improving the international protection of intellectual property rights.

In order to further improve and develop this process, September 6, 1952 p. Another agreement was signed in Geneva - the Universal Copyright Convention (hereinafter - the Geneva Convention). The provisions of the Geneva Convention are based on the legal principles of copyright protection, which is a logical continuation of the principles laid down by the Paris and Bern Conventions. Thus, the concepts set out in this document do not differ fundamentally from those previously approved and, due to differences in certain provisions, more thoroughly take into account the socio-economic and political changes that have occurred in the world.

The provisions of all the above conventions were amended by their parties concluding additional agreements on specific issues. Intellectual property law in the objective sense is a set of legal norms that regulate social relations in the field of creation, use and protection of the results of intellectual and creative activity. The right of intellectual property in the subjective sense is the right of the subject to

⁴ Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property: International document of 20.03.1883 [Electronic resource]. - Access mode: http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/995_123.

⁵ Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works: International Document dated 24.07.1971 [Electronic resource]. - Access mode: http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/995_051.



Faculty of Law ¹³ Administration

possession, use and disposal of the result of intellectual, creative activity belonging to him in accordance with the law.

⁷ The two main approaches to the concept of "intellectual property" were either to fully accept and approve its legislative enshrinement, or to deny the existence of a sound scientific explanation and proposals to use it not in legal norms but in political acts. In the second case, it was rightly pointed out that ⁸ it is impossible to equate the legal regime of tangible things and intangible objects, which are different kinds of copyrighted works and various technical innovations, with territorial, temporal and spatial restrictions on the rights of authors and inventors; with completely different ways of protecting copyright and patent rights than those used to protect property rights. In addition, the acquisition of rights to certain objects of intellectual property rights is possible only with the receipt of special security documents.⁶

⁶ Proponents of the theory of intellectual property rights as property rights or quasi-property based their views mainly on the argument that intellectual property is a special kind of property, and the objects of intellectual property rights are called incorporeal things.

²⁰ Meanwhile, the term "intellectual property" is conditional and a kind of tribute to historical tradition, so now there is no reason to allow the extension of these rights of the legal regime applicable to things, property. Different approaches to understanding the essence of protection of intellectual property rights existed at the time of the adoption of the current Central Committee of Ukraine - both from the standpoint of exclusive rights and from the standpoint of property rights. Meanwhile, most scholars did not support the proposal to apply the institution of property rights to intellectual property relations, given, in particular, the need to bring the principles of legal protection in line with existing continental law. As a result, the Central Committee of Ukraine establishes a unified approach to the protection of intellectual property rights through the institution of exclusive rights and uniform for all intellectual property rights methods of protection of civil rights and interests.

⁶⁷ In Ukraine, the legislator enshrines the concept of intellectual property rights through the understanding of it as personal non-property and property rights of intellectual property. The content of these rights is determined by the Civil Code of Ukraine and other laws on certain objects of intellectual property rights. Essential for understanding the specifics of the regulation of relations in the field of intellectual property rights is emphasized in the Central Committee of Ukraine, namely in Art. 419, the ratio of the categories "intellectual property rights" and "property rights". In this case, the intellectual property right and the right of ownership of the thing do not depend on each other, and the transfer of

²⁴

⁴³ Hughes, *The philosophy of intellectual property*, "Georgetown Law Journal" 1988, vol. 77, p. 287-366.
H. Howe H., J. Griffiths J., *Concepts of Property in Intellectual Property Law*, Cambridge University Press, 2013.

38
Faculty of Law and Administration 20

the right to the object of intellectual property rights does not mean the transfer of ownership of the thing, and vice versa.⁷

In summary, it can be noted that the right of intellectual property in the objective sense is characterized by the following main features: 1) it is the right to the result of intellectual creativity; 2) the object of intellectual property rights is an intangible thing, and the result of intellectual, creative activity can be embodied in any material medium; 3) this result is suitable for reproduction and perception by others and is suitable for multiple reproduction (replication); 4) legal protection is provided to the result of intellectual creative activity either on condition of its creation and acquisition of a form suitable for perception by other persons (for example, works of science, literature, art), or on condition of its compliance with current legislation of Ukraine on intellectual property (for example, inventions), utility models, industrial designs); 5) legal protection of intellectual property is provided for a certain period specified by applicable law; 6) the intellectual property right to an object should be distinguished from the ownership of the material medium in which the creative result is embodied, and the ownership of the thing in which the intellectual property result is embodied does not depend on the intellectual property right to this result; 7) personal intangible rights of subjects of intellectual property rights are inseparable from the person of their creator and are not subject to alienation; 8) property rights of the subject of intellectual property may be alienated in any civil law manner; the subject of these rights may waive them; 9) objects of intellectual property rights are recognized by the current legislation as goods and may be the object of any civil law transactions;

Civil law regulation of relations related to creative activity is carried out with the help of the norms of the Book of the Fourth Central Committee of Ukraine and a number of norms of special laws of Ukraine. The advantage of the current Central Committee of Ukraine is that the Code sets out general provisions that apply to all objects of intellectual property rights. This allows you to unambiguously define the terminology, adhere to the basic principles of protection of new objects of intellectual property rights, establishes common approaches to the protection of various objects of intellectual property rights. Special laws on various objects of intellectual property rights, in turn, provide rapid and effective modernization and harmonization of Ukrainian legislation on intellectual property.

Thus, the Central Committee of Ukraine does not replace special legislation in the field of intellectual property - it includes mostly general rules, norms-principles, it does not contain detailed legal regulations in the field of intellectual property. This is explained by the fact that, firstly, the subject of civil law does not cover the whole complex of relations in this area, as there are also other substantive and procedural relations, which are regulated by administrative, criminal, etc. law; secondly, the legislation in the field of intellectual property law is constantly changing as a result of the emergence of

⁷ A.S. Kyrychuk, O.A. Slobodyska, *Pravova pryroda intelektualnoi vlasnosti u konteksti tsyvilnykh pravovidnosyn*, „Porivnialno-Analitychne Pravo” 2019, vol. 1, p. 108-110.



Faculty of Law and Administration

new objects that acquire legal protection as a result of harmonization of international law and national law.

The latter ⁶⁵ approach has developed in the theory of intellectual rights, which has already been mentioned above and which ²⁰ proposes to recognize the authors and inventors of *sue generis* rights, ie rights of a special kind that go ¹² beyond the classical division of civil rights. G. Shershenevych, covering in his textbook the provisions on exclusive rights, noted: just a special kind of property rights... This view can not be acceptable⁸. The author believed that to disseminate the concept of property rights, which do not have the object of things, seems theoretically inconvenient: can create an undesirable confusion of ideas in theory and in practice, for example, ⁴⁹ between the artist's copyright in a painting and the right to own it by the person who bought it, between ²¹ the right to a literary work and the right to a copy of a book. "The legislator uses the collective concept of intellectual property. Thus, in jurisprudence there are two main approaches to the concept under consideration. Some scholars approve of the enshrinement of this concept in law and do not see ²¹ the use of the term "intellectual property" by the legislator of any elements of the non-scientific approach. According to other scholars, this term is inaccurate and unscientific from the very beginning, so it can be used only in political acts, but not in legal norms that have a practical orientation. This dispute did not arise today and has its roots in the late nineteenth century.

In modern conditions in the legal plane the versatility of certain methods and principles of legal regulation, ensuring ³³ the balance of private and public interests as one of the main tasks of law at the present stage. ¹ The field of intellectual property in this aspect is extremely relevant, as its inherent creative activity is characterized by the integration of private and public interests. And, as is well known, the method of civil law regulation ² covers not only dispositive but also imperative means of influencing the participants in civil relations on the basis of legal equality of the parties, justice and good faith. ⁵⁴

Today, the cause of most infringements of intellectual property rights is economic in nature and lies in the ⁵⁸ monopoly of this right. Only the monopolist - the right holder has absolute rights to a particular object of intellectual property, has ¹¹ the right to use such an object, has the opportunity to monopolize inflated prices not only for the object of intellectual property, but also for the goods in which such object is embodied. project. Infringers ² of intellectual property rights, selling counterfeit goods, try to make more profit as a result of dumping pricing policy. Thus, by reducing the price of counterfeit products and increasing production volumes, they can receive additional income, while rights holders do not have such an opportunity. That is why ² measures of civil, administrative and criminal liability can not have positive consequences without taking into account the operation of economic laws. Therefore, intellectual property can act not only as an engine, but also as a brake on social development. Proof of

⁸ H. F. Shershenevych, *Obshchaia teoriya prava*, Moscow 1912.



Faculty of Law and Administration

this is the fact that intellectual property, ranging from food, medicine, technology, technology and the Internet affects the development of society. And intellectual property rights essentially only provide a decentralized system of innovation in science and culture. Therefore, the legislation in the field of intellectual property should be comprehensive to regulate the regime of a particular object of intellectual property⁹.

Legislation in the field of intellectual property is complex, as regulating the regime of a particular object of intellectual property, it often includes the provisions of civil, financial, administrative, constitutional, procedural law, etc. And intellectual property law in general and each of its objects in particular have a certain connection with other branches of law, have a certain mutual influence on each other.

Despite active research on intellectual property rights in recent years, many issues have not yet been adequately studied. In particular, the role and importance of intellectual activity and intellectual property in the socio-economic development of Ukraine remain insufficiently defined; the relevance, content, types and place of intellectual property in the system of civil law of Ukraine are not sufficiently defined; composition of objects and subjects of intellectual property rights under the current legislation of Ukraine, which are subject to civil protection.

The issue of lawmaking in the field of optimizing the intellectual development of the country, improving the management of the intellectual property system requires constant attention from the state.¹⁰

In the context of the above, it is appropriate to consider the main basic or priority areas of lawmaking in the field of intellectual property of the country.

The first direction is the need to improve the regulatory framework of intellectual property and improve mechanisms for protection of rights in this area. The need for law-making in this area is related to the need to reform the management of intellectual property, caused by the need to adapt national legislation to the legislation of the European Union.

In this context, the legislation on intellectual property must be brought into line with the Civil Code of Ukraine, including in accordance with established international standards in the field of intellectual property.

⁹ R. A. Posner, *Intellectual Property: The Law and Economics Approach*, „The Journal of Economic Perspectives” 36 5, vol. 19(2), p. 57–73.

H. M. Schwartz, *Global secular stagnation and the rise of intellectual property monopoly*, „Review of International Political Economy” 2022, vol. 29.5, p. 1448-1476, DOI: 10.1080/09692290.2021.1918745

¹⁰ A.S. Kolisnyk, *Suchasni problemy zakhystu prav intelektualnoi vlasnosti (na osnovi sudovoi praktyky)*, „Naukovyi Visnyk Uzhhorodskoho Natsionalnoho Universytetu. Seriya: Pravo” 2023, vol. 1(78), s. 192-197, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24144/2307-3322.2023.78.1.31>

Yu.L. Boshytskyi, *Deiaki orhanizatsiino-pravovi aspekty udoskonalennia pravovoi okhorony intelektualnoi vlasnosti v suchasni Ukraini*, „Chasopys Kyivskoho Universytetu Prava” 2020, vol. 3, p. 239-247, DOI: 10.36695/2219-5521.3.2020.43.



Faculty of Law and Administration

It is also necessary to strengthen the legislative responsibility for infringement of intellectual property rights, improve the legal regulation of economic aspects of intellectual property rights, including the system of payment of fees and duties for actions related to the protection of intellectual property rights, improve the legal regulation of economic incentives, creativity, etc.

The second direction is to improve the procedures for protecting the rights of authors and owners of exclusive intellectual property rights. Legislation in this direction requires ensuring effective state control and coordination of actions of law enforcement and regulatory agencies to combat infringements of intellectual property rights.

European experience and improvement of judicial reform requires the creation of a specialized patent court to hear cases related to the protection of intellectual property rights, as well as the introduction of alternative dispute resolution, the development of a common method of conducting forensic examinations in cases of invalidation of intellectual property property, development of methods for determining damages in cases of infringement of intellectual property rights.

The third direction is law-making in the field of protection and protection of copyright and related rights. It is necessary to improve the current legislation in the field of copyright and related rights in terms of promoting legal business in order to de-shadow this market for services; legalization of software used in executive bodies and introduction of open access to the State Registers of Registered Copyright Objects via the Internet.

The fourth direction is personnel problems. Today, the need to train specialists in intellectual property and dissemination of knowledge, level of culture and education in this area remains extremely important.

The specifics of lawmaking in the field of intellectual property should be based on the established legal culture of all segments of the population. An important role in this area belongs to modern telecommunications, which could provide public information campaigns to explain the negative consequences of misuse of intellectual property rights.¹¹

Particular attention needs to be paid to training of scientific personnel in the field of intellectual property, introduction of various forms and methods of retraining and advanced training of various social categories - patent attorneys, heads of enterprises, institutions and organizations, government officials, local governments. languages are involved in the field of intellectual property management.

The fifth direction is the implementation of international policy to enhance the international image of our country and its impact on international processes in the field of intellectual property. Lawmaking in this area will make sense in defending national interests in the framework of participation

¹¹ B. Sherman, L. Bently, *The making of modern intellectual property law*, Cambridge University Press 1999.

¹ Faculty of Law and Administration in the governing bodies of the World Intellectual Property Organization, participation in international projects aimed at the development of small and medium enterprises in the field of intellectual property¹².

An analysis of the implementation of national legislation in the field of intellectual property, taking into account international experience, should be extremely important. This will allow our country to determine the feasibility of joining them, as well as to defend national interests in the mutual protection of geographical indications in the creation of a free trade zone, taking into account the needs of domestic producers and business representatives.

Summarizing the above, we can draw the following conclusions about the optimization of law enforcement in the field of intellectual property. Along with the above five areas of development, improvement and adoption of relevant legislation, it should be emphasized that need to study and clear legal regulation of the relationship between well-known brands and domain names of different levels, geographical indications, signs of the former USSR, the need to make well-known brands well-known trademarks at the national, regional and international levels and provide for the issuance of national and international certificates for a well-known trademark.

The Civil Code of Ukraine does not contain a definition of the concept of invention. In our opinion, it is in the Civil Code of Ukraine, which defines the basics of civil law of the country and the basics of intellectual property rights to inventions, that such a definition should be contained. Despite the fact that Ukraine has a system of protection of intellectual property rights that meets international standards, it is necessary to address the legislative level of approximation to EU legislation in the field of intellectual property protection, namely: prevention of infringements of indirect use of inventions, licensing, cross-licensing, the introduction of tax benefits not only for inventors but also for entrepreneurs who will use the invention¹³.

The improvement of intellectual property law-making should be comprehensive and consistent, based on the relevant special national program, which is not subject to the fleeting interests of certain political and commercial forces, but to the interests of society as a whole. Optimization of law-making in the field of intellectual property in Ukraine will effectively promote the development of scientific and intellectual potential of the Ukrainian nation, economic breakthrough in the global economic crisis, access to the production of high innovative technologies and become economically developed countries.

Thus, improving the legislation in the field of intellectual property, eliminating certain gaps in the law will help prevent crime in general, strengthen the economic sphere of influence in international cooperation, will allow our country to develop more confidently in the field of innovation. In my

²⁹
¹² S. Bannerman, *The World Intellectual Property Organization and the sustainable development agenda*, „Futures” 2020, vol. 122/102586, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2020.102586>.

¹³ A. Neugodnikov, T. Barsukova, R. Kharytonov, *Protection of Intellectual...*, p. 203-211.

opinion, the above factors will contribute to strengthening not only the legal protection of intellectual property, the development of market relations, but also, to a large extent, the prosperity of our country.

CONCLUSIONS

According to the Civil Code of Ukraine (Article 418), intellectual property rights are interpreted as "the right of a person to the result of intellectual, creative activity or other object of intellectual property rights, defined by this Code and other law." This definition contains mainly a legal approach to the interpretation of the content of intellectual property, which is associated with the rights to the results of creative, intellectual activity of man. This approach is followed by a number of domestic and foreign scientists.

Intellectual property can act not only as an engine, but also as a brake on social development. Proof of this is the fact that intellectual property, ranging from food, medicine, technology, technology and the Internet affects the development of society. And intellectual property rights essentially only provide a decentralized system of innovation in science and culture. Therefore, the legislation in the field of intellectual property should be comprehensive to regulate the regime of a particular object of intellectual property. For this purpose, as a rule, the provisions of civil, financial, administrative, constitutional, procedural legislation, etc. are applied. In turn, intellectual property law in general and each of its objects in particular have one or another connection with different branches of law, exerting a certain mutual influence on each other.

The peculiarity of the legislation in the field of intellectual property is that it must comply with international treaties, based on a two-tier approach to the regulation of relations in the field of intellectual property, the freedom of which is guaranteed by the Constitution of Ukraine.

The improvement of intellectual property law-making should be comprehensive and consistent, based on the relevant special national program, which is not subject to the fleeting interests of certain political and commercial forces, but to the interests of society as a whole. Optimization of law-making in the field of intellectual property in Ukraine will effectively promote the development of scientific and intellectual potential of the Ukrainian nation, economic breakthrough in the global economic crisis, access to the production of high innovative technologies and become economically developed countries.

The legal status of intellectual property subjects is provided for in the Constitution of Ukraine, the Civil Code of Ukraine and in the legislation of intellectual property. According to Art. 421 of the Civil Code of Ukraine, the subjects of intellectual property rights are the creator (creators) of the object of intellectual property rights (author, performer, inventor, etc.) and other persons who own personal non-property or property intellectual property rights.

The need to protect intellectual property rights is due to the following needs: ensuring the interests of creators by granting them time-limited rights to control the use of their own works;

Faculty of Law and Administration

stimulating creative intellectual work, encouraging creative activity and implementing its results in the interests of socio-economic progress of society; intensification of investment and innovation activities, introduction of scientific and technological progress and innovations in all spheres of public life; creation of a civilized market environment, reliable protection of business entities from unfair competition associated with the misuse of intellectual property; protection of economic security of states in the context of globalization of world economic development, creation of favorable conditions for the transfer of new technologies; dissemination of information, avoidance of losses due to duplication of efforts aimed at finding ways to solve urgent scientific, technological and socio-economic problems; protection of society's interests in free access to the world's intellectual treasury.

In Ukraine, increasing attention to the protection of intellectual property rights is associated with gaining independence. Legal relations in the field of intellectual property are regulated by certain provisions of the Constitution of Ukraine, the Civil, Commercial, Customs and Criminal Codes, the Code of Ukraine on Administrative Offenses, procedural codes and special laws. In addition, Ukraine is a party to many international agreements in the field of intellectual property.

A single system of intellectual property protection should, of course, provide for a single system of sanctions for infringement of intellectual property rights. At the same time, stricter sanctions for intellectual property infringement should be developed. Technical means of reproduction, use and distribution of the latter's facilities enable agile "entrepreneurs" to freely use these facilities without the permission of the owner and without paying him due remuneration. These factors necessitate stricter protection of intellectual property rights.

REFERENCES

LITERATURE

- Aksyutina A., Nesterstova-Sobakar O., Tropin V., *Intelektualna vlasnist*, Dnipro 2018.
- Bannerman S., *The World Intellectual Property Organization and the sustainable development agenda*, „Futures” 2020, vol. 122/102586, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2020.102586>.
- Boshytskyi Yu.L., *Deiaki orhanizatsiino-pravovi aspekty udoskonalennia pravovoi okhorony intelektualnoi vlasnosti v suchasni Ukraini*, „Chasopys Kyivskoho Universytetu Prava” 2020, vol. 3, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36695/2219-5521.3.2020.43>.
- Coombe R. J., Herman A., *Culture wars on the net: Intellectual property and corporate propriety in digital environment* [in:] *Intellectual Property*, Routledge 2017.
- Cynthia C. M., *Sovereignty under siege: Corporate challenges to domestic intellectual property decisions*, „Berkeley Technology Law Journal” 2015, vol. 30.
- Howe H., Griffiths J. (ed.), *Concepts of Property in Intellectual Property Law*, Cambridge University Press 2013, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107300880>.
- Hughes J., *The philosophy of intellectual property*, „Georgetown Law Journal” 1988, vol. 77.



Faculty of Law and Administration

Kolisnyk A.S., *Suchasni problemy zakhystu prav intelektualnoi vlasnosti (na osnovi sudovoi praktyky)*, „Naukovyi Visnyk Uzhhorodskoho Natsionalnoho Universytetu. Serii: Pravo” 2023, vol. 1(78), s. 192-197, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24144/2307-3322.2023.78.1.31>.

Kyrychuk A.S., Slobodyska O.A., *Pravova pryroda intelektualnoi vlasnosti u konteksti tsyvilnykh pravovidnosyn*, „Porivnialno-Analitychne Pravo” 2019, vol. 1.

Neugodnikov A., Barsukova T., Kharytonov R., *Protection of Intellectual Property Rights in Ukraine in the Light of European Integration Processes*, “Journal of Politics and Law” 2020, vol. 13, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5539/jpl.v13n3p203>.

Peter K. Y., *The objectives and principles of the TRIPS agreement* [in:] B. Mercurio, *The Regulation of Services and Intellectual Property*, Routledge 2017, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315085463>.

Posner R. A., *Intellectual Property: The Law and Economics Approach*, „The Journal of Economic Perspectives” 2005, vol. 19(2), DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1257/0895330054048704>.

Schwartz H. M., *Global secular stagnation and the rise of intellectual property monopoly*, „Review of International Political Economy” 2022, vol. 29(5), DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2021.1918745>.

Sherman B., Bently L., *The making of modern intellectual property law*, Cambridge University Press 1999.

Shershenevych H. F., *Obshchaia teoriya prava*, Moscow 1912.

LEGAL ACTS

Agreement on trade-related aspects of intellectual property rights: International document of 15.04.1994 [Electronic resource]. - Access mode: http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/981_018.

Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property: International document of 20.03.1883 [Electronic resource]. - Access mode: http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/995_123.

Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works: International Document dated 24.07.1971 [Electronic resource]. - Access mode: http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/995_051.

World Copyright Convention: International document of 06.09.1952 [Electronic resource]. - Access mode: http://zakon5.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/995_052.

Convention on the Establishment of the World Intellectual Property Organization: International document of 14.07.1967 [Electronic resource]. - Access mode: http://zakon5.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/995_169.

Civil Code of Ukraine: as of 16.01.2003 № 435-IV (2016). *Bulletin of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine* [in Ukraine].

On copyright and related rights: Law of Ukraine of 23.12.1993 № 3792-XII(2016) *Bulletin of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine* [in Ukraine].



UMCS
MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY



**STUDIA IURIDICA
LUBLINENSIA**

18 Faculty of Law and Administration

On protection of rights to inventions and utility models: Law of Ukraine of 15.12.1993 № 3687-XII (2014)

Bulletin of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine [in Ukraine].

18

On protection of rights to industrial designs: Law of Ukraine of 15.12.1993 № 3688-XII(2014) *Bulletin of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine* [in Ukraine].

Protection of intellectual property rights in economic activity (iThenticate Similarity Report)

ORIGINALITY REPORT

45%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.otei.odessa.ua Internet	476 words — 7%
2	er.dduvs.in.ua Internet	413 words — 6%
3	www.uzhnu.edu.ua Internet	212 words — 3%
4	www.inprojournal.org Internet	144 words — 2%
5	agro.snau.edu.ua Internet	116 words — 2%
6	www.grafiati.com Internet	106 words — 2%
7	www.amazoniainvestiga.info Internet	99 words — 2%
8	repository.ndippp.gov.ua Internet	92 words — 1%
9	doaj.org Internet	73 words — 1%

10	"Integrated Computer Technologies in Mechanical Engineering - 2021", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2022 Crossref	65 words — 1%
11	visnyk-juris-uzhnu.com Internet	54 words — 1%
12	im.nmu.org.ua Internet	53 words — 1%
13	produccioncientificaluz.org Internet	43 words — 1%
14	apel.ieml.ru Internet	38 words — 1%
15	www.lingcure.org Internet	38 words — 1%
16	iaeme.com Internet	37 words — 1%
17	revolution.allbest.ru Internet	37 words — 1%
18	bme.fbmi.kpi.ua Internet	36 words — 1%
19	papers.ssrn.com Internet	33 words — 1%
20	eppd13.cz Internet	32 words — < 1%
21	Michiel Elst. "Copyright, Freedom of Speech, and Cultural Policy in the Russian Federation", Brill,	31 words — < 1%

-
- 22 [isma.lv](#)
Internet 30 words — < 1%
-
- 23 [www.ssoar.info](#)
Internet 30 words — < 1%
-
- 24 [wuw.pl](#)
Internet 28 words — < 1%
-
- 25 [Svitlychnyy Olexander, Myronenko Nataliia, Voroshylova Inna, Sergii Sergii Yurko, Svetlana Myrza. "Confiscation as one of the methods ro protect intellectual property rights", Revista Brasileira de Direito, 2023](#)
Crossref 27 words — < 1%
-
- 26 [foreign-languages.karazin.ua](#)
Internet 26 words — < 1%
-
- 27 [scinn-eng.org.ua](#)
Internet 26 words — < 1%
-
- 28 [dokumen.pub](#)
Internet 24 words — < 1%
-
- 29 [S. Furkan Demirden, Ilgin Kimiz-Gebologlu, Kadir Alptekin, Deniz Senyay-Oncel. "Chapter 4 Importance of Intellectual Property Rights for Building a Sustainable Future", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2023](#)
Crossref 23 words — < 1%
-
- 30 [www.cogitatiopress.com](#)
Internet 21 words — < 1%

-
- 31 www.khadi.kharkov.ua 20 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 32 Kostiantyn Bezverkhyi, Larysa Hnylytska, Oleksander Yurchenko, Nataliia Poddubna. "ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES OF THE AUDIT OF INTEGRATED REPORTING OF CORPORATE ENTERPRISES", Financial and credit activity problems of theory and practice, 2023 18 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 33 Olena Orliuk. "Chapter 13 Strategic Directions of the Intellectual Property Area Development in Ukraine", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2023 18 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 34 Rosemary J. Coombe. "Commodity Culture, Private Censorship, Branded Environments, and Global Trade Politics: Intellectual Property as a Topic of Law and Society Research", Wiley, 2004 18 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 35 Olesia Kharchenko, Olha Kronka, Inha Kryvosheyina, Kostiantyn Zerov. "Protection of intellectual property rights on the Internet: new challenges", Revista Amazonia Investiga, 2021 17 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 36 www.postkeynesian.net 17 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 37 dspace.cuni.cz 16 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 38 dspace.oduvs.edu.ua 16 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 39 qmro.qmul.ac.uk

Internet

16 words — < 1%

40 read.dukeupress.edu

Internet

16 words — < 1%

41 repository.kpi.kharkov.ua

Internet

16 words — < 1%

42 su.diva-portal.org

Internet

16 words — < 1%

43 press-files.anu.edu.au

Internet

15 words — < 1%

44 Andrii Neugodnikov, Tetiana Barsukova, Roman Kharytonov. "Protection of Intellectual Property Rights in Ukraine in the Light of European Integration Processes", Journal of Politics and Law, 2020

Crossref

14 words — < 1%

45 docplayer.net

Internet

14 words — < 1%

46 www.rieti.go.jp

Internet

13 words — < 1%

47 pdfslide.tips

Internet

12 words — < 1%

48 "International Organizations and the Law of the Sea 1994", Brill, 1996

Crossref

11 words — < 1%

49 "Comparative Climate Change Litigation: Beyond the Usual Suspects", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2021

Crossref

10 words — < 1%

50	discovery.researcher.life Internet	10 words — < 1%
51	pb.univd.edu.ua Internet	10 words — < 1%
52	quizlet.com Internet	10 words — < 1%
53	vdoc.pub Internet	10 words — < 1%
54	Volodymyr Prymak, Olga Koreniuk, Tatiana Poharchenko. "Joint Functional Focus of Models of Civil Liability for Damage Inflicted on Patients", <i>International Journal of Criminology and Sociology</i> , 2020 Crossref	9 words — < 1%
55	journals.umcs.pl Internet	9 words — < 1%
56	mono.scnchub.com Internet	9 words — < 1%
57	www.bibalex.org Internet	9 words — < 1%
58	www.earj.org Internet	9 words — < 1%
59	"The Development of Child Protection Systems in the Post-Soviet States", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2021 Crossref	8 words — < 1%

60 PAWAN DUTT, NGUYEN THAI CUONG, THOMAS HOFFMANN, TANEL KERIKMÄE. "Chapter 7: Copyright Law", Walter de Gruyter GmbH, 2023
Crossref 8 words — < 1%

61 Tan Xu, Guoqing Yang. "Research on Copyright Protection of Online Games in China under the Background of Information Age", SHS Web of Conferences, 2023
Crossref 8 words — < 1%

62 inventure.com.ua
Internet 8 words — < 1%

63 journals.nubip.edu.ua
Internet 8 words — < 1%

64 www.modernlanguagesopen.org
Internet 8 words — < 1%

65 www.portalces.org
Internet 8 words — < 1%

66 www.tesol-ukraine.com
Internet 7 words — < 1%

67 Camila Emi Tomimatsu. "The Regulation of ? Innovation? in International Trade Agreements: Looking Inward, Outward, Backward and Forward", Universidade de São Paulo. Agência de Bibliotecas e Coleções Digitais, 2023
Crossref Posted Content 6 words — < 1%

68 Viktoriia Formaniuk, Oksana Kanienberh-Sandul, Oksana Palii, Anastasiia Borysova, Anna Manuilova. "Protection of Personal Non-Property Rights in the

Field of Information Communications: A Comparative Approach", Journal of Politics and Law, 2020

Crossref

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY OFF

EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF

EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF