

The Impact of International Law on International Criminal Proceedings - Human Rights Perspective (iThenticate Similarity Report)

WORD COUNT

8805

TIME SUBMITTED

29-MAR-2024 07:21PM

PAPER ID

107930421



2 The Impact of International Law on International Criminal Proceedings - Human Rights Perspective

Wpływ prawa międzynarodowego na międzynarodową procedurę karną – perspektywa praw człowieka

ABSTRACT

49 A long time ago, the international community has 4 taken some steps to prosecute war criminals and to establish a permanent criminal tribunal. However, a new era in the development 12 international criminal law and procedure, as well as international human rights and humanitarian law, began in the aftermath of World War II. 4 Unfortunately, the Nuremberg and Tokyo Tribunals focused on the accused and their punishment. They ignored the role of the victim in the criminal proceedings. Thus, it was 62 necessary to create a new international criminal court that would not suffer from 61 shortcomings and would be in line with the emerging standards for the protection of human rights. Indeed, the Statutes and Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the International Criminal Tribunals for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) and for Rwanda (ICTR) 1 was an attempt to be more fair and reflect international human rights standards. The milestone, however, was the establishment 22 the International Criminal Court (ICC), whose Statute mirror not only fundamental human rights standards and juris 27 dence of human rights tribunals and organ but also 22 vates the status of victims. Furthermore, the ICC applies treaties and other sources of international law and it “must be consistent 42 with internationally recognized human rights”. This article is a contribution to the discussion of the impact of international law on the develop 50 nt of international criminal proceedings through the lens of human rights standards. It also attempts to show whether the special features of international law have influenced international cr 83 nal proceedings and demonstrate the close but complex relationship between sub-branches of international law such as international criminal law, in 6 ternational criminal procedure, international human rights and humanitarian law and ways of interferences of international human rights law in international criminal proceedings.

Keywords: accused; human rights; international criminal procedure; victim; witness

INTRODUCTION

1 International criminal law, international criminal procedure, 4 international human rights law and humanitarian law are all sub-branches 33 of international law. The same can be said of international criminal procedure. The first one is defined, in a strict sense, as a law which established individual criminal responsibility under international law before international 75 criminal courts¹. 47 International criminal procedure is a specialized body of international law that governs the conduct of criminal proceedings. Its primary function is the effective and fair

9
¹ C. Kreß, *International Criminal Law*, “Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law”, Article last updated: March 2009, <https://opil-ouplaw.com/peacepalace.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/law:epil/9780199231690/law-9780199231690-e1423?rsk=y=CjH&result=1&prd=MPIL> (access: 12.03.2024), para 10.



Faculty of Law and Administration

enforcement of international criminal law by international courts². The international human rights law is described as a set of fundamental freedoms and basic social, economic and cultural rights that are recognized to every individual regardless of nationality³. On the other hand, international humanitarian law is aimed at solving the problems arising from international and non-international conflicts. It limits the rights of the parties to the conflict to the use of methods and means of warfare and to the protection of persons or property that may be affected by such conflict⁴.

The primary source for all of them is Article 38 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice (ICJ)⁵, i.e. international conventions, international customs, general principles of law and, under certain conditions, unilateral acts and binding decisions of international organizations. Of course, in the case of international criminal courts, they could apply international norms such as customary international law or general principles of law. The only international criminal court that has international personality, or more precisely that is a State Party to the Statute, is the ICC, and it can enter into treaties. However, it is not bound by any treaty that has not been agreed upon⁶. Furthermore, it is indispensable to take into account all the specific features of international law such as: consensual nature of the validity of international legal norms, primary international legal subjectivity of states, voluntary international jurisdiction⁷. In order to determine the influence of international law on the development of criminal proceedings, it is necessary to take it into account. This is because, despite the expansion of the circle of subjects of international law, the law remains clearly state-

² G. Sluiter, H. Friman, S. Linton, S. Vasiliev, S. Zappalà (eds.), *Introduction*, [in:] *International Criminal Procedure: Principles and Rules*, eds. G. Sluiter, H. Friman, S. Linton, S. Vasiliev, S. Zappalà, Oxford 2013, p. 14.

³ R. Kolb, P. Kilibarda, *Human Rights and Humanitarian Law*, "Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law", December 2022, <https://opil-ouplaw.com/peacepalace.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/law:epil/9780199231690/law-9780199231690-e811?rskey=J61tYq&result=1&prd=MPIL> (access: 12.03.2024), para 1.

⁴ H.P. Gasser, *Humanitarian Law, International*, "Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law", December 2015, <https://opil-ouplaw.com/peacepalace.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/law:epil/9780199231690/law-9780199231690-e16?rskey=TW4e7p&result=1&prd=MPIL> (access: 12.3.2024), para 3.

⁵ G. Boas, J.L. Bischoff, N.L. Reid, B.D. Taylor III, *International Criminal Procedure: International Criminal Law* 40 *actitioner Library*, vol. III, Cambridge 2011, pp. 2-4.

⁶ N.A.J. Croquet, *The International Criminal Court and the Treatment of Defence Rights: A Mirror of the European Court of Human Rights' Jurisprudence?*, "Human Rights Law Review" 2011, vol. 11(1), p. 98.

⁷ See A. Kozłowski, *Wpływ sądownictwa międzynarodowego na rozwój prawa międzynarodowego*, [in:] *Współczesne sądownictwo międzynarodowe: Wybrane zagadnienia prawne*, ed. J. Kolasa, vol. 2, Wrocław 2010, pp. 50-52.



Faculty of Law and Administration

centered. Thus, the theory of consent plays an essential role, and what states agree to is binding on them⁸, with some exception such as peremptory norm of general international law⁹.

The main purpose of this paper is to determine whether and how international law affects the criminal procedure and in particular ways of interferences of international human rights law on criminal proceedings. In order to prove the main thesis, using the method of legal analysis, it is necessary, first, to demonstrate the relationship between the sub-branches of international law, which are complex, but also closely interrelated. Then to examine ways of interference of international human rights law in criminal proceedings, namely those directly involved in criminal proceedings i.e. the accused, in particular, standards of fair trial, the role of the victim and witnesses in criminal proceedings. This paper has set aside the participation of lawyers, judges, court personnel in the criminal justice process and those not directly participating in criminal proceedings. The article is divided into four main sections: introduction, relationships between sub-branches of international law, ways of interference of international human rights law in criminal proceedings including the rights of the accused, the position of victims, witnesses, and the last section contains conclusions.

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN SUB-BRANCHES OF INTERNATIONAL LAW

There are strong links between the above mentioned sub-branches of international law¹⁰. First, they emerged at the same time after the atrocities of the Second World War. The only difference is that international human rights law and international humanitarian law, have been in a constant state of development. In the 1950s, however, work on the establishment of a permanent criminal court was abandoned¹¹. A revival in the development of international criminal law and criminal procedure has taken place since the 1990s with the establishment of *ad hoc* Tribunals by the United Nations Security Council¹². Second, they were initially

⁸ See L. An³⁸owicz, *Rzecz o państwach i prawie międzynarodowym*, Lublin 2012, pp. 57-72.

⁹ See e.g.: Fifth report on peremptory norms of general international law (*jus cogens*) by Dire Tladi, Special Rapporteur, International Law Commission Seventy-third session Geneva, 18 A⁹⁵ – 3 June and 4 July – 5 August 2022, A/CN.4/747, 24 January 2022; A. J. ⁷⁸langelo, *Procedural Jus Cogens*, “Columbia Journal of Transnational Law” 2021, vol. 60(2), pp. 377-492; ed. D. Tladi, *Peremptory norms of general international law (jus cogens): disquisitions and ²putations*, Leiden, Boston 2021, pp. 792.

¹⁰ W.A. Schabas, *Relationships between International Criminal Law and Other Branches of International Law*, “Recueil des Cours” 2021, vol. 417, p. 325.

¹¹ C.M. Bassiouni, *Chronology of Efforts to Establish an International Criminal Court*, “Revue internationale de droit penal” 2015, vol. 86(3-4), pp. 1164-1165.

¹² S/RES/808, 22 February 1993, para 1; S/RES/955, 8 November 1994, para 1.



Faculty of Law and Administration

considered to fall under national jurisdictions¹³. Third, all subsets of international law aim to guarantee a minimum standard of human treatment to individuals, but in different legal contexts¹⁴. Sometimes, international human rights is referred to as a shield and international criminal law and procedure as a sword¹⁵. Therefore, there is no doubt that international human rights law is necessary to define the procedural rules before the international criminal tribunals¹⁶. Furthermore, the drafters of the statutes of international criminal courts are strongly influenced by the human rights instruments. International criminal courts also refer to the jurisprudence of the human rights courts or other human rights bodies¹⁷. As in criminal procedure and international human rights law, in cases of violations of rights and freedoms or war crimes committed, in the absence of adequate remedies in the domestic legal system, or after domestic remedies have been exhausted, there is the possibility of recourse to regional human rights courts and other bodies or international criminal tribunals respectively to whose jurisdiction States have agreed¹⁸.

It is therefore undeniable that international human rights law in particular has an impact on criminal proceedings¹⁹. This is evidenced also by the content of Article 21(3) of the Rome Statute²⁰, which stipulates that the application and interpretation of the law shall be consistent with internationally recognized human rights²¹. In addition, the ICC, which has international legal personality, is also bound by Article 38 of the ICJ Statute²².

International law scholars distinguished two ways of interference of international human rights law in criminal proceedings. First, concerning those directly involved in criminal

⁴ W.A. Schabas, *Relationships...*, p. 325-326.

¹⁴ R. Cryer, H. Friman, D. Robinson, E. Wilmshurst, *An Introduction to International Criminal Law and Procedure*, Cambridge 2010, p. 63.

¹⁵ B. Krzan, *Human Rights and International Criminal Law*, [in:] ed. B. Krzan, *Prosecuting International Crimes: A Multidisciplinary Approach*, Leiden, Boston 2016, p. 153.

¹⁶ *Idem*, p. 155.

¹⁷ R. Cryer, H. Friman, D. Robinson, E. Wilmshurst, *op. cit.*, pp. 13-14.

⁸ D. Scalia, *Use of Human Rights in International Criminal Law: Influence or Appearances of Legitimacy?*, [in:] *Convergences and Divergences between International Human Rights, International Humanitarian and International Criminal Law*, eds. De Hert, S. Smis, M. Holvoet, Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland 2018, pp. 30-31.

¹⁹ See A.S. Galand, *The Systemic Effect of International Human Rights Law on International Criminal Law*, [in:] *Human Rights Norms in 'Other' International Courts. Studies on International Courts and Tribunals*, ed. M. Scheinin, Cambridge 2019, p. 87.

²⁰ See T. Dias, *Beyond Imperfect Justice: Legality and Fair Labelling in International Criminal Law*, Leiden, Boston 2022, pp. 213-240.

²¹ UNTS, vol. 2187, No. 38544, pp. 91-152.

²² N.A.J. Croquet, *op. cit.*, p. 97.



Faculty of Law and Administration

proceedings, such as: accused, witnesses, victim and even lawyers, judges, or court staff.

Second, those who are not directly participating in criminal proceedings²³.

INTERFERENCE OF INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS LAW IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

I. ACCUSED

1. Fair trial

One of the most important rights that primary beneficiaries are accused is the right to a fair trial²⁴. This right is connected with the concept of “due process” can be trace back to Magna Charta from 1215²⁵. This term is derived from the principle of legality according to which all States are obliged to respect the rule of law, including the principle of separation of powers and legal personality of individuals under States jurisdiction²⁶. The right to fair trial is envisaged in fundamental human rights documents such as: the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (Article 10)²⁷, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR - Article 14)²⁸, the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR - Article 6) complemented by Protocol 7 of the said Convention, the American Convention on Human Rights (ACHR - Article 8), the African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights (Article 7)²⁹ or the Arab Charter on Human Rights (Articles 14-15)³⁰. Furthermore, even in all four Geneva Conventions adopted in 1949³¹. In addition, the right to fair trial was stipulated in Article 20³² of the Statute of the ICTY or Article 19³³ of the Statute of the ICTR³⁴. It is either a conventional or customary rule of international law from which there can be no derogation³⁵. In addition, the right to a fair trial is

²³ E. Schmid, H. Drif, *International Criminal Law and Human Rights*, [in:] *Elgar Encyclopedia of Human Rights*, eds. C. Binder, Nowak, J.A. Hofbauer, P. Janig, Cheltenham 2022, pp. 224-225.

²⁴ A. Clooney, P. Webb, *The Right to a Fair Trial in International Law*, Oxford 2021, para 4.4., p. 33.

²⁵ Zappalà, *Human Rights in International Criminal Proceedings*, Oxford 2003, p. 3.

²⁶ L. Doswald-Beck, *Fair Trial, Right to, International Protection*, “Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law”, April 2013, <https://opil-ouplaw.com/peacepalace.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/law:epil/9780199231690/law-9780199231690-e737rskey=rSj6qn&result=1&prd=MPIL> (access: 12.3.2024), para 2.

²⁷ A/RES/217 A (III), 10 December 1948.

²⁸ TS 1976, vol. 999, no. 14668, pp. 171-301.

²⁹ Available at: <https://au.int/en/treaties/african-charter-human-and-peoples-rights> (access: 9.2.2024).

³⁰ translated from Arabic version provided by the League of Arab States, [ST/HR/JCHR/NONE/2004/40/Rev.1, <https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/551368> (access: 9.2.2024).

³¹ See L. Doswald-Beck, *op. cit.*, paras 4-5.

³² S/RES/823, 25 May 1993.

³³ S/RES/955, 8 November 1994.

³⁴ See B. Krzan, *op. cit.*, p. 158.

³⁵ A. Clooney, P. Webb, *op. cit.*, para 3.2, p. 20.



Faculty of Law and Administration

at the heart of both the rights of the defense and the guarantee of the rule of law³⁶. This right is treated as a bundle of rights³⁷ and applies to all proceedings, whether civil or criminal, and at all stages of the proceedings³⁸.

Fair trial standards fall into three groups: impartiality, procedure and evidence. The first group includes: the presumption of innocence until proven guilty by an impartial tribunal established by law and the right to a public trial. The second group encompasses: the right of the accused to be informed promptly of the charges against him; the right to legal assistance of his own choice and to sufficient time to prepare a defense; the right to a speedy trial; the right against self-incrimination; and the right to appeal. The third group contains: the right to know the evidence against him, including the right to cross-examine prosecution witnesses; the right to present evidence in his own defense; and the right to be informed of any exculpatory evidence in the possession of the prosecution³⁹.

1.1. Impartiality

The presumption of innocence is called a “golden thread”⁴⁰ and requires the prosecution to prove the charges and ensures that the accused is given the benefit of the doubt⁴¹. It is the privilege against self-incrimination⁴². It is a common view that presumption of innocence means the following: the person charged with crime is treated as innocent until proven guilty, the burden of proof lies on the prosecutor; the defendant may limit himself to rebutting the evidence presented by the prosecutor, the tribunal must be convinced of guilt according to a certain standard of proof, such as the judge’s inner conviction in civil law and beyond a reasonable doubt in common law⁴³. The presumption of innocence is violated when a judicial decision or a statement by a public official expresses an opinion that someone is guilty before there is evidence of guilt⁴⁴. The right to remain silent and not to be compelled to testify against

³⁶ S. Trechsel, *Human Rights in Criminal Proceedings*, Oxford 2006, p. 84.

³⁷ See A. Clooney, P. Webb, *op. cit.*, para 2.3, pp. 8-11.

³⁸ *Ibidem*, para 4.2, p. 74.

³⁹ F. Ehrhoff, *The Size Fits All? A Looming Look at Human Rights in International Criminal Legal Proceedings*, [in:] *International Law and the Protection of Humanity: Essays in Honor of Flavia Lattanzi*, eds. P. Acconci, D. Cattin, A. Marchesi, G. Palmisano, V. Santori, Leiden, Boston 2016, p. 416.

⁴⁰ McDermott, *Fairness in International Criminal Trials*, Oxford 2016, p. 42.

⁴¹ General Comment No. 32, *Article 14: Right to equality before courts and tribunals and to a fair trial*, CCPR/C/27/C/32, 23 August 2007, para 30.

⁴² A. Schabas, *The European Convention on Human Rights: A Commentary*, Oxford 2015, p. 300.

⁴³ A. Cassese, *International Criminal Law*, Oxford 2003, p. 390.

⁴⁴ W.A. Schabas, *An Introduction to the International Criminal Court*, Cambridge 2020, p. 219.



Faculty of Law and Administration

oneself is a right that is intertwined with the right to be presumed innocent⁴⁵. Furthermore, all human rights documents as well as statutes of international criminal courts guarantee that a fair trial must be conducted before an “independent and impartial tribunal established by law”. The ICTY stresses that this is a fundamental human right and an integral part of the right to a fair trial⁴⁶. Without any doubt, judicial independence and impartiality is crucial for the rule of law and indispensable in the protection of individual rights⁴⁷. In addition, it is an absolute right and is not subject to any exceptions⁴⁸. Government and other institutions are obliged to respect and uphold the independence of the judiciary. Furthermore, everyone shall have the right to be tried by ordinary courts or tribunals according to established legal procedures⁴⁹. The “tribunal” is defined not by the name but by the judicial functions⁵⁰. Impartiality is indispensable for the proper performance of the judicial function. The judge should perform his or her function without any bias or prejudice⁵¹. This is a general rule according to which the judge should be both subjectively free from any form of bias and there should be nothing in the surrounding circumstances that would objectively give rise to the appearance of bias⁵². Therefore, there are two types of obstacles to impartiality: subjective and objective. The first concerns any personal relationship between the judge and the parties to the case. The second concerns the appearance of partiality or bias on the part of the judge in a particular case in favor of one of the parties to the case⁵³. One of prominent scholars in the field emphasizes that independence and impartiality of judges can be ensured by: proper mechanism of selection of judges, prohibiting judges to seek or receive instructions from outside authorities involved in the proceedings and establishing control mechanism to prevent judges from any form of bias⁵⁴. The right to public hearing in human rights instruments includes the right to be tried in public and the right to have

⁴⁵ Y. McIrmott, *op. cit.*, p. 46.

⁴⁶ ICJ, *Prosecutor v. Anto Furundžija*, Case No. IT-95-17/1-A, 21 July 2000, para 177.

⁴⁷ T. Meron, *Judicial Independence and Impartiality in International Criminal Tribunals*, “American Journal of International Law” 2005, vol. 99(2), p. 359.

⁴⁸ *Ibidem* Comment No. 32, para 19.

⁴⁹ Basic Principles on the Independence of the Judiciary, adopted on 6 September 1985, the Seventh United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders held at Milan from 26 August to 6 September 1985, para 1.5.

⁵⁰ ECHR, *Belilos v. Switzerland*, Application no. 10328/83, Judgment 29 April 1988, para 64.

⁵¹ *Ibidem*, para 2.1.

⁵² *Idem*, Case No. IT-95-17/1-A, para 189.

⁵³ M.E. Villiger, *Handbook on the European Convention on Human Rights*, Leiden, Boston 2023, pp. 339-340.

⁵⁴ A. Cassese, *op. cit.*, p. 393.



Faculty of Law and Administration

the judgment publicly announced⁵⁵. Sometimes it may extend to the pre-trial stage and appeals as well⁵⁶. The court is required to give notice of the time and place of the hearing and to provide adequate facilities⁵⁷. It provides an important safeguard for the interests of individuals and civil society by ensuring transparency of procedures⁵⁸. It therefore has several functions: to ensure a fair trial for the accused, to help ensure reliable testimony, and it can be referred to as the unknown witness rule, namely the witness who is unknown to all the parties and who has important information about the case can be summoned for a public hearing⁵⁹. The right to a public hearing is also closely connected to the defendant's right to be present at his trial⁶⁰.

1.2. Procedure

The second set of safeguards began with the right of the accused to be informed promptly of the accusation, which is stipulated in Articles 14(3)(a) of the ICCPR, 6 (3)(a) of the ECHR and 8 (2)(b) of the ACHR. This right constitutes one of the essential elements of the right to prepare a defense, beside the right to adequate time and facilities for the preparation of a defense⁶¹. The aim of these minimum guarantees concerning notification about nature and cause of charge is rather obvious, namely the right to defend oneself when the accused is aware of the accusation⁶². International documents do not have specific requirements as to the form in which such information should be provided⁶³. There are two aspects to the right of the accused to be promptly informed of the charges. The first is the right of the defense to be promptly informed of the charges, which is related to the maxim *nemo iudex sine actore*. The second, known as the principle of immutability, states that the charges, once notified, may not be amended unless new information comes to light⁶⁴. The notice should include a statement of

⁵⁵ *Guide on Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights, Right to a fair trial (criminal limb)*, para 280, https://www.echr.coe.int/documents/d/echr/guide_art_6_criminal_eng (access: 16.2.2024). See C. Lysaght, *Publicity of Court Proceedings*, "Irish Jurist" 2003, vol. 38, pp. 34-35.

⁵⁶ A. Clooney, P. Webb, *op. cit.*, para 4, p. 158.

⁵⁷ General Comment No. 32, para 28.

⁵⁸ *Ibidem*.

⁵⁹ T.A. Sinars, *The Accused's Right to a Public Trial*, "Notre Dame Law Review" 1967, vol. 42(4), pp. 500-501.

⁶⁰ A. Clooney, P. Webb, *op. cit.*, para 6, pp. 185-186.

⁶¹ *Ibidem*, para 4, p. 261.

⁶² S. Trechsel, *op. cit.*, p. 193.

⁶³ W.A. Schabas, *The European...*, p. 308.

⁶⁴ S. Trechsel, *op. cit.*, p. 195.



Faculty of Law and Administration

the factual basis of the charge and the legal significance of the charge, which must be determined in order to prepare an effective defense⁶⁵.

The ICCPR, the ECHR as well as the ACHR, guarantee the defendant the right to defend himself or through legal assistant of his own choice⁶⁶. Provisions of all the three human rights instruments are very similar. The only substantive difference we can find is that the ACHR does not limit the right to public defender to cases where the interests of justice so require⁶⁷. The same provision can be found also in the Article 21(4)(d) of the ICTY Statute, Article 20(4)(d) of the ICTR Statute, Article 67(1)(d) of the ICC Statute⁶⁸ or Article 17(4)(d) of the Special Tribunal for Sierra Leone (STSL) Statute⁶⁹, and has been confirmed by the jurisprudence of the ICTY as well as the ICTR⁷⁰, the STSL⁷¹ likewise the ICC⁷². However, case law also indicates that courts are willing to impose legal representation rather than allow the defendant to defend himself in order to act in the defendant's best interests⁷³. This right is the pillar of criminal procedure and is indispensable for the protection of the human rights of the accused⁷⁴. Furthermore, it is recognized under customary international law⁷⁵. In the light of human rights instruments, this right comprises several elements: the right to be informed of the right to legal representation, the right to prompt access to a legal counsel at the expense of the State if the

⁶⁵ I. Perelló Doménech, *The Right to be Informed of the Charge (Article 6.3 A) ECHR*, [in:] *Europe of Rights: A Compendium on the European Convention of Human Rights*, eds. J.G. Roca, P. Santolaya, Leiden, Boston 2012, p. 34.

⁶⁶ See Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers, adopted on 07 September 1990 by the Eighth United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders, Havana, Cuba, para 1.

⁶⁷ Trechsel, *op. cit.*, pp. 242-243.

⁶⁸ A/CONF.183/9, 17 July 1998.

⁶⁹ Available at: <https://rscsl.org/t33/csl/documents/> (access: 18.2.2024).

⁷⁰ See e.g.: ICTY, *Prosecutor v. Slobodan Milošević*, Case No. IT-02-54 T, Reasons for decision on assignment of defence counsel, Decision, 22 September 2004, para 32; ICTR, *Prosecutor v. Nyiramasuhuko*, Decision on Ntabali's Motion for Withdrawal of Counsel, File No. ICTR-97-229, 22 June 2001, para 20.

⁷¹ SCSL, *Prosecutor v. Sam Hinga Norman, Moinina Fofana, Allieu Kondewa*, Case No. SCSL-04-14-T, Decision on the Application of Sam Hinga Norman for Self-Representation under Article 17(4)(d) of the Statute of the Special Court, June 2004, para 32; W.A. Schabas, *The International Criminal Court: A Commentary on the Rome Statute*, Oxford 2016, 72038.

⁷² See e.g. ICC, *Case Prosecutor v. Paul Cheru and Philip Kipkoech Bett*, Prosecution's written submissions concerning self-representation, severance of the charges, registration and disclosure of evidence, and other procedural matters pertaining to pre-confirmation proceedings, ICC-01/09-01/15, 16 November 2020, paras 11-17.

⁷³ W.A. Schabas, *The International...*, pp. 1038-1041. See e.g.: S. Gede, *The Right To A Fair Trial In International Criminal Law*, [in:] *Protecting Humanity: Essays in International Law and Policy* 2 Honour of Navanethem Pillay, ed. C. Eboe-Osuji, Leiden, Boston 2010, pp. 536-538; V. Tochilovsky, *The law and jurisprudence of the international criminal tribunals and courts: procedure, evidence and human rights aspects*, The Hague 2022, p. 425.

⁷⁴ K.S. Gallant, *The Role and Powers of Defense Counsel in the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court*, "International Lawyer (ABA)" 2000, vol. 34(1), p. 22.

⁷⁵ J.T. Tuinstra, *Defending the Defenders: The Role of Defence Counsel in International Criminal Trials*, "Journal of International Criminal Justice" 2010, vol. 8(2), p. 463.



Faculty of Law and Administration

60
accused can't afford it, the right to choose the legal counsel, the right of the accused to communicate in confidence with the legal representative, the right not to accept a legal counsel and to defend oneself⁷⁶. As to the last one, the right to defend oneself gives the accused an opportunity to personal and direct intervention in the proceeding in order to exercise the right to defend oneself⁷⁷. It is even called a cornerstone of justice, because if a defendant is forced to accept a counsel whom he does not trust, he will be unable to defend himself effectively⁷⁸ and, in fact, this can even compromise the integrity of the proceedings⁷⁹. Furthermore, such rights are inherent in the notion of adversarial procedure. The accused is also entitled to be represented by a legal counsel⁸⁰. The right to counsel means that the accused has access to a well-qualified lawyer, taking into account the requirements established by national law⁸¹. International criminal tribunals require the counsel to meet high standards of competence, such as several years of experience, fluency in the working languages of a particular tribunal, and high moral standing⁸².

54
The right to adequate time and facilities for the preparation of one's defense means that the accused is allowed to prepare his defense in an adequate manner and without restrictions on the ability to present all relevant facts during the proceedings⁸³. This guarantee is important both to ensure a fair trial and to apply the principle of equality of arms⁸⁴, which requires that each party be given a reasonable opportunity to present its case under conditions that do not place it at a substantial disadvantage compared to its opponent⁸⁵. What constitutes "adequate time" will depend on the circumstances of a particular case⁸⁶. As regards the "adequate facilities", they include: access to incriminatory and exculpatory evidence, adequate conditions

⁷⁶ A. Clooney, P. Webb, *op. cit.*, para 5, p. 327. See N. Daminova, *The European Court of Human Rights on the 'Access to a Lawyer' Directive 2013/48/EU: the Quest for a Coherent Application of the Right to a Legal Assistance in EU* 15?, "European Criminal Law Review" 2021, vol. 2, pp. 220-221.

⁷⁷ C.A. Fanego, *Requirements in Relation to the Right to a Defence: The Right to Defend Oneself, to Legal Assistance and Legal Aid (Art. 6.3.C ECHR)*, [in:] *Europe of Rights...*, p. 269.

⁷⁸ A. Clooney, P. Webb, *op. cit.*, para 9, p. 372.

⁷⁹ R. Assy, *Injustice in Person: The Right to Self-Representation*, Oxford 2015, p. 184.

⁸⁰ W.A. Schabas, *The European...*, p. 310.

⁸¹ A. Clooney, P. Webb, *op. cit.*, para 4.1, p. 334. See Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers, para 6.

⁸² J.T. Tuinstra, *op. cit.*, p. 477.

⁸³ *Guide on Article 6...*, para 421.

⁸⁴ General Comment No. 32, para 31. See M. Fedorova, *The Principle of Equality of Arms in International Criminal Proceedings*, [in:] *Defense Perspectives on International Criminal Justice*, eds. C. Rohan, G. Zyberi, Cambridge 2017, p. 204.

⁸⁵ 91 HR, *Dombo Beheer BV v. the Netherlands*, (Application no. 14448/88), Judgment 27 October 1993, para 33.

⁸⁶ General Comment No. 32, para 32, *Guide on Article 6...*, para 422.



Faculty of Law and Administration

of detention, which allows for the proper organization of defense and appropriate resources e.g.: access to legal support or experts⁸⁷.

The second category of guarantees includes also the right to a speedy trial (undue delay), which dates back to Henry II and the Assize of Clarendon in 1166. The next step was the Magna Carta of 1215, the Virginia Declaration of Rights of 1776, and the United States Bill of Rights⁸⁸. This right is expressed in the ICCPR, the ECHR, the ACHR, as well as in instruments concerning the rights of the most vulnerable groups, such as children⁸⁹, migrants⁹⁰ or persons deprived of their liberty⁹¹, among others. The right to a speedy trial has several functions, including limiting violations of personal liberty caused by detention, preventing unreasonable detention, and preventing the loss of evidence⁹². Furthermore, it also helps to ensure public confidence in the administration of justice⁹³. This right applies to all stages of proceedings from pre-trial to appeals⁹⁴. The jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR), the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, and the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights indicates that all of these bodies consider several elements in assessing whether the trial has been undue, namely: the complexity of the case; the conduct of the defendant and the authorities; and the extent of the prejudice caused to the accused by the delay. With regard to the latter, the Human Rights Committee and the African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights do not always take them into account⁹⁵.

The right against self-incrimination can be defined as the individual's right to remain silent and not be forced to cooperate with law enforcement in order to incriminate himself⁹⁶.

⁸⁷ 11 Clooney, P. Webb, *op. cit.*, para 6.2, p. 284.

⁸⁸ C. Cline, *Trial with undue delay: a promise unfulfilled in international criminal courts*, "Revista Brasileira de Políticas Públicas Brazilian Journal of Public Policy" 2018, vol. 8(1), pp. 57-58.

⁸⁹ See e.g.: Article 40 (2)(b)(iii) of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 24 November 1989, UNTS 1990, vol. 1577, No. 27531, pp. 3-177.

⁹⁰ Article 18 (3)(c) of the International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of their Families, New York, 18 December 1990, UNTS vol. 2220, No. 39481, pp. 3-257.

⁹¹ Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, adopted on 09 December 1988 by General Assembly resolution 43/173, Principle 32.

⁹² B. Farrell, *The Right to a Speedy Trial before International Criminal Tribunals*, "South African Journal on Human Rights" 2017, vol. 19(1), p. 99.

⁹³ M. Henzelin, H. Rordorf, *When Does the Length of Criminal Proceedings Become Unreasonable According to the European Court of Human Rights*, "New Journal of European Criminal Law" 2014, vol. 5(1), pp. 81-82.

⁹⁴ S. Jegede, *op. cit.*, p. 542.

⁹⁵ A. Clooney, P. Webb, *op. cit.*, paras 5.1.1., 10, pp. 402-403, 445.

⁹⁶ J.E. Veas, *A Comparative Analysis of the Case Law of the European Court of Human Rights on the Right against Self-Incrimination*, "Revista Brasileira de Direito Processual Penal" 2022, vol. 8(2), p. 870.



Faculty of Law and Administration

This right is explicitly recognized in the ICCPR, the ACHR and EU Directive 2016/343⁹⁷, but not in the ECHR⁹⁸. Nevertheless, the case law of the ECtHR has confirmed the right of the accused not to incriminate himself⁹⁹. It should be noted that, in the light of human rights instruments, the right to silence and the right against self-incrimination are an integral part of the right not to be compelled to testify against oneself or to plead guilty. However, these rights are not identical. The latter is narrow because it protects only the form of answers to questions that might be incriminating. On the other hand, the right to remain silent is broader and includes also the production of documents and other materials against the accused¹⁰⁰.

The last element of the second set of norms is the right of appeal. Initially, it was not an autonomous right, but rather a part of the reconsideration of the judgment or sentence by the supreme authority. The right to appeal arose from international human rights law and was not provided for at the Nuremberg and Tokyo Tribunals¹⁰¹. However, this right is enshrined in the Statutes of the ICTY (Article 25), the ICTR (Article 24), the ICC (Articles 81-83)¹⁰², the STSL (Article 20) or the Special Tribunal for Lebanon (STL -Article 26)¹⁰³. It is a fundamental element of civil and political rights, and an ordinary measure against a decision¹⁰⁴. It allows parties to challenge judgments for alleged errors of law or fact that result in miscarriages of justice¹⁰⁵. The right to appeal also allows the accused to obtain a more favorable decision. Furthermore, it is a remedy for violations of other fair trial rights¹⁰⁶.

1.3. Evidence

⁹⁷ Directive (EU) 2016/343 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 March 2016 on the strengthening of certain aspects of the presumption of innocence and of the right to be present at the trial in criminal proceedings, OJ L 65, 11.3.2016.

⁹⁸ A. Sakowicz, *Standard of the protection of the right to silence applicable to persons examined as witnesses in the light of the European Court of Human Rights case law*, "Ius et Veritas" 2018, vol. 12(2), p. 120.

⁹⁹ V. Dimitrijević, *The Human Right To Remain Silent*, [in:] *International Law: New Actors, New Concepts - Continuing Dilemmas: Liber Amicorum Božidar Bakotić*, eds. B. Vukas, T. Šošić, Leiden, Boston 2010, p. 393.

¹⁰⁰ A. Clooney, P. Webb, *op. cit.*, para 4.2, p. 615.

¹⁰¹ S. Zappalà, *op. cit.*, pp. 153-154.

¹⁰² A. Mosna, *Some thoughts on the nature and limits of the right to appeal in front of the ICC*, "Kritische Vierteljahresschrift für Gesetzgebung und Rechtswissenschaft" 2017, vol. 100(3), p. 267.

¹⁰³ Attachment: Statute of the Special Tribunal for Lebanon, S/RES/1757 (2007), 30 May 2007.

¹⁰⁴ Report of the Secretary-General pursuant to paragraph 2 of Security Council Resolution 808 (1993), S/25704, 3 May 1993, para 116.

¹⁰⁵ G. Boas, J.L. Bischoff, N.L. Reid, B.D. Taylor III, *op. cit.*, p. 424.

¹⁰⁶ A. Clooney, P. Webb, *op. cit.*, p. 658.



The third set of standards contains the issue concerning the evidence. Evidence is defined as a means of reestablishing a disputed past event in the context of a legal proceeding¹⁰⁷. Criminal evidence strikes a balance between the accused's right to a defense and society's legal interest in the suppression of crime¹⁰⁸. In accordance with international human rights law, the accused has the right to have access to the witnesses against him or her. This means that witnesses testify in open court and are subject to cross-examination¹⁰⁹. This accused's prerogative is guaranteed in statutes of international criminal courts such as: Article 21 (4)(e) of the ICTY Statute, the Article 20 (4)(e) of the ICTR Statute, Article 67 (1)(e) of the ICC Statute, Article 17 (4)(e) of the STSL Statute or Article 16 (4)(e-f) of the STI Statute. In addition, is similar drafted in human rights instruments¹¹⁰. Some differences are contained in Article 8(2)(f) of the ACHR, which concerns "witnesses present in the court"¹¹¹. The purpose of the right to cross-examine witnesses is to give the accused the same legal power as the prosecutor in this respect¹¹². However, all of the provisions are intended to ensure equality of arms¹¹³. Furthermore, it has a twofold function, first, it gives the accused the right to cross-examine witnesses and even challenge their testimony; second, it requires the court to assist in obtaining the presence of defense witnesses who may throw light on the facts¹¹⁴. The right to cross-examine prosecution witnesses is not unlimited, but concerns only those who are critical to the defense and provide a fair opportunity to question and challenge the witnesses against the accused¹¹⁵. In addition, the accused has the right to present evidence in his favor, which is counterpart of the prosecutor's obligation to prove the guilt of the accused. The latter has also the right to access to exculpatory evidence¹¹⁶. The practice of disclosing both incriminating and exculpatory material differs between the ICC¹¹⁷ and other international tribunals. It is up to the

¹⁰⁷ B. Krzan, *Admissibility of evidence and international criminal justice*, "Revista Brasileira de Direito Processual Penal" 18(1), vol. 7(1), p. 162.

¹⁰⁸ G.J. Knoops, *An Introduction to the Law of International Criminal Tribunals: A Comparative Study. Second Revised Edition*, Leiden, Boston 2014, p. 223.

¹⁰⁹ A. Honey, P. Webb, *op. cit.*, p. 493.

¹¹⁰ K. Vanderpuye, *Traditions in Conflict: The Internationalization of Confrontation*, "Cornell International Law Journal" 2010, vol. 38(3), pp. 538-564.

¹¹¹ L. Hennebel, H. Tigroudja, *The American Convention on Human Rights: A Commentary*, Oxford 2022, p. 350.

¹¹² General Comment No. 32, para 39.

¹¹³ See L. Hennebel, H. Tigroudja, *op. cit.*, p. 350.

¹¹⁴ S. Trechsel, *op. cit.*, pp. 129-139.

¹¹⁵ General Comment No. 32, para 39.

¹¹⁶ F. Harhoff, *op. cit.*, pp. 433-435.

¹¹⁷ See e.g.: X.J. Keita, *Disclosure of Evidence in the Law and Practice of the ICC*, "International Criminal Law Review" 2016, vol. 16(6), pp. 1018-1047.



prosecutor to decide whether evidence is incriminating or exculpatory. These rights are an integral element of the principle of the equality of arms¹¹⁸.

II. VICTIM AND WITNESSES

The Nuremberg and the Tokyo Tribunals overlooked the protection of the victims and witnesses in their Statutes. On the other hand, the Statutes of the ICTY and the ICTR deal extensively with the protection of victims and witnesses¹¹⁹. Furthermore, the United Nations and regional organizations have adopted a number of non-binding instruments in this regard. The most important of these is the charter of victim rights, namely Declaration of Basic Principles of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power according to which “victim” is a person who has suffered harm, such as physical or mental injury, emotional suffering, economic loss, or significant impairment of his or her fundamental rights, by acts or omissions that violate criminal laws in force in the Member States. The term “victim” also includes the immediate family or dependants of the direct victim¹²⁰. Victims should be guaranteed access to justice, fair treatment and redress¹²¹. On 16 December 2005, the United Nations General Assembly adopted the Basic Principles and Guidelines on the Right to a Remedy and Reparation for Victims of Gross Violations of International Human Rights Law and Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law, the so-called “Van Boven/Bassiouni Principles”¹²². These principles include, inter alia, the obligation to respect, ensure respect for and implementation international human rights law and international humanitarian law, the obligation in cases of gross violations of international human rights law and serious violations of international humanitarian law constituting crimes under international law, definition of victim, the principles governing the treatment of victims, access to justice and the question of redress for victims. The most important thing is that they have adopted a “victim-oriented perspective”¹²³. Furthermore, the one of the six main organs of the United Nations – the Economic and Social Council issued the Guidelines on Justice in Matters involving Child

¹¹⁸ McDermott, *op. cit.*, pp. 81-83.

¹¹⁹ Bachrach, *The Protection and Rights of Victims under International Criminal Law*, “International Lawyer” 2006, vol. 34(1), pp. 7-20.

¹²⁰ Adopted on 29 November 1985 by General Assembly resolution 40/34, A/RES/40/34, 29 November 1985, paras 1-2.

¹²¹ *Ibidem*.

¹²² Adopted by General Assembly resolution 60/147, A/RES/60/147, 21 March 2006. See e.g.: M. Zwanenburg, *The Van Boven/Bassiouni Principles: An Appraisal*, “Netherlands Quarterly of Human Rights” 2006, vol. 24(4), pp. 641-668.

¹²³ M. Zwanenburg, *op. cit.*, p. 645.



20
Victims and Witnesses of Crime¹²⁴. According to this instrument, “child victims and witnesses” means “children, adolescents, under the age of 18, who are victims of crime or witnesses to crime regardless of their role in the offence or in the prosecution of the alleged offender or groups of offenders”¹²⁵. The Guidelines provide for best practices based on consensus, international and regional principles and standards to assist States, Governments, organizations, 104
civil society or other interested parties in protecting and ensuring the full enjoyment of the 20
rights of children who are victims and witnesses of crime¹²⁶. It also sets out the principles of dignity, non-discrimination, best interests of the child, protection, harmonious development and the right to participation, which should be followed by all professionals and those responsible for the welfare of children¹²⁷. In addition, the Guidelines guarantee some rights for children who are victims and witnesses of crime including: the right to be treated with dignity and compassion, the right to be protected from discrimination, the right to be informed, the right to be heard and to express views and concerns, the right to safety, the right to reparation, among others¹²⁸

101
It should also be noted that regional organizations have also adopted an instrument on 70
victims and witnesses. The Council of Europe adopted the European Convention on the Compensation of Victims of Violent Crimes on 24 November, 1983¹²⁹. The objectives of this 10
Convention are: harmonization at European level of guidelines on compensation to victims of 42
violent crimes and close cooperation between the States-Parties to the Convention in this 2
respect¹³⁰. As a non-binding document, the Council of Europe Committee of Ministers for the 7
first time adopted Recommendation No. R(85) 11 to the Member States on the position of the 7
victim in the framework of criminal law and procedure¹³¹. On 15 March 2023, the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe adopted the Recommendation on Rights, Services and Support for Victims of Crime¹³², which updates and replace the Recommendation Rec(2006)8

¹²⁴ ECOSOC Resolution 2005/20, E/RES/2005/20, 16 December 2005.

¹²⁵ Ibidem, para 9 (a).

¹²⁶ Ibidem, para 1.

¹²⁷ Ibidem, para 8.

¹²⁸ Ibidem, paras V-XIV.

¹²⁹ 52 S No. 116.

¹³⁰ Explanatory Report to the European Convention on the Compensation of Victims of Violent Crimes, ETS No. 112 para 11.

¹³¹ Adopted by the Committee of Ministers 39, 28 June 1985 at the 387th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies.

¹³² Recommendation CM/Rec(2023)2 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on rights, services and support for victims of crime, Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 15 March 2023 at the 1460th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies.



Faculty of Law and Administration

of the Committee of Ministers to member States on assistance to crime victims¹³³. This last Recommendation was drafted by the European Committee on Crime Problems. In particular, it introduced the right to be heard with regard to any decision that significantly affects their interests (Article 10) and the right to a remedy (Article 16)¹³⁴. Regarding the protection of witnesses, the Council of Europe adopted in 2022 a new Recommendation¹³⁵, replacing Recommendation Rec(2005)9 of the Committee of Ministers to Member States on the Protection of Witnesses and Collaborators in Justice¹³⁶. The Recommendation includes definition of a witness i.e. “any person who possesses information relevant to criminal proceedings about which he or she has given and/or is able to give testimony (irrespective of his or her status and of the direct or indirect, oral or written form of the testimony, in accordance with national law) and who is not included in the definition of “collaborator of justice”, and sets out, inter alia, general principles, protection measures and a program.

Another regional organization, the European Union, adopted Council Directive 2004/80/EC of 29 April 2004 relating to compensation to crime victims¹³⁷ in order to ensure enjoyment of fair and appropriate compensation for the injuries suffered by victims or Directive 2012/29/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 establishing minimum standards on the rights, support and protection of victims of crime, and replacing Council Framework Decision 2001/220/JHA. The main objective of this Directive is to ensure that victims of crime receive adequate information, support, protection and participation in criminal proceedings¹³⁸.

If we look back at the Nuremberg or Tokyo Tribunals, it is impossible to find the words “victim” or “survivor”. The Statutes of both Tribunals did not provide for the participation of victims or survivors in the proceedings¹³⁹. The Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the ICTY

¹³³ Recommendation Rec(2006)8 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on assistance to crime victims, Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 14 June 2006 at the 967th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies.

¹³⁴ See Newsroom, New Recommendation on Rights, Services and Support for Victims of Crime, <https://www.coe.int/en/web/cdpc/-/new-recommendation-on-rights-services-and-support-for-victims-of-crime> (access: 28.2.2024).

¹³⁵ Recommendation CM/Rec(2022)10 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the protection of witnesses and collaborators of justice, Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 30 March 2022 at the 1430th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies.

¹³⁶ Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 20 April 2005 at the 924th meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies.

¹³⁷ OJ L 261, 6.8.2004.

¹³⁸ OJ L 315, 14.11.2012.

¹³⁹ S. Garkawe, *The Role and Rights of Victims at the Nuremberg International Military Tribunal*, [in:] *112 Nuremberg Trials: International Criminal Law Since 1945: 60th Anniversary International Conference/Die Nürnberger Prozesse Völkerstrafrecht seit 1945: Internationale Konferenz zum 60. Jahrestag*, eds. H.R.



Faculty of Law and Administration

(Rule 2A)¹⁴⁰ and the ICTR (Rule 2A)¹⁴¹ or STSL (Rule 2A)¹⁴² contain the concept of victim,¹¹ which is limited to the person who is a direct victim of a war crime.⁴² Furthermore, the role of the victim is very limited to that of the witness, although all tribunals have a victims and witnesses unit¹⁴³. It should be noted that during the drafting process of the Statute of the ICTY,⁸⁷ there was a proposal to appoint a separate counsel for victims. However, this proposal was rejected¹⁴⁴. On the other hand, the ICC is a victim-centered tribunal. It is likely that this change is the result of the abandonment of the criticism of the *ad hoc* Tribunals.³¹ Likewise, the STL (Rules 86-87)¹⁴⁵, which ceases operation on December 31, 2023¹⁴⁶ or Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC - Rule 23)¹⁴⁷ have adopted a victim-oriented approach¹⁴⁸. Under the ICC's Rules of Procedure and Evidence, a "victim" is an individual who has suffered harm resulting from the commission of a crime within the Court's jurisdiction (Rule 85).³⁶ Furthermore, the term "victim" may also include organizations or institutions¹⁴⁹. The latter should demonstrate the direct harm and the former only the harm¹⁵⁰. In the ICC Statute there are also several references to the victim role such as in the Preamble of the ICC Statute, the right to participate in the proceeding establishment of a Victims and Witnesses Unit and Trust Found for Victims¹⁵¹ or entitlement of victims to reparations (Article 75) among others¹⁵². The victim shall have the right to participate in the proceedings referred to in Article 68(3) or to

Reginbogin, C. Safferling *et. al*, München 2006, p. 86. See N. Boister, R. Cryer, *The Tokyo International Military Tribunal - A Reappraisal*, Oxford 2008, pp. 358.

¹⁴⁰ 2/Rev.50, 8 July 2015.

¹⁴¹ Adopted on 29 June 1995; as amended on: 13 May 2015, <https://unictir.irmct.org/sites/unictir.org/files/legal-library/150513-rpe-en-fr.pdf> (access: 29.2.2024).

¹⁴² Adopted on 16 January 2002 (ICTR Rules, applicable *mutatis mutandis*), as amended on 31 May 2012, <https://unictir.irmct.org/sites/unictir.org/files/legal-library/150513-rpe-en-fr.pdf> (access: 29.2.2024).

¹⁴³ See 14: G. Boas, J.L. Bischoff, N.L. Reid, B.D. Taylor III, *op. cit.*, p. 309.

¹⁴⁴ B. McGonigle Leyh, *Understanding Limitations: Victim Participation and the International Criminal Court*, [in: *Victimological Approaches to International Crimes: Africa*, eds. R. Letschert, R. Haveman, A.M. de Brouwer, A. Emberton, Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland 2011, pp. 493-494.

¹⁴⁵ Rules of Procedure and Evidence, amended on 10 April 2019, STL-BD-2009-01-Rev.10.

¹⁴⁶ See Justice served: Lebanon's Special Tribunal closes, <https://news.un.org/en/story/2023/12/1145217> (access: 1.3.2024); A. Dutton, F. Ní Aoláin, *Between Reparations and Repair: Assessing the Work of the ICC Trust Fund for Victims Under Its Assistance Mandate*, "Chicago Journal of International Law" 2019, vol. 19(2), pp. 490-547.

¹⁴⁷ Internal Rules (Rev.10) as revised 27 October 2022, <https://www.eccc.gov.kh/en/document/legal/internal-rules> (access: 1.3.2024).

¹⁴⁸ A.M. de Brouwer, M. Heikkilä, *Victim Issues: Participation, Protection, Reparation, and Assistance*, [in: *International Criminal Procedure: Principles...*, pp. 1300-1301.

¹⁴⁹ Official Records of the Assembly of States Parties to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, Final Session, New York, 3-10 September 2002 (ICC-ASP/1/3 and Corr.1), part II.A, with amendments.

¹⁵⁰ A. Schabas, *The International Criminal...*, p. 1065.

¹⁵¹ See The Trust Fund for Victims, <https://www.trustfundforvictims.org/> (access: 2.3.2024).

¹⁵² W.A. Schabas, *An Introduction...*, p. 353.



claim compensation¹⁵³. With respect to the former, victims may express their views and concerns at any stage of the trial, including through legal counsel. This is without prejudice to the rights of the accused and to a fair trial¹⁵⁴. Furthermore, the ICC Statute also provides for protective and supportive measures for victim and witness¹⁵⁵.

CONCLUSIONS

The relationship between international criminal law and procedure, human rights and humanitarian law is complex and interdependent¹⁵⁶. In particular, the development of international criminal law and procedure has been significantly influenced by international human rights law and international humanitarian law. According to some academics, it can even be said that international human rights law is the “backbone and general framework of the current law of international criminal procedure”¹⁵⁷. International criminal courts are not human rights tribunals. However, their law and jurisdiction are related to international law in general and its sub-branches i.e. international human rights law and international humanitarian law. Unlike the ICC Statute, the ICTY and the ICTR do not have applicable law provisions. However, they were established by an organ of an international organization - subject of international law and as part of general international law - are not exempted from Article 38 of the ICJ Statute¹⁵⁸. Furthermore, according to the principle of consent, the statutes of criminal tribunals and their other internal documents are binding on states that have consented to their jurisdiction. In a similar vein, we can say about human rights instruments. Thus, most human rights standards have been implemented in the statutes and internal documents of international criminal tribunals.

¹⁵³ W.A. Schabas, *The International Criminal...*, p. 1063.

¹⁵⁴ W.A. Schabas, *An Introduction...*, p. 359.

¹⁵⁵ S. Mouthaan, *The Modalities of Victim Participation*, [in:] *Victim Advocacy before the International Criminal Court*, eds. E. King, R. Letschert, S. Garkawe, E. Pobjie, Cham 2022, p. 3.

¹⁵⁶ See H.P. Gasser, *The changing relationship between International Criminal law, human rights law and humanitarian law*, [in:] *The Legal Regime of the International Criminal Court: Essays in Honour of Professor Igor Blishchenko*, eds. J. Doria, H.P. Gasser, M.C. Bassiouni, Leiden, Boston 2009, p. 1111.

¹⁵⁷ G. Sluiter, *The Law of International Criminal Procedure and Domestic War Crimes Trials*, “International Criminal Law Review” 2006, vol. 6(4), p. 610.

¹⁵⁸ A. Skander Galand, *The Systemic Effect of International Human Rights Law on International Criminal Law*, [in:] ed. M. Scheinin (ed.), *Human Rights Norms in ‘Other’ International Courts*, Cambridge 2019, pp. 87-92.



It is undisputable that human rights are the foundation of the right of the accused¹⁵⁹. The Secretary General of the United Nations clearly stated that it was undeniable that international tribunals should respect rights of accused at all stages of proceedings, which are envisaged in Article 14 of the of the ICCPR¹⁶⁰. Thus, there is no doubt that “The credibility of international justice is dependent on rigorous respect for the rights of the accused to a fair trial”¹⁶¹. Fairness does not require perfection in every detail. But the essence of the concept is whether the accused has had a fair opportunity to respond to the charges against him or her¹⁶².

The cornerstone of international human rights law is also the redress and justice for human rights violations¹⁶³. Therefore, victim’s participation is also crucial in criminal proceedings. For many years, their presence in criminal proceedings was neglected¹⁶⁴. Over time, however, the status of victims has evolved from that of witnesses to that of participants¹⁶⁵. The adoption of the ICC Statute, which strengthens the position of victims in criminal proceedings, was a milestone in this regard.

In sum, international criminal procedure and all sub-branches of international law seek to strike a balance between the right of the accused to a fair trial and the interests of the international community, in particular the right of the victim to reparation for all atrocities suffered¹⁶⁶.

REFERENCES

LITERATURE

Antonowicz L., *Rzecz o państwach i prawie międzynarodowym*, Lublin 2012.

Assy R., *Injustice in Person: The Right to Self-Representation*, Oxford 2015, [Doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199687442.001.0001](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199687442.001.0001).

¹⁵⁹ See D. Scalia, *Human rights in the context of international criminal law: respecting them and ensuring respect for them*, [in:] *Research Handbook on Human Rights and Humanitarian Law*, Cheltenham, eds. R. Kolb, G. Gaggioli, Northampton 2013, pp. 577-582.

¹⁶⁰ S/25704, 3 May 1993, para 106.

¹⁶¹ W.A. Schabas, *The International Criminal...*, p. 1017.

¹⁶² *Prosecutor v. Slobodan Milošević*, Case No. IT-02-54-AR 73.4, Separate Opinion of Judge Mohammed Shahabuddeen appended to the Appeals Chamber Decision on Admissibility of Evidence-in-Chief in the form of Written Statements, Decision of 30 September 2003, para 16. See G. Boas, *The Milošević Trial Lessons for the Conduct of Complex International Criminal Proceedings*, Cambridge 2007, p. 15.

¹⁶³ S. Burra, *Is International Criminal Justice in the Pursuit of Human Rights?*, [in] *Human Rights and International Criminal Law*, eds. B. Uddin Khan, M.J.H. Bhuiyan, Leiden, Boston 2022, p. 41.

¹⁶⁴ S. Zappalá, *The Rights of Victims v. the Rights of the Accused*, “Journal of International Criminal Justice” 2010, vol. 8(1), pp. 137-138.

¹⁶⁵ See D. Scalia, *op. cit.*, pp. 5-7.

¹⁶⁶ G. Boas, J.L. Bischoff, N.L. Reid, B.D. Taylor III, *op. cit.*, pp. 461-463.



UMCS
MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY



**STUDIA IURIDICA
LUBLINENSIA**

Faculty of Law and Administration

Bachrach M., *The Protection and Rights of Victims under International Criminal Law*, "International Lawyer" 2000, vol. 34(1).

Bassiouni C.M., *Chronology of Efforts to Establish an International Criminal Court*, "Revue internationale de droit penal" 2015, vol. 86(3-4), **Doi.org/10.3917/ridp.863.1163**.

Boas G., *The Milošević Trial Lessons for the Conduct of Complex International Criminal Proceedings*, Cambridge 2007, **Doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511619090**.

Boas G., Bischoff J.L., Reid N.L., Taylor B.D. III, *International Criminal Procedure: International Criminal Law Practitioner Library*, vol. III, Cambridge 2011, **Doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511760563**.

Boister N., R. Cryer R., *The Tokyo International Military Tribunal - A Reappraisal*, Oxford 2008, **Doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199278527.001.0001**.

BurraS., *Is International Criminal Justice in the Pursuit of Human Rights?*, [in] *Human Rights and International Criminal Law*, eds. B. Uddin Khan, M.J.H. Bhuiyan, Leiden, Boston 2022, **Doi.org/10.1163/9789004447462_003**.

Cassese A., *International Criminal Law*, Oxford 2003.

Cline C., *Trial without undue delay: a promise unfulfilled in international criminal courts*, "Revista Brasileira de Políticas Públicas Brazilian Journal of Public Policy" 2018, vol. 8(1), **Doi.org/10.5102/rbpp.v8i1.5159**.

Clooney A., Webb P., *The Right to a Fair Trial in International Law*, Oxford 2021.

Colangelo A. J., *Procedural Jus Cogens*, "Columbia Journal of Transnational Law" 2021, vol. 60(2).

Croquet N.A.J., *The International Criminal Court and the Treatment of Defence Rights: A Mirror of the European Court of Human Rights' Jurisprudence?*, "Human Rights Law Review" 2011, vol. 11(1), **Doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngq056**.

Cryer R., Friman H., Robinson D., Wilmschurst E., *An Introduction to International Criminal Law and Procedure*, Cambridge 2010, **Doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511760808**.

Daminova N., *The European Court of Human Rights on the 'Access to a Lawyer' Directive 2013/48/EU: the Quest for a Coherent Application of the Right to a Legal Assistance in Europe?*, "European Criminal Law Review" 2021, vol. 2, **Doi.org/10.5771/2193-5505-2021-2-211**.



UMCS
MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY



**STUDIA IURIDICA
LUBLINENSIA**

Faculty of Law and Administration

de Brouwer A.M., Heikkilä M., *Victim Issues: Participation, Protection, Reparation, and Assistance*, [in:] *International Criminal Procedure: Principles and Rules*, eds. G. Sluiter, H. Friman, S. Linton, S. Vasiliev, S. Zappalà, Oxford 2013.

Dias T., *Beyond Imperfect Justice: Legality and Fair Labelling in International Criminal Law*, Leiden, Boston 2022, **Doi.org/10.1163/9789004510951**.

Dimitrijević V., *The Human Right To Remain Silent*, [in:] *International Law: New Actors, New Concepts - Continuing Dilemmas: Liber Amicorum Božidar Bakotić*, eds. B. Vukas, T. Šošić, Leiden, Boston 2010, **Doi.org/10.1163/ej.9789004181823.i-614.121**.

Dutton A., Ní Aoláin F., *Between Reparations and Repair: Assessing the Work of the ICC Trust Fund for Victims Under Its Assistance Mandate*, "Chicago Journal of International Law" 2019, vol. 19(2), **Doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3254972**.

Fanego C.A., *Requirements in Relation to the Right to a Defence: The Right to Defend Oneself, to Legal Assistance and Legal Aid (Art. 6.3.C ECHR)*, [in:] *Europe of Rights: A Compendium on the European Convention of Human Rights*, eds. J.G. Roca, P. Santolaya, Leiden, Boston 2012, **Doi.org/10.1163/9789004219915_014**.

Farell B., *The Right to a Speedy Trial before International Criminal Tribunals*, "South African Journal on Human Rights" 2003, vol. 19 (1), **Doi.org/10.1080/19962126.2003.11865174**.

Fedorova M., *The Principle of Equality of Arms in International Criminal Proceedings*, [in:] *Defense Perspectives on International Criminal Justice*, eds. C. Rohan, G. Zyberi, Cambridge 2017, **Doi.org/10.1017/9781316091623.009**.

Galand A.S., *The Systemic Effect of International Human Rights Law on International Criminal Law*, [in:] *Human Rights Norms in 'Other' International Courts. Studies on International Courts and Tribunals*, ed. M. Scheinin, Cambridge 2019, **Doi.org/10.1017/9781108584623.004**.

Gallant K.S., *The Role and Powers of Defense Counsel in the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court*, "International Lawyer (ABA)" 2000, vol. 34(1).

Garkawe S., *The Role and Rights of Victims at the Nuremberg International Military Tribunal*, [in:] *The Nuremberg Trials: International Criminal Law Since 1945: 60th Anniversary International Conference/Die Nürnberger Prozesse Völkerstrafrecht seit 1945: Internationale Konferenz zum 60. Jahrestag*, eds. H.R. Reginbogin, C. Safferling et. al, München 2006, **Doi.org/10.1515/9783110944846.86**.



UMCS
MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY



**STUDIA IURIDICA
LUBLINENSIA**

Faculty of Law and Administration

Gasser H.P., *The changing relationship between International Criminal law, human rights law and humanitarian law*, [in:] *The Legal Regime of the International Criminal Court: Essays in Honour of Professor Igor Blishchenko*, eds. J. Doria, H.P. Gasser, M.C. Bassiouni, Leiden, Boston 2009, **Doi.org/10.1163/ej.9789004163089.i-1122.312**.

Harhoff F., *One Size Fits All? A Looming Look at Human Rights in International Criminal Legal Proceedings*, [in:] *International Law and the Protection of Humanity: Essays in Honor of Flavia Lattanzi*, eds. P. Acconci, D. Donat Cattin, A. Marchesi, G. Palmisano, V. Santori, Leiden, Boston 2016, **Doi.org/10.1163/9789004269507_025**.

Hennebel L., Tigroudja H., *The American Convention on Human Rights: A Commentary*, Oxford 2022.

Henzelin M., Rordorf H., *When Does the Length of Criminal Proceedings Become Unreasonable According to the European Court of Human Rights*, "New Journal of European Criminal Law" 2014, vol. 5(1), **Doi.org/10.1177/203228441400500105**.

Jegede S., *The Right To A Fair Trial In International Criminal Law*, [in:] *Protecting Humanity: Essays in International Law and Policy in Honour of Navanethem Pillay*, ed. C. Eboe-Osuji, Leiden, Boston 2010.

Keita X.J., *Disclosure of Evidence in the Law and Practice of the ICC*, "International Criminal Law Review" 2016, vol. 16(6), **Doi.org/10.1163/15718123-01606007**.

Knoops G.J., *An Introduction to the Law of International Criminal Tribunals: A Comparative Study. Second Revised Edition*, Leiden, Boston 2014, **Doi.org/10.1163/9789047429012**.

Kozłowski A., *Wpływ sądownictwa międzynarodowego na rozwój prawa międzynarodowego*, [in:] *Współczesne sądownictwo międzynarodowe: Wybrane zagadnienia prawne*, ed. J. Kolasa, vol. 2, Wrocław 2010.

Krzan B., *Admissibility of evidence and international criminal justice*, "Revista Brasileira de Direito Processual Penal" 2021, vol. 7(1), **Doi.org/10.22197/rbdpp.v7i1.492**.

Krzan B., *Human Rights and International Criminal Law*, [in:] ed. B. Krzan, *Prosecuting International Crimes: A Multidisciplinary Approach*, Leiden, Boston 2016, **Doi.org/10.1163/9789004323667**.

Lysaght C., *Publicity of Court Proceedings*, "Irish Jurist" 2003, vol. 38.

McDermott Y., *Fairness in International Criminal Trials*, Oxford 2016, **Doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198739814.001.0001**.



UMCS
MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY



**STUDIA IURIDICA
LUBLINENSIA**

Faculty of Law and Administration

McGonigle Leyh B., *Understanding Limitations: Victim Participation and the International Criminal Court*, [in:] *Victimological Approaches to International Crimes: Africa*, eds. R. Letschert, R. Haveman, A.M. de Brouwer, A. Pemberton, Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland 2011.

Meron T., *Judicial Independence and Impartiality in International Criminal Tribunals*, “American Journal of International Law” 2005, vol. 99(2), **Doi.org/10.2307/1562502**.

Mosna A., *Some thoughts on the nature and limits of the right to appeal in front of the ICC*, “Kritische Vierteljahresschrift für Gesetzgebung und Rechtswissenschaft” 2017, vol. 100(3), **Doi.org/10.5771/2193-7869-2017-3-265**.

Mouthaan S., *The Modalities of Victim Participation*, [in:] *Victim Advocacy before the International Criminal Court*, eds. E. King, R. Letschert, S. Garkawe, E. Pobjie, Cham 2022, **Doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-56733-0_1**.

Perelló Doménech I., *The Right to be Informed of the Charge (Article 6.3 A) ECHR*, [in:] *Europe of Rights: A Compendium on the European Convention of Human Rights*, eds. J.G. Roca, P. Santolaya, Leiden, Boston 2012, **Doi.org/10.1163/9789004219915_016**.

Peremptory norms of general international law (jus cogens): disquisitions and disputations, ed. D. Tladi, Leiden, Boston 2021.

Sakowicz A., *Standard of the protection of the right to silence applicable to persons examined as witnesses in the light of the European Court of Human Rights case law*, “Ius Novum” 2018, vol. 12(2).

Scalia D., *Human rights in the context of international criminal law: respecting them and ensuring respect for them*, [in:] *Research Handbook on Human Rights and Humanitarian Law*, Cheltenham, eds. R. Kolb, G. Gaggioli, Northampton 2013, **Doi.org/10.4337/9781781006078.00038**.

Scalia D., *Use of Human Rights in International Criminal Law: Influence or Appearances of Legitimacy?*, [in:] *Convergences and Divergences Between International Human Rights, International Humanitarian and International Criminal Law*, eds. P. De Hert, S. Smis, M. Holvoet, Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland 2018.

Skander Galand A., *The Systemic Effect of International Human Rights Law on International Criminal Law*, [in:] ed. M. Scheinin (ed.), *Human Rights Norms in ‘Other’ International Courts*, Cambridge 2019, **Doi.org/10.1017/9781108584623.004**.

Schabas W.A., *An Introduction to the International Criminal Court*, Cambridge 2020.



UMCS
MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY



**STUDIA IURIDICA
LUBLINENSIA**

Faculty of Law and Administration

Schabas W.A., *Relationships between International Criminal Law and Other Branches of International Law*, "Recueil des Cours" 2021, vol. 417.

Schabas W.A., *The European Convention on Human Rights: A Commentary*, Oxford 2015.

Schabas W.A., *The International Criminal Court: A Commentary on the Rome Statute*, Oxford 2016.

Schmid E., Drif H., *International Criminal Law and Human Rights*, [in:] *Elgar Encyclopedia of Human Rights*, eds. C. Binder, M. Nowak, J.A. Hofbauer, P. Janig, Cheltenham 2022, **Doi.org/10.4337/9781789903621.international.criminal.law.hr.**

Sinars T.A., *The Accused's Right to a Public Trial*, "Notre Dame Law Review" 1967, vol. 42(4).

Sluiter G., *The Law of International Criminal Procedure and Domestic War Crimes Trials*, "International Criminal Law Review" 2006, vol. 6(4), **Doi.org/10.1163/157181206778992250.**

Sluiter G., Friman H., Linton S., Vasiliev S., Zappalà S., *Introduction*, [in:], *International Criminal Procedure: Principles and Rules*, eds. G. Sluiter, H. Friman, S. Linton, S. Vasiliev, S. Zappalà, Oxford 2013.

Trechsel S., *Human Rights in Criminal Proceedings*, Oxford 2006, **Doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199271207.001.0001.**

Tochilovsky V., *The law and jurisprudence of the international criminal tribunals and courts: procedure, evidence and human rights aspects*, The Hague 2022.

Tuinstra J.T., *Defending the Defenders: The Role of Defence Counsel in International Criminal Trials*, "Journal of International Criminal Justice" 2010, vol. 8(2), **Doi.org/10.1093/jicj/mqp085.**

Vanderpuye K., *Traditions in Conflict: The Internationalization of Confrontation*, "Cornell International Law Journal" 2010, vol. 43(3).

Veas J.E., *A Comparative Analysis of the Case Law of the European Court of Human Rights on the Right against Self-Incrimination*, "Revista Brasileira de Direito Processual Penal" 2022, vol. 8(2), **Doi.org/10.22197/rbdpp.v8i2.675.**

Villiger M.E., *Handbook on the European Convention on Human Rights*, Leiden, Boston 2023, **Doi.org/10.1163/9789004443839.**



UMCS
MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY



**STUDIA IURIDICA
LUBLINENSIA**

Faculty of Law and Administration

Zappalà S., *Human Rights in International Criminal Proceedings*, Oxford 2003,
Doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199258918.001.0001.

Zappalà S., *The Rights of Victims v. the Rights of the Accused*, "Journal of International Criminal Justice" 2010,
vol. 8(1), **Doi.org/10.1093/jicj/mqq001.**

Zwanenburg M., *The Van Boven/Bassiouni Principles: An Appraisal*, "Netherlands Quarterly of Human Rights"
2006, vol. 24(4), **Doi.org/10.1177/016934410602400405.**

LEGAL ACTS

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on
19 December 1966, Optional Protocol to the above-mentioned Covenant, Adopted by the General Assembly of
the United Nations on 19 December 1966, UNTS 1976, vol. 999, no. 14668.

African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, Adopted on 1st June, 1981, <https://au.int/en/treaties/african-charter-human-and-peoples-rights> (access: 12.3.2024).

European Convention on the Compensation of Victims of Violent Crimes, Strasbourg, 24.XI.1983, ETS No. 116.
Convention on the Rights of the Child, adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 20 November
1989, UNTS 1990, vol. 1577, No. 27531.

International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of their Families,
New York, 18 December 1990, UNTS vol. 2220, No. 39481.

Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, Rome, 17 July 1998, UNTS, vol. 2187, No. 38544.
Statute of the Special Tribunal for Sierra Leone, 16 January 2002, <https://rscsl.org/the-scscl/documents/> (access:
18.2.2024).

Arab Charter on Human Rights, Translated from Arabic version provided by the League of Arab States, Tunis, 22
May 2004, ST/HR/JCHR/NONE/2004/40/Rev.1.

Directive 2004/80/EC of 29 April 2004 relating to compensation to crime victims, OJ L 261, 6.8.2004.
Statute of the Special Tribunal for Lebanon, Attachment to UNSC Res. 1757 (2007), S/RES/1757 (2007), 30 May
2007.

Directive 2012/29/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 establishing minimum
standards on the rights, support and protection of victims of crime, and replacing Council Framework Decision
2001/220/JHA, OJ L 315, 14.11.2012.



UMCS
MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY



**STUDIA IURIDICA
LUBLINENSIA**

Faculty of Law and Administration

Directive (EU) 2016/343 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 March 2016 on the strengthening of certain aspects of the presumption of innocence and of the right to be present at the trial in criminal proceedings, OJ L 65, 11.3.2016.

Universal Declaration of Human Rights, A/RES/217 A (III), 10 December 1948.

Recommendation No. R(85) 11 to the Member States on the position of the victim in the framework of criminal law and procedure. Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 28 June 1985 at the 387th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies.

Basic Principles on the Independence of the Judiciary, adopted on 6 September 1985, the Seventh United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders held at Milan from 26 August to 6 September 1985.

Declaration of Basic Principles of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power, Adopted on 29 November 1985 by General Assembly resolution 40/34, A/RES/40/34, 29 November 1985.

Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, adopted on 09 December 1988 by General Assembly resolution 43/173.

Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers, adopted on 07 September 1990 by the Eighth United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders, Havana, Cuba.

Resolution 808 (1993) Adopted by the Security Council at its 3175th meeting, on 22 February, S/RES/808, 22 February 1993.

Resolution 955 (1994) Adopted by the Security Council at its 3453rd meeting, on 8 November 1994, S/RES/955, 8 November 1994.

ICC, Rules of Procedure and Evidence, Official Records of the Assembly of States Parties to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, First session, New York, 3-10 September 2002 (ICC-ASP/1/3 and Corr.1), part II.A, with amendments.

Recommendation Rec(2005)9 of the Committee of Ministers to Member States on the Protection of Witnesses and Collaborators in Justice, Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 20 April 2005 at the 924th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies.

Guidelines on Justice in Matters involving Child Victims and Witnesses of Crime, ECOSOC Resolution 2005/20, E/RES/2005/20, 16 December 2005.



Basic Principles and Guidelines on the Right to a Remedy and Reparation for Victims of Gross Violations of International Human Rights Law and Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law, Adopted by General Assembly resolution 60/147, A/RES/60/147, 21 March 2006.

Recommendation Rec(2006)8 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on assistance to crime victims, Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 14 June 2006 at the 967th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies.

STSL, Rules of Procedure and Evidence, Adopted on 16 January 2002 (ICTR Rules, applicable *mutatis mutandis*), as amended on 31 May 2012, <https://unictr.irmct.org/sites/unictr.org/files/legal-library/150513-rpe-en-fr.pdf> (access: 29.2.2024).

ICTR, Rules of Procedure and Evidence, Adopted on 29 June 1995; as amended on: 13 May 2015, <https://unictr.irmct.org/sites/unictr.org/files/legal-library/150513-rpe-en-fr.pdf> (access: 29.2.2024).

ICTY, Rules of Procedure and Evidence, IT/32/Rev.50, 8 July 2015.

STL, Rules of Procedure and Evidence, amended on 10 April 2019, STL-BD-2009-01-Rev.10.

Recommendation CM/Rec(2022)9 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the protection of witnesses and collaborators of justice, Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 30 March 2022 at the 1430th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies.

ECCC, Internal Rules (Rev.10) as revised on 27 October 2022, <https://www.eccc.gov.kh/en/document/legal/internal-rules> (access: 1.3.2024).

Recommendation CM/Rec(2023)2 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on rights, services and support for victims of crime, Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 15 March 2023 at the 1460th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies.

CASE LAW

ECHR, *Belilos v. Switzerland*, Application no. 10328/83, Judgment 29 April 1988.

ECHR, *Dombo Beheer BV v. the Netherlands*, (Application no. 14448/88), Judgment 27 October 1993.

ICTY, *Prosecutor v. Anto Furundžija*, Case No. IT-95-17/1-A, 21 July 2000.

ICTR, *Prosecutor v. Nyiramasuhuko*, Decision on Ntahobali's Motion for Withdrawal of Counsel, File No. ICTR-97-21-T, 22 June 2001.



UMCS
MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY



**STUDIA IURIDICA
LUBLINENSIA**

Faculty of Law and Administration

ICTY, *Prosecutor v. Slobodan Milošević*, Case No. IT-02-54-AR 73.4, Separate Opinion of Judge Mohammed Shahabuddeen appended to the Appeals Chamber Decision on Admissibility of Evidence-in-Chief in the form of Written Statements, Decision of 30 September 2003.

SCSL, *Prosecutor v. Sam Hinga Norman, Moinina Fofana, Allieu Kondewa*, Case No. SCSL-04-14-T, Decision on the Application of Sam Hinga Norman for Self-Representation under Article 17(4)(d) of the Statute of the Special Court, June 2004.

ICTY, *Prosecutor v. Slobodan Milošević*, Case No. IT-02-54 T, Reasons for decision on assignment of defence counsel, Decision, 22 September 2004.

ICC, *Case Prosecutor v. Paul Gicheru and Philip Kipkoech Bett*, Prosecution's written submissions concerning self-representation, severance of the charges, registration and disclosure of evidence, and other procedural matters pertaining to pre-confirmation proceedings, ICC-01/09-01/15, 16 November 2020.

ONLINE SOURCES

Doswald-Beck L., *Fair Trial, Right to, International Protection*, "Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law", April 2013, <https://opil-ouplaw-com.peacepalace.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/law:epil/9780199231690/law-9780199231690-e798?rskey=rSj6qn&result=1&prd=MPIL> (access: 12.3.2024).

Gasser H.P., *Humanitarian Law, International*, "Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law", December 2015, <https://opil-ouplaw-com.peacepalace.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/law:epil/9780199231690/law-9780199231690-e488?rskey=TW4e7p&result=1&prd=MPIL> (access: 12.3.2024).

Guide on Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights, Right to a fair trial (criminal limb), https://www.echr.coe.int/documents/d/echr/guide_art_6_criminal_eng (access: 16.2.2024).

Justice served: Lebanon's Special Tribunal closes, <https://news.un.org/en/story/2023/12/1145217> (access: 1.3.2024).

Kolb R., Kilibarda P., *Human Rights and Humanitarian Law*, "Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law", December 2022, <https://opil-ouplaw-com.peacepalace.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/law:epil/9780199231690/law-9780199231690-e811?rskey=J61tYq&result=1&prd=MPIL> (access: 12.03.2024).



UMCS
MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY



**STUDIA IURIDICA
LUBLINENSIA**

Faculty of Law and Administration

Kreß C., *International Criminal Law*, "Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law", March 2009,

<https://opil-oup.com.peacepalace.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/law:epil/9780199231690/law-9780199231690-e1423?rskey=qOvCjH&result=1&prd=MPIL> (access: 12.03.2024).

Newsroom, *New Recommendation on Rights, Services and Support for Victims of Crime*,

<https://www.coe.int/en/web/cdpc/-/new-recommendation-on-rights-services-and-support-for-victims-of-crime>

(access: 28.2.2024).

The Trust Fund for Victims, <https://www.trustfundforvictims.org/> (access: 2.3.2024).

MISCELLANEOUS

Explanatory Report to the European Convention on the Compensation of Victims of Violent Crimes, Strasbourg, 24.XI.1983, ETS No. 116.

Report of the Secretary-General pursuant to paragraph 2 of Security Council Resolution 808 (1993), S/25704, 3 May 1993.

General Comment No. 32, *Article 14: Right to equality before courts and tribunals and to a fair trial*, CCPR/C/GC/32, 23 August 2007.

Fifth report on peremptory norms of general international law (jus cogens) by Dire Tladi, Special Rapporteur, International Law Commission Seventy-third session Geneva, 18 April – 3 June and 4 July – 5 August 2022, A/CN.4/747, 24 January 2022.

ABSTRAKT

W przeszłości społeczność międzynarodowa podjęła wysiłek celu ścigania i ukarania zbrodniarzy wojennych oraz ustanowienia stałego trybunału karnego. Natomiast nowa era w rozwoju międzynarodowego prawa i procedury karnej, a także międzynarodowego prawa praw człowieka i prawa humanitarnego, rozpoczęła się po II wojnie światowej. Niestety Trybunały w Norymberdze i Tokio skupiały się one głównie na oskarżonych i ich ukaraniu ignorując rolę ofiary w procesie karnym. Dlatego też konieczne było stworzenie nowego międzynarodowego trybunału karnego, który uzupełniłby istniejącą lukę i byłby zgodny z tworzącymi się standardami z zakresu ochrony praw człowieka. Dlatego Statuty i Reguły Procesowe i Dowodowe Międzynarodowego Trybunału Karnego dla byłej Jugosławii (MTKJ) i Rwandy (MTKR) starały się bardziej odzwierciedlać zasadę sprawiedliwości i międzynarodowe standardy z zakresu ochrony praw człowieka, ale kamieniem milowym było ustanowienie Międzynarodowego Trybunału Karnego (MTK), którego Statut odwzorowuje nie tylko podstawowe standardy z zakresu ochrony praw człowieka i orzecznictwo trybunałów i organów praw człowieka, ale także wzmacnia pozycję ofiary w toczącym się postępowaniu. Trybunał stosuje traktaty i inne źródła prawa międzynarodowego, które „muszą być zgodne z międzynarodowo uznanymi prawami człowieka”. Niniejszy artykuł stanowi wkład w dyskusję na temat wpływu prawa międzynarodowego na rozwój międzynarodowej procedury karnej z perspektywy praw człowieka. Podjęto w nim również próbę wykazania, czy szczególne cechy prawa międzynarodowego wpłynęły na rozwój międzynarodowej procedury karnej oraz na relacje pomiędzy poszczególnymi podgałęziami prawa międzynarodowego, takimi jak międzynarodowe prawo karne, międzynarodowa procedura karna, międzynarodowe prawo praw człowieka i międzynarodowe prawo



UMCS
MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY



**STUDIA IURIDICA
LUBLINENSIA**

Faculty of Law and Administration

humanitarne, a także sposoby oddziaływania międzynarodowego prawa praw człowieka na międzynarodową procedurę karną.

Słowa kluczowe: oskarżony; prawa człowieka; międzynarodowa procedura karna; ofiara; świadek

The Impact of International Law on International Criminal Proceedings - Human Rights Perspective (iThenticate Similarity Report)

ORIGINALITY REPORT

49%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	pure.uva.nl Internet	383 words — 4%
2	dokumen.pub Internet	239 words — 3%
3	pure.uvt.nl Internet	232 words — 2%
4	ebin.pub Internet	215 words — 2%
5	"International Law and the Protection of Humanity", Brill, 2017 Crossref	152 words — 2%
6	vdoc.pub Internet	118 words — 1%
7	www.icj.org Internet	112 words — 1%
8	repository.essex.ac.uk Internet	107 words — 1%

9	Jure Vidmar, Ruth Bonnevalle-Kok. "Hague Yearbook of International Law / Annuaire de La Haye de Droit International, Vol. 34 (2021)", Brill, 2023 Crossref	106 words — 1%
10	web.archive.org Internet	103 words — 1%
11	hdl.handle.net Internet	99 words — 1%
12	www.ibanet.org Internet	95 words — 1%
13	epdf.pub Internet	94 words — 1%
14	arno.uvt.nl Internet	92 words — 1%
15	"Europe of Rights: A Compendium on the European Convention of Human Rights", Brill, 2012 Crossref	90 words — 1%
16	eprints-phd.biblio.unitn.it Internet	73 words — 1%
17	othes.univie.ac.at Internet	71 words — 1%
18	M. Rafiqul Islam. "National Trials of International Crimes in Bangladesh", Brill, 2019 Crossref	64 words — 1%
19	hrcak.srce.hr Internet	64 words — 1%

20	erepository.uonbi.ac.ke Internet	62 words — 1%
21	pure.rug.nl Internet	62 words — 1%
22	docshare.tips Internet	61 words — 1%
23	www.legal-tools.org Internet	58 words — 1%
24	Christoph Safferling, Gurgen Petrossian. "Victims Before the International Criminal Court", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2021 Crossref	57 words — 1%
25	Ralph Crawshaw. "Police and Human Rights", Brill, 2009 Crossref	52 words — 1%
26	www.nomos-elibrary.de Internet	49 words — 1%
27	pdfcoffee.com Internet	47 words — 1%
28	Vladimir Tochilovsky. "Jurisprudence of the International Criminal Courts and the European Court of Human Rights", Brill, 2008 Crossref	44 words — < 1%
29	"Judge Pinto de Albuquerque and the Progressive Development of International Human Rights Law", Brill, 2021 Crossref	43 words — < 1%

30	aran.library.nuigalway.ie Internet	43 words — < 1%
31	"Digest of Jurisprudence of the Special Court for Sierra Leone, 2003-2005", Brill, 2007 Crossref	41 words — < 1%
32	M. Cherif Bassiouni. "Introduction to International Criminal Law, 2nd Revised Edition", Brill, 2013 Crossref	41 words — < 1%
33	uculawlib.files.wordpress.com Internet	41 words — < 1%
34	cms.unov.org Internet	40 words — < 1%
35	ihl-databases.icrc.org Internet	40 words — < 1%
36	"Reparations for Victims of Genocide, War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity", Brill, 2009 Crossref	39 words — < 1%
37	Alfred de Zayas, M. Cherif Bassiouni. "The Protection of Human Rights in the Administration of Criminal Justice", Brill, 1994 Crossref	39 words — < 1%
38	Cançado Trindade, Judge Antônio Augusto, González-Salzberg, Damián A.. "International Law of Human Rights", International Law of Human Rights, 2024 Publications	38 words — < 1%
39	Karlik, Piotr and Wiliński, Paweł(Karlik, Piotr and Wiliński, Paweł). "Improving protection of victims"	38 words — < 1%

rights: access to legal aid", Repozytorium Uniwersytetu im Adama Mickiewicza AMUR, 2014.

Publications

-
- 40 "Towards a Universal Justice? Putting International Courts and Jurisdictions into Perspective", Brill, 2016
35 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 41 "Human Flourishing: The End of Law", Brill, 2023
32 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 42 "International Criminal Law, Volume 3: International Enforcement", Brill, 2008
32 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 43 "The Emerging Practice of the International Criminal Court", Brill, 2009
30 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 44 Marco Aurélio Moura dos Santos. "O genocídio no direito internacional: procedimentos retóricos", Universidade de Sao Paulo, Agencia USP de Gestao da Informacao Academica (AGUIA), 2021
30 words — < 1%
Crossref Posted Content
-
- 45 "International Law: New Actors, New Concepts - Continuing Dilemmas", Brill, 2010
29 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 46 Mark Eugen Villiger. "Handbook on the European Convention on Human Rights", Brill, 2023
29 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 47 hydra.hull.ac.uk
28 words — < 1%
Internet

-
- 48 "Reparations for Victims of Genocide, War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity", Brill, 2020 25 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 49 Lingliang Zeng. "Contemporary International Law and China's Peaceful Development", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2021 25 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 50 "The Diversification and Fragmentation of International Criminal Law", Brill, 2012 24 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 51 www.cambridge.org 24 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 52 www.elgaronline.com 24 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 53 www.yumpu.com 24 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 54 Stephanos Stavros. "The Guarantees for Accused Persons Under Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights", Brill, 1993 23 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 55 "The Legal Regime of the International Criminal Court", Brill, 2009 22 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 56 "W112 unit 12 access to civil justice 2 WEB101142", Open University 21 words — < 1%
Publication
-
- 57 Fania Domb. "Israel Yearbook on Human Rights, Volume 42 (2012)", Brill, 2012 20 words — < 1%
Crossref

58	Language and the Right to Fair Hearing in International Criminal Trials, 2014. Crossref	20 words — < 1%
59	LexisNexis Publications	20 words — < 1%
60	"The Protection of Non-Combatants During Armed Conflict and Safeguarding the Rights of Victims in Post-Conflict Society", Brill, 2015 Crossref	19 words — < 1%
61	Victims of International Crimes An Interdisciplinary Discourse, 2013. Crossref	19 words — < 1%
62	icj-cij.org Internet	19 words — < 1%
63	www.toaep.org Internet	19 words — < 1%
64	"Human Rights Law: From Dissemination to Application", Brill, 2006 Crossref	18 words — < 1%
65	eprints.mdx.ac.uk Internet	18 words — < 1%
66	"Human Rights Monitoring", Brill, 2008 Crossref	17 words — < 1%
67	Karin Calvo-Goller. "The Trial Proceedings of the International Criminal Court", Brill, 2006 Crossref	17 words — < 1%
68	"Looking to the Future", Brill, 2011 Crossref	16 words — < 1%

69	Elisa Ravasi. "Human Rights Protection by the ECtHR and the ECJ", Brill, 2017 Crossref	16 words — < 1%
70	International Law of Victims, 2012. Crossref	16 words — < 1%
71	biblio.ugent.be Internet	16 words — < 1%
72	"The Politics of International Criminal Law", Brill, 2021 Crossref	15 words — < 1%
73	International Courts and the Development of International Law, 2013. Crossref	15 words — < 1%
74	"Promoting Accountability under International Law for Gross Human Rights Violations in Africa", Brill, 2015 Crossref	14 words — < 1%
75	"The Companion to International Humanitarian Law", Brill, 2018 Crossref	14 words — < 1%
76	"The Universal Declaration of Human Rights", Brill, 2023 Crossref	14 words — < 1%
77	Pietro Sullo. "Beyond Genocide: Transitional Justice and Gacaca Courts in Rwanda", Springer Nature America, Inc, 2018 Crossref	14 words — < 1%

-
- 78 Thomas S.M. Henquet. "The Third-Party Liability of International Organisations", Brill, 2023
Crossref 14 words — < 1%
-
- 79 Henriksen, Anders. "International Law", International Law, 2023
Publications 13 words — < 1%
-
- 80 Bertrand G. Ramcharan, Theodoor C. van Boven, Abdool G. Koroma, Inès French. "The Universality of Human Rights", Brill, 2023
Crossref 12 words — < 1%
-
- 81 Jeff Lahav. "Israel Yearbook on Human Rights, Volume 51 (2021)", Brill, 2022
Crossref 12 words — < 1%
-
- 82 Rafael Braga da Silva. "The International Criminal Court in Its Third Decade", Brill, 2024
Crossref 12 words — < 1%
-
- 83 "From Human Rights to International Criminal Law / Des droits de l'homme au droit international pénal", Brill, 2007
Crossref 11 words — < 1%
-
- 84 Farhad Malekian. "Principles of Islamic International Criminal Law", Brill, 2011
Crossref 11 words — < 1%
-
- 85 "Encyclopedia of Criminology and Criminal Justice", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2014
Crossref 10 words — < 1%
-
- 86 Eva Dwertmann. "The Reparation System of the International Criminal Court", Brill, 2010
Crossref 10 words — < 1%
-

- 87 Geert-Jan Knoops. "An Introduction to the Law of International Criminal Tribunals", Brill, 2003
Crossref 10 words — < 1%
-
- 88 Moeckli, Daniel, Shah, Sangeeta, Sivakumaran, Sandesh. "International Human Rights Law", International Human Rights Law, 2022
Publications 10 words — < 1%
-
- 89 jakov.kpu.edu.rs
Internet 10 words — < 1%
-
- 90 openaccess.leidenuniv.nl
Internet 10 words — < 1%
-
- 91 www.asser.nl
Internet 10 words — < 1%
-
- 92 Birgit Schlütter. "Developments in Customary International Law", Brill, 2010
Crossref 9 words — < 1%
-
- 93 Huw Llewellyn. "An Institutional Perspective on the United Nations Criminal Tribunals", Brill, 2021
Crossref 9 words — < 1%
-
- 94 rm.coe.int
Internet 9 words — < 1%
-
- 95 su.diva-portal.org
Internet 9 words — < 1%
-
- 96 "Challenged Justice: In Pursuit of Judicial Independence", Brill, 2021
Crossref 8 words — < 1%
-
- 97 "Cultural Heritage, Cultural Rights, Cultural Diversity", Brill, 2012 8 words — < 1%

-
- 98 "International Law between Universalism and Fragmentation", Brill, 2008
Crossref 8 words — < 1%
-
- 99 Gillespie, Alisdair, Weare, Siobhan. "The English Legal System", The English Legal System, 2023
Publications 8 words — < 1%
-
- 100 Heike Niebergall-Lackner. "Status and Treatment of Deserters in International Armed Conflicts", Brill, 2016
Crossref 8 words — < 1%
-
- 101 Hernández, Gleider. "International Law", International Law, 2022
Publications 8 words — < 1%
-
- 102 pureadmin.qub.ac.uk
Internet 8 words — < 1%
-
- 103 stax.strath.ac.uk
Internet 8 words — < 1%
-
- 104 www.unodc.org
Internet 8 words — < 1%
-
- 105 "Judicial Dialogue on Human Rights", Brill, 2017
Crossref 7 words — < 1%
-
- 106 "Peremptory Norms of General International Law (Jus Cogens)", Brill, 2021
Crossref 7 words — < 1%
-
- 107 "Victim Participation in International Criminal Justice", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017
Crossref 7 words — < 1%

108	Jean de Dieu Sikulibo. "chapter 3 The Normative Basis for Victims' Rights and Their Status in the Criminal Justice Process", IGI Global, 2019 Crossref	7 words — < 1%
109	Petra Viebig. "Illicitly Obtained Evidence at the International Criminal Court", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2016 Crossref	7 words — < 1%
110	"Cooperation and the International Criminal Court", Brill, 2016 Crossref	6 words — < 1%
111	"Defense in International Criminal Proceedings", Brill, 2006 Crossref	6 words — < 1%
112	"Prosecuting International Crimes: A Multidisciplinary Approach", Brill, 2016 Crossref	6 words — < 1%
113	"The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia", Springer Nature, 2016 Crossref	6 words — < 1%
114	Bisset, Alison. "Blackstone's International Human Rights Documents", Blackstone's International Human Rights Documents, 2023 Publications	6 words — < 1%
115	pure.ulster.ac.uk Internet	6 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE MATCHES

OFF